

**SUMMARY AND TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCE
CFA BOARD OF DIRECTORS
APRIL 4, 2023**

Secretary's note: This index is provided only as a courtesy to the readers and is not an official part of the CFA minutes. The numbers shown for each item in the index are keyed to similar numbers shown in the body of the minutes.

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| (1) | VEACH RELICENSING HEARING..... | 16 |
| (2) | FOGARTY RELICENSING HEARING. | 17 |
| (3) | APPROVE ORDERS OF THE DAY..... | 19 |
| (4) | RATIFICATION OF ONLINE MOTIONS..... | 22 |
| (5) | JUDGING PROGRAM REPORT. | 34 |
| (6) | CENTRAL OFFICE REPORT. | 40 |
| (7) | IT COMMITTEE..... | 42 |
| (8) | PUBLICATIONS..... | 45 |
| (9) | SHOW RULES..... | 47 |
| (10) | AWARDS COMMITTEE..... | 64 |
| (11) | SPECIAL INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE..... | 67 |
| (12) | INTERNATIONAL DIVISION..... | 72 |
| (13) | SHOW SCHEDULING. | 76 |
| (14) | OTHER COMMITTEES. | 81 |
| (15) | NEW BUSINESS..... | 82 |
| (16) | OLD BUSINESS..... | 83 |
| (17) | BUDGET COMMITTEE..... | 84 |
| (18) | DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS AND SUSPENSIONS..... | 85 |

SUMMARY

(1) VEACH RELICENSING HEARING.

Following a hearing and deliberations in executive session, Mr. Veach was relicensed as a CFA Approved Allbreed judge.

(2) FOGARTY RELICENSING HEARING.

Following a hearing in open session and deliberations in executive session, Ms. Fogarty was relicensed as a CFA Approved Allbreed judge.

(3) APPROVE ORDERS OF THE DAY.

Upon motion by **Mr. Eigenhauser**, seconded by **Ms. DelaBar**, the Orders of the Day, as amended, were accepted without objection and became the Orders of Business.

Reports of Officers, Boards, and Standing Committees

(4) RATIFICATION OF ONLINE MOTIONS.

| | Moved/ Seconded | Motion | Vote |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| MOTIONS THAT REQUIRE RATIFICATION | | | |
| 1. | Anger Morgan 02.10.23 | Grant approval for Pam DelaBar to guest judge for the Happy Cat Club (Sweden) on August 26/27, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). DelaBar abstained. |
| 2. | Anger Curre 02.13.23 | For the April 22/23, 2023 show to be held in Oaks, PA (Region 1), grant an exception to Show Rule 4.06 and allow the Central PA CF, Ragamuffin CF, and Turkish Angora Fanciers International to include one OP/CH ring each day. | Motion Failed. Colilla and Moser voting no. |

Ms. Roy moved to approve Motion #2. Seconded by **Ms. Anger**, **Motion Carried**. Moser and Colilla voting no. Webb abstained.

| | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--|---|
| 3. | Anger Curre 02.13.23 | For the April 22/23, 2023 show to be held in Oaks, PA (Region 1), allow the Central PA CF, Ragamuffin CF, and Turkish Angora Fanciers International to award Top 5 highest scoring Turkish Angora and Ragamuffin (not scored). | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 4. | Executive Committee 02.13.23 | For the China Ace Cat Club show February 18, 2023 in Chengdu, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date to 9 PM China time on Thursday, February 16, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 5. | Anger Morgan 02.15.23 | Grant an exception to Show Rule 20.03.b. and allow Olga Grebneva and Olga Korotonozhkina to charge \$1.25 per scheduled entry with no minimum, as they previously received as Guest Judges. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |

| | | | |
|----|------------------------------|--|---|
| 6. | Anger Colilla 02.17.23 | Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.06 and allow the North Atlantic Regional Show to have one OCP ring each day at their show on August 5/6, 2023. | Motion Failed. Colilla, Moser and Wilson voting no. |
|----|------------------------------|--|---|

Ms. Roy moved to approve motion #6. Seconded by **Ms. Anger**, **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

| | | | |
|-----|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 7. | Executive Committee 02.17.23 | Grant permission to Olga Grebneva and Olga Korotonozhkina to judge an ENFI show on March 25-26, 2023 in Florence, Italy, as their contract was signed prior to these two ladies becoming CFA Judges. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 8. | Anger Calhoun 02.20.23 | Regarding the Mentor & New Bee Program, (a) ratify the appointment by President Mastin of Leslie Carr as Chair and Jodell Raymond as Vice Chair, and (b) change the name of the program to "New Exhibitor Program". | Motion Failed. Hannon voting no. |
| 9. | Executive Committee 02.22.23 | For the Hua Xia Cat Club show on February 25, 2023 in Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date to 9 PM China time on Thursday, February 23, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 10. | Anger Wilson 02.23.23 | Change the name of the Mentorship Program to New Exhibitor Program. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 11. | Anger Wilson 02.23.23 | Ratify the appointment by President Mastin of Leslie Carr as Chair and Jodell Raymond as Vice Chair of the New Exhibitor Program. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 12. | Anger Roy 02.27.23 | For its 6 ring, 4 SSP championship, 2 SSP kitten show April 15/16, 2023 in Orange, France (Region 9), grant the Khao Manee Cat Club permission to hold an in-conjunction show with FIFe club Cat Club Provence Côte d'Azur et Corse, on the condition that the club be informed that they should comply with the Guidelines (and enclose a copy with our approval). | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 13. | Executive Committee 03.01.23 | For the Man Miao Cat Club show on March 4/5, 2023 in Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 2, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 14. | Anger Curre 03.02.2023 | For the Champ of the Champ's May 20/21, 2023 show in Kunigan City, Jakarta, Indonesia, grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 and allow a change to the show license regarding location, approximately 21 kilometers distance to Baywalk Mall, Jakarta, Indonesia. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 15. | Anger Curre 03.07.23 | For its March 11/12, 2023 show in Bangkok, Thailand on March 11/12, 2023, grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 to allow the Central Breed Cat Club to change its show license from 7 AB/1 SSP to 8 AB and grant an exception to Show Rule 3.12 to allow Kit Fung to judge two CFA shows in different locations on the same weekend. | Motion Failed. Anger, Calhoun, Colilla, Morgan and Moser voting no. |
| 16. | Executive Committee 03.08.23 | For the China Ace Cat Club show on March 11/12, 2023 in Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date and time to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 9, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |

| | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 17. | Executive Committee 03.08.23 | For the Polaris Club show on March 11/12, 2023 in Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date and time to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 9, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 18. | Anger Morgan 03.10.23 | For its show March 12, 2023 in San Genesio Ed Uniti, Italy, grant the 44 Gatti club an exception to Show Rule 21.07 and allow Oscar Silva-Sanchez to clerk at the show. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 19. | Executive Committee 03.20.23 | For its 6 AB, 5 AB/ISSP (CH/PR) show in Tokyo, Japan on March 26, 2023 (Region 8), grant the Micina Cat Fanciers an exception to Show Rule 5.01.m. and allow them to increase their entry limit from 150 to 200. The club will issue a new flyer, publicize the change, and send notifications to all entered exhibitors. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 20. | Executive Committee 03.20.23 | For the Jinling Cats Show on March 25-26, 2023 in Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date and time to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 23, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 21. | Executive Committee 03.23.23 | For the China Peace & Love Club show on March 25, 2023 in Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date and time to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 23, 2023. | Motion Failed (for lack of a second). |
| 22. | Executive Committee 03.28.23 | For the Feline Fanciers Society of Singapore 4 AB show April 16, 2023 in Singapore (ID-Other), (1) grant an exception to Show Rule 5.01.m. and increase the entry limit from 100 to 120, and (2) grant an exception to Show Rule 5.01.m. to allow the club to extend its closing date by four days. The club will issue a new flyer, publicize the change and send notifications to all entered exhibitors. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| 21. | Executive Committee 03.29.23 | For the China Morning Star Club's 4 SP/1 AB show on April 1, 2023 in Shen Yang, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.b. to allow the club to extend its closing date and time to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 30, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |

Ms. Anger moved for ratification of all online motions. Seconded by **Mrs. Krzanowski**, the motion was **ratified by unanimous consent**.

Ms. Anger moved that the Khao Manee Cat Club be given permission to replace an injured judge with Aina Nuke (as approved by Guest Judge Administrator Vicki Nye), for its upcoming show in Orange, France, 15-16 April 2023 as follows:

- Lorraine Rivard SSP in KIT, AB in CH and PR -Marilee Griswold SSP in KIT and CH, AB in PR
- Aina Nuke AB -Inga Balciuniene ~~SSP in CH, AB in KIT and PR~~ AB
- Iris Zinck, SSP in CH, AB in KIT and PR
- Michael Schleißner, SSP in CH, AB in KIT and PR

Seconded by **Ms. DelaBar**, the motion was **ratified by unanimous consent**.

(5) JUDGING PROGRAM REPORT.

Chair Ms. Morgan moved to remove the words “Associate Judge Trainee” from Judging Program Rule 4.7. Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser**, the motion was **ratified by unanimous consent**.

Relicense Iris Zinck: Iris Zinck is presented to the Board for a relicensing re-vote, which requires the affirmative vote of a majority of board members present.

| | |
|------------|---|
| Iris Zinck | 11 yes (Anger, Currle, DelaBar, Hannon, Hayata, Krzanowski, Mastin, Moser, Noble, Shelton, Webb); 4 no (Calhoun, Colilla, Morgan, Wilson); 3 abstain (Dunham, Eigenhauser, Roy) |
|------------|---|

Associate Judge T3 Trainees: The following individuals are presented to the Board for advancement to Associate Judge:

Advance to Associate Judge – Hong Kong:

Longhair:

| | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| Patrick Au | 18 yes | |
| Grace Cheung | 18 yes | |
| Alex Chun Lap | | 18 yes |
| Russell Law | 18 yes | |
| Pak Hei Leung | 18 yes | |

Shorthair:

| | |
|---------------|--------|
| Chrissie Chan | 18 yes |
| Phebe Low | 18 yes |
| Sara Ng | 18 yes |
| Andy Yeung | 18 yes |

Advance to Associate Judge – Europe:

Longhair:

| | |
|--------------|--------|
| Marika Lahti | 18 yes |
|--------------|--------|

Shorthair:

| | |
|---------------------|--------|
| Tuija Aaltonen | 18 yes |
| Oscar Silva Sanchez | 18 yes |

(6) CENTRAL OFFICE REPORT.

Mrs. Krzanowski moved to extend the show license late fee exception currently in place for the 2023-2024 season for Regions 8 and 9 to the International Division (excluding China, Hong Kong & Macau). Shows will be licensed up to 30 days before the opening day of the show without any penalty fee. Seconded by **Mr. Currle**, the motion was **ratified by unanimous consent**.

(7) IT COMMITTEE.

No action items were presented.

(8) **PUBLICATIONS.**

Chair **Mr. Hannon** presented no action items.

(9) **SHOW RULES.**

Liaison Mrs. Krzanowski moved on standing motion, with **Mr. Currle** making a standing second, for the adoption of the following Show Rule change proposals:

1. *Institute a late fee to enforce the timely submission of the show flyer to the Central Office.*

| Article VI – Announcing the Show/Show Flyer, amend 5.04 | CFA Board/Central Office |
|--|---|
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| 5.04 The show secretary must file a copy of the show flyer with the Central Office 90 days prior to the date of the show for shows licensed at least 90 days prior to the date of the show. For shows licensed less than 90 days to the date of the show, the show flyer must be included in the license application package (see Rule 4.04). | 5.04 The show secretary must file a copy of the show flyer with the Central Office 90 days prior to the date of the show for shows licensed at least 90 days prior to the date of the show. For shows licensed less than 90 days to the date of the show, the show flyer must be included in the license application package (see Rule 4.04). <u>A fee, as specified in CFA's current price list, is payable by the club to CFA if these filing requirements are not met. No further shows will be licensed for the club until this fee is paid.</u> |

The motion was **ratified by unanimous consent.**

2. *Clean up references to Champion and Premier awards in Allbreed and Specialty rings.*

| Article XI – During the Show – Judging and Awards, amend 11.28 and 11.29 | Central Office/Show Rules Committee |
|--|---|
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| 11.28 In Allbreed rings the Championship finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 85, for Championship entries of 85 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Champion, Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Longhair Champion, and Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Shorthair Champion, unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best through Fifth Best Champion, Best through Fifth Best Longhair Champion, and Best through Fifth Best Shorthair Champion once top 15 threshold has been reached. Kitten finals awards will be Best through 10 th Best Kitten when kitten entries are less | 11.28 In Allbreed rings the Championship finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 85, for Championship entries of 85 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Champion, Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Longhair Champion, and Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Shorthair Champion, unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best through Fifth Best Champion, Best through Fifth Best Longhair Champion, and Best through Fifth Best Shorthair Champion once top 15 threshold has been reached. <u>In Allbreed rings the Championship finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat,</u> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>than 75, for kitten entries of 75 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Kitten. Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 50, for Premiership entries of 50 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best and 2nd Best Premier, Best and 2nd Best Longhair Premier, Best and 2nd Best Shorthair Premier unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Longhair Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Shorthair Premier will be awarded if top 15 threshold has been reached.</p> <p>Veteran Class finals awards will be Best through 5th Best Cat or Best through 10th Best Cat as determined by show management.</p> | <p><u>Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Allbreed Best Champion, Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Longhair Champion, and Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Shorthair Champion when cat entries are less than 85. For Championship entries of 85 or more, the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat, Best through Fifth Best Allbreed Champion, Best through Fifth Best Longhair Champion, and Best through Fifth Best Shorthair Champion.</u></p> <p>Kitten finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Kitten when kitten entries are less than 75, for kitten entries of 75 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Kitten.</p> <p>Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 50, for Premiership entries of 50 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best and 2nd Best Premier, Best and 2nd Best Longhair Premier, Best and 2nd Best Shorthair Premier unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Longhair Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Shorthair Premier will be awarded if top 15 threshold has been reached. <u>Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat, Best and 2nd Best Allbreed Premier, Best and 2nd Best Longhair Premier, Best and 2nd Best Shorthair Premier when cat entries are less than 50. For Premiership entries of 50 or more, Best through 15th Best Cat, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Allbreed Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Longhair Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Shorthair Premier will be awarded.</u></p> <p>Veteran Class finals awards will be Best through 5th Best Cat or Best through 10th Best Cat as determined by show management.</p> |
| <p>11.29 a. In Longhair/Shorthair Specialty rings the Championship finals will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 85, for Championship entries of 85 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Champion, unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best through Fifth Best Champion, Best through Fifth Best Longhair Champion, and Best through Fifth Best Shorthair Champion once top 15 threshold has been reached. Kitten finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Kitten when kitten entries are less than 75, for kitten entries of 75 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th</p> | <p>11.29 a. In Longhair/Shorthair Specialty rings the Championship finals will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 85, for Championship entries of 85 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Champion, unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best through Fifth Best Champion, Best through Fifth Best Longhair Champion, and Best through Fifth Best Shorthair Champion once top 15 threshold has been reached. <u>In Longhair/Shorthair Specialty rings the Championship finals will be Best through 10th Best Cat, Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Champion when cat entries are less than 85. For</u></p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Best Kitten. Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 50, for Premiership entries of 50 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best and 2nd Best Premier unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Longhair Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Shorthair Premier will be awarded if top 15 threshold has been reached.</p> <p>Veteran Class finals awards will be Best through 5th Best Cat or Best through 10th Best Cat as determined by show management.</p> | <p><u>Championship entries of 85 or more, the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best through Fifth Best Champion.</u></p> <p>Kitten finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Kitten when kitten entries are less than 75; <u>For for</u> kitten entries of 75 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Kitten.</p> <p>Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 50, for Premiership entries of 50 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best and 2nd Best Premier unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Longhair Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Shorthair Premier will be awarded if top 15 threshold has been reached. Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat, Best and 2nd Best Premier when cat entries are less than 50. For Premiership entries of 50 or more, the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Premier.</p> <p>Veteran Class finals awards will be Best through 5th Best Cat or Best through 10th Best Cat as determined by show management.</p> |
|--|---|

The motion was **ratified by unanimous consent**.

3. *Specify that a violation of the Exhibitor's Code of Ethics may constitute unsportsmanlike conduct.*

| | |
|--|--|
| Article I – Prologue/ Rules Applicable to All, amend 1.04. | Mike Shelton |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| 1.04 All Exhibitors in CFA shows are expected to follow the CFA Exhibitor's Code of Ethics found on the CFA website at link-to-webpage. | 1.04 All Exhibitors in CFA shows are expected to follow the CFA Exhibitor's Code of Ethics found on the CFA website at link-to-webpage. <u>A violation of the Exhibitor's Code of Ethics may be considered unsportsmanlike conduct (see rule 1.03).</u> |

The motion was **ratified by unanimous consent**.

4. *Require shows offering Specialty rings to offer an equal number of Longhair and Shorthair Specialty rings.*

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Article IV – Licensing the Show, add 4.06 e. | Kathy Calhoun and John Colilla |
|---|---------------------------------------|

| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
|------------------|--|
| None. | <u>e. When a show offers one or more Specialty rings, an equal number of Longhair and Shorthair Specialty rings must be offered.</u> |

The motion was **ratified by unanimous consent**.

5. *Remove Vietnam from “TH=Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam” and establish a new region/area of residence “VM=Vietnam” and remove Vietnam from the “Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam” grouping and add Vietnam as a separate country for the purpose of season end awards.*

| Article VI – Entering the Show, amend 6.22 AND Article XXXVI – National/Regional/Divisional Awards Program, amend International Division Definition. | Kathy Calhoun |
|--|---|
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| <p>Mor6.22 It is the responsibility of the owner to indicate the correct region/area of residence on the entry form using the following designations: 1=North Atlantic, 2=Northwest, 3=Gulf Shore, 4=Great Lakes, 5=Southwest, 6=Midwest, 7=Southern, 8=Japan, 9=Europe, AS=South or Central America, AW=Africa & Western Asia, EC=East China, WC=West China, NC=North China, HK=Hong Kong, ID=Indonesia, IL=Israel, KR=South Korea, MY=Malaysia/Brunei, PH=Philippines, SI=Singapore, TH=Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam, and TW=Taiwan (see CFA’s Bylaws Article VIII, for regional boundary specifications)</p> <p>International Division Definition: for the purposes of season end awards, the International Division is divided into the following geographical areas based on quarantine requirements: Hong Kong; Indonesia; South Korea, Israel; Malaysia/Brunei; Philippines; Singapore; South or Central America, including the Caribbean nations; Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam; Taiwan; Africa and western Asia (including the middle east (minus Israel), Turkey, Iran, India, Maldives, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, etc.); and three areas in China defined as follows - East China (the provinces/cities of Fujian, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Zhejiang, Anhui, Shandong, and Shanghai); North China (the provinces/cities of Inner Mongolia,</p> | <p>6.22 It is the responsibility of the owner to indicate the correct region/area of residence on the entry form using the following designations: 1=North Atlantic, 2=Northwest, 3=Gulf Shore, 4=Great Lakes, 5=Southwest, 6=Midwest, 7=Southern, 8=Japan, 9=Europe, AS=South or Central America, AW=Africa & Western Asia, EC=East China, WC=West China, NC=North China, HK=Hong Kong, ID=Indonesia, IL=Israel, KR=South Korea, MY=Malaysia/Brunei, PH=Philippines, SI=Singapore, TH=Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam, <u>and</u>—TW=Taiwan, <u>and VM=Vietnam</u> (see CFA’s Bylaws Article VIII, for regional boundary specifications)</p> <p>International Division Definition: for the purposes of season end awards, the International Division is divided into the following geographical areas based on quarantine requirements: Hong Kong; Indonesia; South Korea, Israel; Malaysia/Brunei; Philippines; Singapore; South or Central America, including the Caribbean nations; Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam; Taiwan; <u>Vietnam</u>; Africa and western Asia (including the middle east (minus Israel), Turkey, Iran, India, Maldives, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, etc.); and three areas in China defined as follows - East China (the provinces/cities of Fujian, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Zhejiang, Anhui, Shandong, and Shanghai); North China (the provinces/cities of Inner Mongolia,</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| Hebei, Shanxi, Tianjin, Beijing, Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang); West China (all of China not already covered by the provinces/cities listed for either East China or North China). | Hebei, Shanxi, Tianjin, Beijing, Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang); West China (all of China not already covered by the provinces/cities listed for either East China or North China). |
|---|---|

The motion was **ratified by unanimous consent**.

6. *Change the closing date for shows in China.*

| | |
|--|---|
| Article VI – Entering the Show, amend 6.35 b | ID Committee |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| b. The entry clerk will close the show to additional entries when the entry limit is reached or 9:00 p.m., China time, on the Wednesday before the show, whichever is first. | b. The entry clerk will close the show to additional entries when the entry limit is reached or 9:00 p.m., China time, on the Wednesday <u>Thursday</u> before the show, whichever is first. |

The motion was **ratified by unanimous consent**.

7. *Allow associate judges and single specialty judges to exhibit on the second day of a show where they officiate or at the second show held on the same weekend at the same location.*

| | |
|--|---|
| Article XXI – Responsibilities of Judges, amend 21.02 | Melanie Morgan |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| <p>21.02 a. A judge may not make an entry at a show at which he officiates, but he may enter for exhibition only, provided that this limitation shall not apply to entries by a judge who judges Household Pets or Veterans only.</p> <p>b. A judge may not judge and exhibit on the same weekend at the same location, regardless of association.</p> <p>c. An Approved Single Specialty judge may judge one day of a two day show and work color classes on the other day. In such case the catalog for the judge will be retained by the master clerk until the close of the show.</p> <p>d. A judge may not judge a cat owned or co-owned by a member of his/her immediate family which includes father, mother, brother, sister, son, daughter, stepchildren, stepparents, or in-laws. If the family member does not reside with the officiating</p> | <p>21.02 a. <u>Except as provided in 21.02 c., a</u>A judge may not make an entry at a show at which he officiates, but he may enter for exhibition only, provided that this limitation shall not apply to entries by a judge who judges Household Pets or Veterans only.</p> <p>b. <u>Except as provided in 21.02 c., a</u>A judge may not judge and exhibit on the same weekend at the same location, regardless of association.</p> <p>c. <u>An associate judge or single specialty judge who officiates on the first day of a two day show may exhibit in the opposite specialty on the second day of such show. Similarly, an associate judge or single specialty judge who officiates at the first of two shows held on the same weekend at the same location may exhibit in the opposite specialty at the second show held on that weekend at that location.</u></p> <p><u>de.</u> An Approved Single Specialty judge may judge one day of a two day show and work color classes on the other day. In such case the catalog for the judge</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| judge, the cat may be judged in all other rings (see 6.09 and 6.10). | will be retained by the master clerk until the close of the show. ed. A judge may not judge a cat owned or co-owned by a member of his/her immediate family which includes father, mother, brother, sister, son, daughter, stepchildren, stepparents, or in-laws. If the family member does not reside with the officiating judge, the cat may be judged in all other rings (see 6.09 and 6.10). |
|--|---|

Motion Carried. Curre voting no.

8. *Extend for the current show season (2022-2023) the exception to the scoring rules that cats in China need not show in their specific area of residence (North China-NC, East China-EC and West China-WC) to receive a DW in those areas and only need to show in any area of China.*

The motion was **ratified by unanimous consent.**

9. *Extend for the 2023-2024 show season the exception to the scoring rules that cats in China need not show in their specific area of residence (North China-NC, East China-EC and West China-WC) to receive a DW in those areas and only need to show in any area of China.*

The motion was **ratified by unanimous consent.**

10. *All proposals for show rule changes shall be routed through the Show Rules Committee. Show rule changes will be considered by the Board at its October meeting, with items requiring adjustment being brought back at the December and/or February meetings. Except in cases of dire emergency, show rule changes will not be considered at other times.*

The motion was **ratified by unanimous consent.**

Addendum

1. *Restrict super specialty format to licensed CFA judges.*

| | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|
| Article III – Invitations to and Acceptances by Judges, amend 3.02 c | Judging Committee | |
| Existing Wording | | Proposed Wording |
| c. Invitations from CFA clubs for non-CFA Judges are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program Committee, excluding those for Guest Judges at the Approved Guest Judge Level, and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed or Approved Specialty Judges whose license from an accepted association is on file with the Judging Program Committee and who have been actively judging with their parent association for a minimum of five (5) years. A Judge may only | | c. Invitations from CFA clubs for non-CFA Judges are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program Committee, excluding those for Guest Judges at the Approved Guest Judge Level, and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed or Approved Specialty Judges whose license from an accepted association is on file with the Judging Program Committee and who have been actively judging with their parent association for a minimum of five (5) years. A Judge may only |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>judge the level at which they are licensed. When the show format includes a specialty ring, guest judges will serve as a specialty judge in CFA shows unless a specialty-only CFA judge would be serving as the required specialty judge. Requests for guest judge approval must be submitted to the Judging Program Committee at least 60 days in advance of the show. Requests submitted with less than 60 days remaining until the proposed show date will not be considered. Approval from the Judging Program Committee is no longer required for a club to contract a Guest Judge at the Approved Guest Judge level.</p> | <p>judge the level at which they are licensed. When the show format includes a specialty ring, guest judges will serve as a specialty judge in CFA shows unless a specialty-only CFA judge would be serving as the required specialty judge. <u>Only a licensed CFA judge or a Guest Judge at the Approved Guest Judge Level may judge a Super Specialty ring.</u> Requests for guest judge approval must be submitted to the Judging Program Committee at least 60 days in advance of the show. Requests submitted with less than 60 days remaining until the proposed show date will not be considered. Approval from the Judging Program Committee is no longer required for a club to contract a Guest Judge at the Approved Guest Judge level.</p> |
|---|---|

Motion (to allow **Krzanowski** to amend) **Carried**. Anger, Calhoun, Colilla, Morgan, Moser, Roy and Wilson voting no. [**Secretary's Note:** The amended motion reads: *Only a licensed CFA judge or a Guest Judge at the Approved Guest Judge Level may judge a Super Specialty ring.*]

The amended **Motion Carried**. Anger, Calhoun, Colilla, Morgan, Moser, Roy and Wilson voting no.

(10) **AWARDS COMMITTEE.**

Mrs. Dunham moved to approve the new Diamond Star Award. Seconded by **Mr. Webb**.

Mr. Eigenhauser moved to amend the motion by striking out the line that says *Is not currently a board member*. Seconded by **Ms. Calhoun**, the primary amendment to the main **Motion Carried**. Dunham and Wilson voting no. Morgan abstained.

The main motion, as amended, **Carried**. Morgan and Moser voting no.

(11) **SPECIAL INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE.**

Chair Mr. Shelton moved to approve the revised Exhibitors Code of Ethics. Seconded by **Mrs. Krzanowski**, the motion was **ratified by unanimous consent**.

(12) **INTERNATIONAL DIVISION.**

Co-Chair Ms. Calhoun had no action items.

(13) **SHOW SCHEDULING.**

Chair Mrs. Moser moved to approve a plan of action to establish a clear and meaningful traditional date calendar for Regions 1-7, as amended to approve shows moving more than 75 miles from traditional location. Seconded by **Ms. Morgan**, **Motion Carried**. Dunham voting no. DelaBar abstained.

Unfinished Business and General Orders

(14) OTHER COMMITTEES.

No action items were presented.

(15) NEW BUSINESS.

No action items were presented.

(16) OLD BUSINESS.

No action items were presented.

(17) BUDGET COMMITTEE.

In an executive session motion made by **Ms. Calhoun** and seconded by **Ms. DelaBar**, the motion to approve the 2023 - 2024 CFA Budget as presented on April 4, 2023, **Carried**. Currle, Morgan, Moser and Webb voting no. Calhoun and Eigenhauser abstained.

(18) DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS AND SUSPENSIONS.

Disciplinary Hearings and Suspensions: Cases that have been reviewed by the Protest Committee and for which a recommendation was presented to the Board. The following case was heard, a tentative decision was rendered, timely notice was given to the parties, and no appeal and/or appeal fee was filed. Therefore, final disposition is as follows:

23-002 *CFA v. Misugi, Emiko*

Violation of CFA Judging Program Rules 14.1 and 14.4

***Guilty.** The board has imposed the following disciplinary action: (1) a one year suspension from the CFA Judging Program; and (2) a \$1,000 fine payable within 30 days. If the fine is not paid within 30 days Respondent will be suspended from all CFA services until the fine is paid in full. Upon completion of the one-year suspension the Judging Program Committee may require Respondent complete refresher/additional assignments prior to reinstatement in the Judging Program. In addition, the respondent shall be permanently banned from brokering the sale of any CFA registered cats. [vote sealed]*

Appeals: Cases that have been reviewed by the Protest Committee and for which a recommendation was presented to and heard by the Board, a tentative decision was rendered, timely notice was given to the party, an appeal and/or appeal fee was timely filed, and the appeal was heard by the Board of Directors. Therefore, final disposition is as follows:

None

Board-Cited Hearing: The Board may consider any protest filed by any member of a member club or in any other manner brought to the attention of the Executive Board. The Board may delegate authority to one or more persons to review, investigate, and determine if probable cause exists for the filing of a formal protest. This case was heard on direct cite by the CFA Executive

Board. Timely notice was given to the parties, and the matter was heard. Final disposition is as follows:

None

Secretary's Note: The Officers and Board of Directors of the Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc. met on Tuesday, April 4, 2023, via Zoom video conference. **President Richard Mastin** called the regularly scheduled mid-quarterly video conference meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. Eastern Time. A roll call by **Secretary Rachel Anger** found the following members to be present:

Mr. Richard Mastin (President)
Mr. Russell Webb (Vice-President)
Ms. Rachel Anger (Secretary)
Ms. Kathy Calhoun (Treasurer)
Ms. Sharon Roy (NAR Director)
Mrs. Pam Moser (NWR Director)
Ms. Paula Noble (GSR Director)
Mr. John Colilla (GLR Director)
Mr. Michael Shelton (SWR Director)
Mrs. Cathy Dunham (MWR Director)
Mr. Kenny Currle (SOR Director)
Ms. Yukiko Hayata (Japan Regional Director)
Ms. Pam DelaBar (Europe Regional Director)
George Eigenhauser, Esq. (Director-at-Large)
Mr. Mark Hannon (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Carol Krzanowski (Director-at-Large)
Ms. Melanie Morgan (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Annette Wilson (Director-at-Large)

Also Present:

Shelly K. Perkins, Attorney at Law, CFA Legal Counsel
Allene Tartaglia, Executive Director
James Simbro, IT Systems Analyst
Matthew Wong, ID Representative

Absent:

Eva Chen, ID-China Representative

Secretary's Note: For the ease of the reader, some items were discussed at different times but were included with their particular agenda.

TRANSCRIPT

(1) VEACH RELICENSING HEARING.

Secretary's Note: Following a hearing and deliberations in executive session, Mr. Veach was relicensed as an Approved Allbreed judge.

Open Session

Mastin: Rachel, will you please do the roll call? **Anger:** I will. [**Secretary's Note:** Secretary Rachel Anger called the roll, as reflected above.] Is there anyone whose name I have not called who is a panelist, or anyone I forgot? I think we are all here. I will turn it back to you, Mr. President. **Mastin:** OK Rachel, thank you. The meeting is called to order. I want to welcome everybody to tonight's meeting. Allene, would you please promote Ms. Fogarty please? **Tartaglia:** Yes. She is joining us now. **Mastin:** Hi Ms. Fogarty. Can you hear me? **Anger:** I'm acknowledging that Matthew Wong just joined the call, thank you. **Mastin:** Thank you Rachel. **Wong:** Good evening.

(2) FOGARTY RELICENSING HEARING.

Present: Carol Fogarty

Mastin: Ms. Fogarty, can you hear me? **Fogarty:** Yes. **Mastin:** I'm welcoming you to this hearing, the relicensing hearing. I do want to [inaudible] process before we get started. Ms. Perkins, CFA Attorney, will introduce into evidence all of the materials. Ms. Perkins will invite you to make a brief statement, keeping in mind we have reviewed all the submitted materials. Ms. Perkins will ask the first round of questions, the Judging Program Committee Chair will ask the second round of questions, the board members will be invited to ask questions. After the questions are done by the board members, Ms. Perkins will ask any additional questions. Ms. Perkins will invite you to make a brief closing statement if you wish, and Ms. Perkins will close the hearing at the end. The deliberations will be in executive session #2 at the end of the evening. Ms. Perkins, will you just clarify what is required for the voting process? **Perkins:** Yes. In order to change status of a judge, then 2/3 vote is required in those deliberations at the end of the session. **Mastin:** Thank you Ms. Perkins. Ms. Perkins, I'm now going to turn it over to you. **Perkins:** Thank you.

Perkins: Ms. Fogarty, do you recall receiving a letter dated February 6, 2023 from Ms. Anger outlining a list of ballot comments and concerns made by board members about your actions as a CFA judge? Do you recall that? **Fogarty:** Yes. What was that date? **Perkins:** February 6th of this year. **Fogarty:** Yes, I have it here. **Perkins:** OK, and on that letter there was a list of comments that the board members made when they filled out the ballot regarding whether or not to relicense you. Many of those concerns were addressed in your specific letter, but I still want to just ask you on the record here today – and at this time I will note for the record that all the materials that you have been provided or that the board has considered, or are part of what this hearing is about or in evidence, so to speak – which means that we also have any letters that you wrote in where you already explained actions that you're going to take and that kind of thing, so those are already in evidence. I wanted to hear from you personally and ask this question. Some of the concerns regarding you all revolve around paperwork errors, and here were the comments by the board: *Concern about paperwork errors; need to improve paperwork; mechanics and paperwork issues; judge has paperwork issues; information came too late regarding the issues; please get serious about improving paperwork ASAP* and finally, the strongest comment was, *There is no excuse for a long-time judge to be making these serious paperwork and mechanical errors, even after being counseled. This is a huge embarrassment to CFA and the Judging Program, but she doesn't seem to care. Must see dramatic improvement in*

paperwork and attitude prior to the hearing, no excuses. So, how do you respond to all of these comments, which really revolve around one central question; that is, the quality of your paperwork. **Fogarty:** OK. When we started back judging after COVID, I think it took all of us a little while to get back into gear. I didn't realize that my paperwork was lacking, because I really hadn't had any issues as far as paperwork being returned and all. The issue that sparked the complaint, I was having a very lousy day. I was not feeling well, there were many circumstances contributing to that, and that show I think was one of my worst as far as paperwork. It just got to a point where like, I just can't fix this. I just had a clerk I couldn't deal very well with, and because I wasn't feeling well that's just the way it went. When I got the news about the complaint, I figured yeah, I know I had a bad day. I know that paperwork was horrible, and I figured that was it. The next show I was very anxious about this letter and having everybody watch me and I made an error at that show. When it was brought to my attention by Vicki I was shocked, because normally I don't make mistakes like that but I hadn't had any sleep, I was jet lagged, I did a top 15 super specialty which I had never done before, and I'm not trying to make excuses but I was really shocked when I saw the mistakes. I have been working very closely with Vicki Nye. We have had several conference calls. My paperwork is very neat now. I'm watching what I write and how I write it, and she feels that I have come a long way and my work is acceptable at this time. I hope to keep monitoring myself so that this never happens again.

Perkins: What do you think is going to help you not make these errors in the future? **Fogarty:** I'm aware of it now. I wasn't in the beginning, and I did chalk it up to being sick that day, but now that I see exactly what was going on and realize it, since it has been brought to my attention I'm much more aware that I need to make my writing so everybody can read it, not just me, and just closely watch what I do and before I write something, double check. **Perkins:** How many shows have you judged since you received these complaints or comments? **Fogarty:** Four or five. **Perkins:** And in those four or five shows, how was your paperwork? **Fogarty:** Well, it got better every time. **Perkins:** Is there something that you can do to continue to make it get better or stay better? **Fogarty:** Well, yes, watch it closely and realize that other people have to look at my work. I know it goes to Shirley and I need to make it legible so she can read it. I don't want to make mistakes for the exhibitors and have any kind of errors there. I don't want to be put in this position again or have anybody else be put in a bad position. **Perkins:** Based on these concerns that you heard and the comments by the board, what is your requested outcome when the board goes into deliberations today? What do you think is fair that the board should do? **Fogarty:** Well, if you read Vicki's letter, I think she is in favor of my being relicensed, and I think that would be fair. As I said, I will continue to monitor myself and I will hopefully never have this happen again. **Perkins:** OK. I don't have any further questions. I turn it over to the board.

Mastin: Melanie, please go first with any questions. **Morgan:** Thank you. Hi Carol. First of all, I would like to thank you for coming here today and for the cooperation that you have given us since the February board meeting and the notice that you received on the 6th of February. The Judging Program has no desire to be punitive. We simply want to ensure that our judges take the accuracy of their paperwork seriously so that every cat we judge has a chance of being scored correctly. We all understand that there are paperwork errors and there are mechanical errors. We're all human, we all make mistakes, we all have bad days. Our biggest concern was what happened initially where we had continued errors after we had notified you. I have to say that the progress that you've made and your paperwork since then is exemplary. I spoke to Central Office and they seem to be happy with it, so my question is pretty simple. I think Shelly kind of touched on it, but I want to just kind of go right to the bullseye on it. Are

you comfortable moving forward if you have what you need from us to ensure that you can correctly make the numerous transfers and types of changes that we need to do and what we encounter overseas, and handle the paperwork without mechanical errors overseas, given the fact that often at some of those shows the clerks and master clerks may not act as a reliable safety net? **Fogarty:** Yeah, you are correct about that. I did review “How to Mark a Judge’s Book” which I had not seen that in I don’t know how long. I think that’s really something worth everybody looking at, because I usually just put a slash when it’s absent, but you should also put an A. Just some of the things I read there really helped me. I appreciate you said that I have improved and I want to continue to improve. This is a serious matter and I take it seriously. I want to watch my paperwork. I don’t want to have somebody come back and say, “hey, look what you did.” **Morgan:** I think that you certainly have judged in some very challenging situations and the fact that you’re pulling paperwork as clean as you have in the last three shows is certainly a sign that you’re taking this seriously. I for one appreciate it. Thank you, that’s all I have Rich. **Currle:** Pretty much echoing what Melanie had just said. She had a very challenging situation in Kuwait with two brand new ring clerks and she did fantastic. The show went very, very smoothly and they were very, very happy to have her. I haven’t heard anything to the negative. In the past, those Kuwait shows have been very, very challenging, as you say, going overseas with new ring clerks, but Carol has really, really worked hard. I have seen her at a few shows and she is really working hard to improve.

Mastin: OK, thank you. Do we have any questions for Carol? I’m going to turn it over to the board. Any additional questions from the board? Shelly? **Perkins:** I have no further questions. **Mastin:** Ms. Fogarty, do you have any closing statement you would like to make? **Fogarty:** Just a brief one, if I could. I would like to apologize for my mistakes, and I appreciate the opportunity to work this out with Vicki and to speak with all of you today. I thank you for your time and consideration. **Mastin:** Thank you Ms. Fogarty for attending the hearing. Shelly, can we close this portion of the hearing? **Perkins:** Yes, we can. **Mastin:** OK, thank you.

Secretary’s Note: Following a hearing in open session and deliberations in executive session, Ms. Fogarty was relicensed as an Approved Allbreed judge.

(3) APPROVE ORDERS OF THE DAY.

| CFA EXECUTIVE BOARD Video Conference Meeting Agenda April 4, 2023 | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Executive Session #1 | | |
| 1. | Veach Relicensing Hearing | Morgan |
| Open Session | | |
| 2. | Fogarty Relicensing Hearing | Morgan |

| Reports of Officers, Boards, and Standing Committees | | |
|--|---|-----------|
| 3. | Approve Orders of the Day | Mastin |
| 4. | Ratification of Online Motions | Anger |
| 5. | Judging Program Report | Morgan |
| 6. | Central Office Report | Tartaglia |
| 7. | IT | Simbro |
| 8. | Yearbook/Publications | Hannon |
| 9. | Show Rules | Raymond |
| 10. | Awards | Dunham |
| 11. | Special Investigation Committee | Shelton |
| 12. | International Division | Calhoun |
| 13. | Show Scheduling | Moser |
| Unfinished Business and General Orders | | |
| 14. | Other Committees | |
| 15. | New Business — Cat Show Power Failure – moved to Executive Session | Colilla |
| 15. | Old Business – Khao Manee Cat Club Guest Judge Request | DelaBar |
| | ADJOURN OPEN SESSION | |

Mastin: We will move on to the agenda. I believe our next Order of Business is to Approve the Orders of the Day. Does anyone have any changes and/or additions? **Colilla:** I would like to talk about the cancellation of the Mid-Ohio Cat Fanciers show. I would like to discuss that at the end of closed session. **Mastin:** At the end of closed session. **Colilla:** Well, as part of closed session. As long as they are taken care of before the night is over, I really appreciate it. **Mastin:** Rachel, where do we have that? **Anger:** Under New Business, item #15, Cat Show Power Failure. **Mastin:** So John, are you asking for it to be moved now into closed session? **Colilla:** Yeah. I think there's some stuff we need to talk about in closed session. **Mastin:** OK, so we will move it into closed session. **Colilla:** Thank you sir.

Calhoun: I would like to add a discussion about the World Cat Congress, and if there's any recommendations or anything that the board would like Rachel and I to have added to the agenda. **Mastin:** Open or closed session? **Calhoun:** Closed session. **Mastin:** OK. Rachel, you are keeping track of this, correct? **Anger:** Yes. **Mastin:** Kathy, anything else?

DelaBar: I just want to have a very quick statement right now to ask my fellow Regional Directors – in fact, the Directors at Large too – to help drum up donations for the Animal Welfare Breeder Assistance Program. They have had an awful lot of breed rescues lately with tremendous veterinary costs. I told Rich earlier today, the veterinary costs are looking almost up to the 6 figures. So please, please – this is one way that we can actually show the world that we do care about the first object of our constitution [*sic*, Bylaws], which is the welfare of all cats. So please

drum up some donations to go to BAP because it's badly, badly needed. That's it, Rich. **Mastin:** Thank you Pam.

Mastin: Any other changes or additions to the Orders of the Day. Rachel, correct me if I'm wrong, we are moving the Cat Show Power Failure that was in Mansfield, Ohio, now into closed session and Kathy Calhoun requested a brief discussion on World Cat Congress, also in closed session. **Anger:** That's correct. **Mastin:** OK. May I have a motion to approve the Orders of the Day, as adjusted? **Eigenhauser:** George moves. **Mastin:** Thank you George. May I have a second? **DelaBar:** DelaBar seconds. **Mastin:** Thank you Pam. Are there any objections? Seeing no objections, the motion passes unanimously, thank you.

The Orders of the Day, as amended, were accepted without objection and became the Orders of Business.

Reports of Officers, Boards, and Standing Committees

(4) RATIFICATION OF ONLINE MOTIONS.

Mastin: We will go on to Ratification of Online Motions. Rachel, you and I have this. Before I turn it over to you, I just want to remind the board – and Shelly will chime in on this if needed – we have a motion currently pending that has not concluded from this weekend. When the time comes to address that, I will open it for debate and we will come to a conclusion on the online motion that is still pending. Alright, Rachel, let's go ahead and do what you have in print.

Anger: Thank you.

| | Moved/ Seconded | Motion | Vote |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| MOTIONS THAT REQUIRE RATIFICATION | | | |
| 1. | Anger Morgan 02.10.23 | Grant approval for Pam DelaBar to guest judge for the Happy Cat Club (Sweden) on August 26/27, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). DelaBar abstained. |
| No discussion. | | | |
| 2. | Anger Curre 02.13.23 | For the April 22/23, 2023 show to be held in Oaks, PA (Region 1), grant an exception to Show Rule 4.06 and allow the Central PA CF, Ragamuffin CF, and Turkish Angora Fanciers International to include one OP/CH ring each day. | Motion Failed. Colilla and Moser voting no. |
| Morgan: My understanding is that there have not been any OCP rings in region one yet? Is that correct? Roy: Correct. Seacoast was scheduled at the meeting. This would make 2 in different parts of the region and different show seasons. | | | |

Anger: I would like to draw your attention to #2, which is a motion that failed. This was a motion that was presented by Sharon Roy if I am not mistaken. Because it failed by two votes, if the author of that motion would like to bring it back up and have the full board vote on it, this would be the time that we would do that. **Roy:** Hold on, I've lost it. **Anger:** It was regarding the April 22/23 show [reads]. **Roy:** Yes, I would like to bring it up. I think it would be very helpful for them, especially with a TICA show 20 minutes away. **Anger:** I will second that motion. **Mastin:** Does anyone have any comments or additional discussion? **Curre:** I just want to reiterate. This is a very popular format for our exhibitors, and I don't think we should just keep it restricted in certain regional areas. This is a new club with serious competition nearby. I think we should support this. **Mastin:** Thank you Kenny. **Moser:** Because of all the reasons we have presented before, and the reason why I'm opposed, is that we have never gotten any feedback on how these shows that have had this – because this is supposed to be an experimental format – what's happened. I mean, there has been nothing said, and I just don't. For the other reason, that this was basically supposed to be used for the International Show and we're letting all these clubs do this, so I've got to be opposed to it. **Mastin:** Thank you Pam. Any additional comments? Seeing no additional comments, if you are in favor of this motion, please raise your hand. As you are raising your hand, the reminder is, please do not take your hand down until I advise you to take your hand down.

Mastin called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser and Colilla voting no. Webb abstained.

Mastin: Kathy Dunham, Mark Hannon, Rachel Anger, Kenny Currle, Pam DelaBar, Melanie Morgan, Yukiko Hayata, Carol Krzanowski, Mike Shelton, George Eigenhauser, Sharon Roy, Paula Noble, Kathy Calhoun, Annette Wilson. Please lower your hand. If you are opposed, raise your hand. Pam Moser and John Colilla. Please lower your hand. If you are an abstention, raise your hand. Russell Webb. Rachel, Please announce the vote. **Anger:** That's 14 yes votes, 2 no votes, 1 abstention. **Mastin:** OK, motion passes, thank you.

| | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---|
| 3. | Anger Currle 02.13.23 | For the April 22/23, 2023 show to be held in Oaks, PA (Region 1), allow the Central PA CF, Ragamuffin CF, and Turkish Angora Fanciers International to award Top 5 highest scoring Turkish Angora and Ragamuffin (not scored). | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| No discussion. | | | |
| 4. | Executive Committee 02.13.23 | For the China Ace Cat Club show February 18, 2023 in Chengdu, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date to 9 PM China time on Thursday, February 16, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| No discussion. | | | |
| 5. | Anger Morgan 02.15.23 | Grant an exception to Show Rule 20.03.b. and allow Olga Grebneva and Olga Korotonozhkina to charge \$1.25 per scheduled entry with no minimum, as they previously received as Guest Judges. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| DelaBar: Thanks Rachel and Melanie. I am totally in support of this motion. They have been judging as allbreed guest judges for at least 10 years. Roy: I agree. They should not take a cut in pay. Webb: I agree. They have proven themselves and should not take a cut in pay. Currle: This is another blip in our rules. Items like this should be kept within the Judging Program and not having the board decide on its outcome. I understand at this time why it's coming up, but let's make it permanent that JPC has the power to implement. | | | |
| 6. | Anger Colilla 02.17.23 | Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.06 and allow the North Atlantic Regional Show to have one OCP ring each day at their show on August 5/6, 2023. | Motion Failed. Colilla, Moser and Wilson voting no. |
| Currle: I would like to hear the rationale from those who have voted previously against the OCP in already exposed areas, Is this going to be based strictly on a geographical allowance? Exhibitors love this concept! Don't listen to me, ask them. DelaBar: We passed this concept as a "special format" basically to be used at our regional shows in conjunction with our awards banquet. But if the frequency of allowing these special rings increases, the opportunities to earn grand points increases, then we are looking at increasing the amount of points required to grand. I don't think exhibitors will love that concept. Hannon: The format was created to encourage more entries at our shows. It was also created with the intention of having additional rings with judges not contracted to judge a normal ring at the show. Experimental formats are to be tried out, obtain feedback from the clubs using them, to ascertain if we want to turn it into an approved format no longer requiring special approval. Hardly any club has submitted an evaluation of the format which is a requirement for all experimental formats. Very few clubs are using additional judges. Having multiple shows using this format in the same general area (suburban Baltimore for example) is not going to provide us with different perspectives. A number of clubs are not achieving the required minimums in Premiership which tells me this format is not achieving its main goal of attracting more entries. We are basically handing out free grand points with the additional placements. Most clubs using this format want to use existing judges and simply expand their final placements in the normal rings. That tells me these clubs realize they are not going to attract sufficient additional entries to pay for two extra rings devoted to OCP. Of course, as | | | |

you commented, exhibitors love this format. Judges are handing out more grand points! Why not just increase the number of champion/premier placements in every ring? Logically, of course, we should increase the number of points required to grand. **Colilla:** Cats grand faster and it hurts the show count for the following shows. We lost a lot of entries when we dropped the winners ribbons. Clubs need entries to survive. **Currle:** I am trying to grasp where it cheapens grands when you actually defeat a competitive champion. You can obtain a CH with zero competition. Thanks for the feedback. Nice discussion.

Mastin: Next one, Rachel. **Anger:** The next one I would like to bring up is #6. It failed with 3 no votes [reads]. I believe it was Sharon's motion again. **Roy:** Yes, it was. The difference with this one is that the North Atlantic Region was planning on hiring two separate judges just to do OCP. They are not going to be part of the regular judging panel. **Mastin:** Thank you Sharon. **Anger:** If that's your motion, I'll second it. **Roy:** OK. **Tartaglia:** I just wanted to mention that we are going into the new show season with an experimental format. When does it not become experimental? Every single one of these shows that's done with the OCP rings has to be scored manually. It takes almost the same amount of time to score an OCP ring as it does the show itself. If we're going to continue with these, it's something we need programming for instead of continuing to keep it experimental. I just wanted to mention that. **Mastin:** Allene, do we need to address the question tonight? **Tartaglia:** No. I brought it up at the last meeting but nothing ever seems to happen with it, so I just wanted to mention again to bring it to your attention. It doesn't need to be addressed tonight. **Wilson:** I just wanted to mention, I voted for the one in Oaks in April because it's before the start of the new show season, but starting with the new show season, when there are 50 or 60 premiers and 85 cats in championship entered, we're already going to be selecting additional champions and premiers, so that's why I'm having a problem supporting this after May 1st. We already have something in place that is going to be requiring us to hang ribbons on additional champions and premiers. **Mastin:** OK, thank you Annette. Any additional comments? I'm going to call for the vote on this. **DelaBar:** Going back, we allowed this at first for regional shows, basically in conjunction with our regional awards banquets. We're coming up on the time where we're putting together our regional awards banquets and the shows that accompany them. I believe in other experimental formats, we went over a year before we were able to evaluate the total effectiveness of the program. I have no problem with Sharon's regional awards show to actually have the format that we've been discussing for regional awards shows. **Wilson:** Maybe I'm confused. I thought this was a regional show. I didn't know it was a regional awards show. Can Sharon clarify that? **Roy:** Yes, it's a regional awards show. **Wilson:** OK. Well, that changes my mind, thank you. **Mastin:** Any additional questions or comments? If you are in favor, please raise your hand.

Mastin called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

Mastin: Kathy Calhoun, Mark Hannon, Carol Krzanowski, Kenny Currle, George Eigenhauser, Cathy Dunham, Pam DelaBar, Yukiko Hayata, Mike Shelton, Annette Wilson, Sharon Roy, Rachel Anger, Paula Noble, John Colilla, Russell Webb, Melanie Morgan. Please lower your hand. If you are opposed raise your hand. Pam Moser. Lower your hand. If you are an abstention raise your hand. Seeing no abstentions, Rachel please call the vote. **Anger:** That's 16 yes, 1 no, zero abstentions. **Mastin:** OK, the motion passes.

| | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 7. | Executive Committee 02.17.23 | Grant permission to Olga Grebneva and Olga Korotonozhkina to judge an ENFI show on March 25-26, 2023 in Florence, Italy, as their contract was signed prior to these two ladies becoming CFA Judges. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| Calhoun: I spoke with the Judging Program and the chair is supportive. | | | |
| 8. | Anger Calhoun 02.20.23 | Regarding the Mentor & New Bee Program, (a) ratify the appointment by President Mastin of Leslie Carr as Chair and Jodell Raymond as Vice Chair, and (b) change the name of the program to "New Exhibitor Program". | Motion Failed. Hannon voting no. |
| <p>Hannon: At the October 2022 board meeting the board voted on this motion to change the name of the committee. You could check the minutes to verify that the action item was passed. Thus, the current motion needs to be revised.</p> <p>Anger: Rich requested this motion. Perhaps he could elaborate. At the October meeting we changed Mentoring & NewBee to Mentorship. My interpretation was that we are now naming the NewBee portion the New Exhibitor Program. Mastin: I now see and understand the confusion. The old committee Mentoring and NewBee was changed back in October as requested by the marketing committee to Mentorship, and it was unanimously supported by the board. I am asking we change "Mentorship" to "New Exhibitor". Rachel I am sorry for not being clear in my original email. Rationale – Both Leslie and Jodell said they do not have the background on mentoring breeders or addressing questions on breeding or breed specifics. Carissa and Annette agreed to take questions on breeding and breed specifics. Leslie and Jodell agree to take on the "New Exhibitors" Program. I see why Mark is suggesting the motion be revised to changing "Mentorship Program" to "New Exhibitor Program". Once again my apologies on messing up this request.</p> <p>Mastin: I am clarifying this motion in order to address any possible confusions. The "Mentoring and NewBee" Program name was changed to "Mentorship" at the October 2022 Board meeting as requested by the Marketing Committee and approved by the Board. The current motion should be/is to change the name of the program from "Mentorship" to "New Exhibitor". The rationale behind the name change was the same concern noted by both Leslie Carr and Jodell Raymond when I asked if they would takeover chairing this program. Neither of them felt comfortable addressing questions or giving advice/guidance on breeding, breeders or breed specific. Carissa Altschul and Annette Wilson have agreed to answer breeding and breed specific questions. The discussion on this motion will close today (Feb. 18) at 4:13 pm ET, and then the motion will be available for your vote. Additional information – Carol Krzanowski has agreed to continue as Board Liaison and Cathy Dunham, Paula Noble and Teresa Keiger have all agreed to help Leslie and Jodell. Hannon: Rachel amended her motion on 2/17. On 2/18 Rich clarified that amended motion. Has Rachel amended her motion again to reflect Rich's clarification? Somehow it does not seem right for us to be voting on a motion that includes an error and needed clarification. I am not comfortable voting on an amended motion that we know has an error. Perhaps Shelly can provide guidance. Should Rachel amend her motion to correct the error and everyone vote again? This should have been very simple but even after being amended the motion contains an error when referring to the current name of the Program Rich wants changed to New Exhibitor Program.</p> <p>Hannon: I am voting "no" on the motion because the name of the committee being renamed is incorrect in the motion. On-line motions must be unanimous to pass. Shelly Perkins recommends that we bring this back up and break it into two motions, one renaming the committee using the correct name for the committee being renamed and a second motion ratifying the appointments of Leslie Carr as chair and Jodell Raymond as Vice-Chair. Shelly recommends against combining two motions into one.</p> | | | |
| 9. | Executive Committee 02.22.23 | For the Hua Xia Cat Club show on February 25, 2023 in Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date to 9 PM China time on Thursday, February 23, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| No discussion. | | | |

| | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 10. | Anger Wilson 02.23.23 | Change the name of the Mentorship Program to New Exhibitor Program. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| No discussion. | | | |
| 11. | Anger Wilson 02.23.23 | Ratify the appointment by President Mastin of Leslie Carr as Chair and Jodell Raymond as Vice Chair of the New Exhibitor Program. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| No discussion. | | | |
| 12. | Anger Roy 02.27.23 | For its 6 ring, 4 SSP championship, 2 SSP kitten show April 15/16, 2023 in Orange, France (Region 9), grant the Khao Manee Cat Club permission to hold an in-conjunction show with FIFe club Cat Club Provence Côte d’Azur et Corse, on the condition that the club be informed that they should comply with the Guidelines (and enclose a copy with our approval). | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| <p>Wilson: Given the issues with another club in France, I’d like to know the names of the club President, secretary, show manager, show secretary. Currle: I think we should support any show that exposes CFA. I support this motion. DelaBar: Khao Manee Cat Club president is Celine Prata, secretary is Romain Attard, show manager is Romain Attard. I do not remember the show secretary name. It is a diversified breed club with members also in Thailand. Frederic Goedert is not named anywhere in the club or the show license. FYI there are 5 CFA clubs in France. Moser: According to the CFA’s clubs listing as of 2 days ago, Khao Manee Cat Club has not paid its club dues or sent in their membership list. Also it shows the President as MARY ELLEN TROUP, Vice President as MATTANEEYA PATTARAGAMOL, Secretary as ROMAIN ATTARD and treasurer as FREDERIC GOEDERT. DelaBar: Sorry, you’re incorrect. Khao Manee is in good standing and has been. The current membership list has the correct officers. I do not know where you got your outdated information. Mastin: Allene, Please confirm Khao Manee Cat Club has paid their dues (or not), they are in good standing (or not) and identify all four club officers. Tartaglia: Khao Manee paid their dues and submitted a membership list on February 16, 2023 and are considered in good standing as of 2/16/23. The officers are: President: Celine Pratas; Vice President: Mattaneeya Pattaragamol; Secretary: Romain Attard; Treasurer: Audrey Pouilloux. Mastin: Allene, Thank you for the information. Wilson: Looks like the club requirements received 2/16/2023, according to the list of clubs on the CFA website. Likely not yet updated when Pam M. Inquired. DelaBar: Khao Manee has been in good standing since 16 February. Moser: I received a list from Amber 2 days ago and I assumed it was completely up to date. If not then I would appreciate getting a completely updated list, as I’m working off that for clubs traditional dates. DelaBar: Pam, I keep up to date info on my clubs so I can track traditional dates, sponsorship requests for shows [text omitted]. I would not have sent a request for an in-conjunction show for a club not in good standing and with suspended personnel in show positions. In the past, suspended persons could still retain membership in clubs - they just could not do anything with it. [text omitted]</p> | | | |
| 13. | Executive Committee 03.01.23 | For the Man Miao Cat Club show on March 4/5, 2023 in Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 2, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
| <p>Hannon: It seems strange to me that we have established a universal closing for Regions 1-7 and we are frequently being asked to extend closing time in China. Because we have no qualms about approving it, they just keep asking. Calhoun: China has hurdles to overcome that don’t exist in regions 1-7. We allow shows in China to license their shows with less than 30 days before the opening day of the show. That in and of itself is a challenge but at this time, a necessary evil. Why we do this is a fair question. There are issues with competing organizations so the clubs need to limit their exposure window. This is counterproductive but we have to manage around those competing entities. Covid is still a factor that interferes with long termed planning. Areas fall in and out of being closed. Exhibitors plan in the short term. Most test for covid routinely. Depending on the test results, an exhibitor can</p> | | | |

decide to enter a show occurring a few days out or quarantine. All that being said, I am very pleased that this club is attracting new exhibitors. That is great news! Thanks for shedding a light on this.

| | | | |
|-----|------------------------------|--|---|
| 14. | Anger Curre 03.02.2023 | For the Champ of the Champ's May 20/21, 2023 show in Kunigan City, Jakarta, Indonesia, grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 and allow a change to the show license regarding location, approximately 21 kilometers distance to Baywalk Mall, Jakarta, Indonesia. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
|-----|------------------------------|--|---|

No discussion.

| | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|---|---|
| 15. | Anger Curre 03.07.23 | For its March 11/12, 2023 show in Bangkok, Thailand on March 11/12, 2023, grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 to allow the Central Breed Cat Club to change its show license from 7 AB/1 SSP to 8 AB and grant an exception to Show Rule 3.12 to allow Kit Fung to judge two CFA shows in different locations on the same weekend. | Motion Failed. Anger, Calhoun, Colilla, Morgan and Moser voting no. |
|-----|----------------------------|---|---|

Wilson: I'm curious if the club in Malaysia (who already had Kit contracted to judge) is OK with this...since if there is any issue with flights (it's about a 2 1/2 hour flight from BKK to KUL (the town where the Malaysia show is held is not far from the KL airport), they will be the club impacted. I might feel differently about this if the Bangkok show had Kit on Sunday. Also, is this going to be a new thing? It's one thing to lose a judge a week out, but in this case, it looks like the Thailand club is using proximity to add/change a format. So, could other clubs do this? Even though we have a Show Rule preventing it? I need to think about this. Someone convince me. **Anger:** I have not heard from the club in Malaysia nor Kit himself. The communication with the club has been a bit confusing, so with trying to straighten out who wants what, there has not been time to reach out to either. I can do that now if the board prefers. I am curious about the same questions Annette brought up. They stated to me that the club wants to add a ring so they could have a male judge, and because it was a good opportunity. Also, I am sure it's a type-o but their entry limit says "25". **Wong:** Can answer your first question. The organising club in Malaysia is owned by Kit Fung, American Shorthair Club. So I assume Kit as the organiser for the show on 12 March is comfortable that he can leave Thailand on 11 March in time. **Roy:** I am voting yes but hope this does not set a precedent going forward. These areas have so few opportunities for an economical judge. **Wilson:** The same argument could be made for many locations...they could have 1 less ring. **DelaBar:** I do not have a "dog in this fight", but can we focus on this one case without projecting any ramifications for possible future incidents? **Shelton:** I have to say that I share some of Annette's concerns. Specifically, whether the Malaysian club is OK with this, and granting a change this late in the game when there is not any emergency. I'm also not entirely comfortable with the idea of a club wanting to add a judge just to get a male judge. **DelaBar:** Did not the club originally have 7 AB rings and 1 SSP, and a total of 8 rings? There is a format change requested but no additional rings. Airfares to Asia and SE Asia are ridiculously high from - everywhere. The clubs can split the costs for Kit making him very affordable. If this motion passes, and I hope it will, Central Breed CC can be instructed they must share Kit's expenses. Other associations have taken the opportunity to have 1 or 2 judges officiate in one location on Saturday, and another on Sunday. I personally have done this twice - once with CCCofA in Australia traveling after the show in Brisbane on Saturday and to Melbourne to judge on Sunday. The FIFe federations in Poland, Czech Republic, and Slovakia coordinate for their "border shows". The exhibitors might enter more than one of these shows during the weekend to get their "international certificates", but the judges usually do not judge the same cats over the weekend. The year I judged these shows, I flew into Poland, traveled to Czech, judged there, traveled to Slovakia to judge the next day, then back to Poland to stay overnight before taking a flight home. I have no idea why we in CFA are so afraid of trying something new, a prospective innovation to help clubs conduct shows. We do not have to have the entire judging panel move from one location to another, perhaps just 1 or 2 judges. It does require clubs to work together to split costs.

| | | | |
|-----|------------------------------------|--|---|
| 16. | Executive Committee 03.08.23 | For the China Ace Cat Club show on March 11/12, 2023 in Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date and time to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 9, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
|-----|------------------------------------|--|---|

Hannon: The rationale does not appear to be unique to this show. It is also something they should have realized would be an issue before today. **Mastin:** I believe we have consistently approved these requests for all China Clubs asking to extend closing date to Thursday's. Hopefully in the new show season all clubs in China will adhere to the new required 14 days before show date to license a show, and they will adhere to the set (no later than) entry closing date and time without asking to extend. The challenge will likely be for clubs to refrain from asking for extensions and the Board/EC being consistent to not allow. As we all know once we approve such a request others will ask. Keeping in mind the new entry closing deadline for all other clubs in the new show season is no later than 11:59 pm Eastern Time (ET) on the Monday before the show. I am support the request and voting yes because we have approved a number of these request this year.

| | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 17. | Executive Committee 03.08.23 | For the Polaris Club show on March 11/12, 2023 in Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date and time to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 9, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|---|

No discussion.

| | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|---|---|
| 18. | Anger Morgan 03.10.23 | For its show March 12, 2023 in San Genesio Ed Uniti, Italy, grant the 44 Gatti club an exception to Show Rule 21.07 and allow Oscar Silva-Sanchez to clerk at the show. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
|-----|--------------------------|---|---|

Webb: I see nothing wrong with letting Oscar clerk for this show. **Roy:** I agree. Club needs a clerk.

| | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 19. | Executive Committee 03.20.23 | For its 6 AB, 5 AB/ISSP (CH/PR) show in Tokyo, Japan on March 26, 2023 (Region 8), grant the Micina Cat Fanciers an exception to Show Rule 5.01.m. and allow them to increase their entry limit from 150 to 200. The club will issue a new flyer, publicize the change, and send notifications to all entered exhibitors. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|

No discussion.

| | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 20. | Executive Committee 03.20.23 | For the Jinling Cats Show on March 25-26, 2023 in Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date and time to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 23, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|

No discussion.

| | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 21. | Executive Committee 03.23.23 | For the China Peace & Love Club show on March 25, 2023 in Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.c. to allow the club to extend its closing date and time to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 23, 2023. | Motion Failed (for lack of a second). |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|--|

Hannon: It's too late for us to vote on this request. The requested extension is for 9:00 Thursday and it is past that now in China. Let the motion die for lack of a second. **Mastin:** This is no fault of Rachel's on when the club sent the request for the extension. The club sent the request after their original closing deadline and by the time Rachel received the request to send out to the EC was past the club's 9:00 pm closing request for today. I understand the reason why China has such a late closing deadline and why some are requesting extensions. The concern I have (and maybe all of you may have the same concern) is these are coming in on a regular basis at the last minute. Not sure what the perfect fix is, but getting these week after week or every other week is not good for all of us trying to get to these in a timely manner. I have also been informed by three people from the ID committee that China will have more shows in the new season. That's great news, however, not great if the majority are asking for an exception to extending closing date. Options to consider to fix –

1. Follow the show rule.

2. Extend the deadline within the show rules and follow it.

3. Maybe let CO handle or at least be notified.

My concern is not following the show rule(s), us granting exceptions to show rule and having so many requests on the same show rule. This is how precedents are set and others also wanting exceptions. IMO, this motion is no longer necessary as the clubs requested change has now expired. The club should be reminded of the show rule and a decision needs to be made on this show rule for the future. **Anger:** Thank you for the ruling, Rich. I will correspond with the club and copy the Executive Committee.

| | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 22. | Executive Committee 03.28.23 | For the Feline Fanciers Society of Singapore 4 AB show April 16, 2023 in Singapore (ID-Other), (1) grant an exception to Show Rule 5.01.m. and increase the entry limit from 100 to 120, and (2) grant an exception to Show Rule 5.01.m. to allow the club to extend its closing date by four days. The club will issue a new flyer, publicize the change and send notifications to all entered exhibitors. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|

Hannon: The flyer appears to state the closing date is April 1st. Since the motion did not state it, I looked at the flyer.

| | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 21. | Executive Committee 03.29.23 | For the China Morning Star Club's 4 SP/1 AB show on April 1, 2023 in Shen Yang, China, grant an exception to Show Rule 6.35.b. to allow the club to extend its closing date and time to 9 PM China time on Thursday, March 30, 2023. | Motion Carried (subject to ratification). |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|---|

Hannon: All of us want to see our shows succeed. This is particularly true in China where we have a significant portion of our income. It seems like almost every show in China is asking for an extension of the closing date. I would like to see Kathy's comments as ID Chair on what we should do. It does not seem fair to single out this club and vote against their request. Should we force clubs in China to close on Wednesday as stipulated in the show rules? Should we amend the show rules to change the closing date? Perhaps she has another suggestion. She might want to discuss it with Matthew, John, and Wain. **Calhoun:** I have already sent an email to the ID committee to see if there is anyone not in favor of approving this. The ID committee is in support of this motion. The challenges in China are different from those in the US. We can discuss further in closed session in April if desired. When the vote is called I will vote yes. The ID committee is also in support of having shows held open in the International Division until Thursday prior to the opening day of the show. I am aware of the concerns in other regions but the dynamics in these areas are very different from those in Reg 1 - 9. **Tartaglia:** A little more info regarding closing dates. Up until the new show season, the only area that had a specified closing date was China (6.36b). All other shows could close whenever they wanted. Effective with the new show season (2023-2024), shows in regions 1-7 are required to close by Monday, thereby, leaving regions 8 & 9 and the ID (including Hong Kong and Macau) able to close whenever they'd like. Ed is including the following show rule change for Tuesday's meeting:

6.35 b. The entry clerk will close the show to additional entries when the entry limit is reached or 9:00 p.m., China time, on the ~~Wednesday~~ Thursday before the show, whichever is first.

Rationale: requested by the ID committee. With shows in China licensing 7 days before the show opens (14 in 2023-2024), the additional time to obtain entries is crucial.

Hannon: I will vote in favor but I want Kathy to put on the Agenda for the April board meeting changing the show rule to state Thursday for closing rather than Wednesday. We need to help our shows in China succeed. With foreign judges allowed in China again they can have more Allbreed rings which should help attract more entries to our shows. I have been told that having regular judges (vs associates) will also help.

Mastin: OK Rachel, continue. **Anger:** Motion #8 failed. I believe that was resolved elsewhere. Motions 15 and 21 failed, and those events have taken place so those motions are concluded. **Mastin:** Rachel, let's go ahead and take your motion on ratifying all online motions. Are you read for that? **Anger:** I'm ready for that. **Mastin:** I need a second. **Krzanowski:** Carol

seconds. **Mastin:** Thank you Carol. Any objections? Seeing no objection, the motion passes unanimously to ratify all online motions, thank you.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

Mastin: OK, so the only online motion we have left to deal with is the one that is still pending. I don't know where we are on the count for the vote, but I do want to give the maker of the motion and whoever seconded it to bring forth any additional comments, or if they would like to amend this motion and then I will ask the rest of the board for their additional comments.

Anger: I actually have that under Old Business, unless you want to move it forward and take care of that agenda item now.

Mastin: Shelly, should we be doing this now, since we are ratifying online motions? **Perkins:** Are we talking about the one that is currently still open?

Mastin: Yes. **Perkins:** Well, it's an online motion so it should be in this area. It's still an open motion on the floor. **Anger:** OK. I am the maker of that motion so I need to go to it. I would like to amend that motion. It's on page 51. The motion would be amended to say:

Board Motion: *That the Khao Manee Cat Club be given permission to replace an injured judge with Aina Nuke (as approved by Vicki Nye), for its upcoming show in Orange, France, 15-16 April 2023 as follows:*

- *Lorraine Rivard SSP in KIT, AB in CH and PR -Marilee Griswold SSP in KIT and CH, AB in PR*
- *Aina Nuke AB -Inga Balciuniene ~~SSP in CH, AB in KIT and PR~~ AB*
- *Iris Zinck, SSP in CH, AB in KIT and PR*
- *Michael Schleißner, SSP in CH, AB in KIT and PR*

Anger: The amendment I would like to make is that because the club has issued a new flyer with Aina Nuke not doing super specialty, that would be the change to Pam's written motion there.

So, she would be doing – as is reflected on the flyer – allbreed. No super specialty. **Mastin:** Just for clarification purposes, for all those in the audience, Rachel, Aina is a guest judge, correct?

Anger: She is a guest judge who is not in our approved Guest Judge Program at this time.

DelaBar: The original show license showed Daniel Counasse as super specialty in championship, Marilee Griswold super specialty in championship and kittens. There was no change on Marilee. Daniel unfortunately is the judge who broke his hand. Lorraine Rivard was originally scheduled on the original flyer and on the show license, which I had provided the board – the show license and the original flyer. Lorraine was scheduled to do super specialty in kittens and championship. The revised show, she is doing just super specialty in kittens. The original show license had a TBA and that was filled by Iris Zinck. The championship super specialty was given to Iris and taken away from Lorraine. Michael Schleissner, there was no change in his assignment doing super specialty in championship, and the same thing with Inga B doing super specialty in championship. Aina Nuke was added to replace Daniel Counasse, and she is allbreed only. This show is in two weeks. **Mastin:** Thank you Pam. Are there any other additional comments or questions? OK, Shelly, is this considered a motion that requires 50%+, or is this a 2/3 motion now? **Perkins:** It's a motion that has been pre-noticed and it is a motion that the board has known is coming and is pre-noticed, and so I would say it's a 51%, because it's a motion that has been on the floor for quite some time. **Mastin:** And because the motion

was never concluded, the board was able to amend it? **Perkins:** Correct. **Mastin:** OK, thanks for clarifying that. Rachel, you have your hand up? **Anger:** I was going to clarify that, as well. **Mastin:** Thank you. Seeing no additional questions or comments, I'm going to call for the vote. If you are in favor of this motion, please raise your hand.

Mastin called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Mastin: Rachel Anger, Kenny Currle, Cathy Dunham, Pam DelaBar, Russell Webb, Carol Krzanowski, George Eigenhauser, Yukiko Hayata, Mike Shelton, Kathy Calhoun, Paula Noble, Sharon Roy, Mark Hannon, Annette Wilson, John Colilla, Pam Moser, Melanie Morgan. Please lower your hand. If you are opposed raise your hand. If you are an abstention raise your hand. Rachel, no one opposed, no one abstained. **Anger:** That's correct. 17 yes, zero no, zero abstentions. **Mastin:** OK thank you. Motion passes. Next time I will call for objections.

Background: A policy regarding guest judges judging Super Specialty rings was unanimously approved on December 3, 2018 to restrict the super specialty format to licensed CFA judges. The online motion was published in the February 2019 minutes. The show license appears below, along with a revised show flyer.

ENV. 190563

The Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc. • Show License Application®

*** See Reverse Side for Instructions, General Information and Licensing Fees ***

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
| PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY WITH BALLPOINT PEN ON HARD SURFACE | | CHECK ONE | | FOR CENTRAL OFFICE USE ONLY | |
| Club Name KHAO MANEE CAT CLUB | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Benchling Club | | Date Recd. 04/21/23 | Club Date: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Show Date April 15 & 16, 2023 | Show Time (include Adult) 10:00 AM - 5:00 PM | Entry Limit 225 | <input type="checkbox"/> Ring Sponsor | Club # 1704 | Show Insur. <input type="checkbox"/> |
| SHOW SECRETARY INFORMATION | | | ENTRY CLERK INFORMATION - FOR PUBLICATION USE 04/21/23 | | |
| AUDREY POULLOUX Show Secretary Name 120 rue du Général Lapostolle Street Address - For UPS Delivery Cantinade FRANCE 11400 City (+33) 075955273 State/Country ZIP/Postal Code Day Phone - For C.O. use ONLY Night Phone - C.O. use ONLY Email Address - For C.O. use ONLY | | | ROMAIN ATTARD Entry Clerk Name Le Pigeonnier Address Saint Mayme de Parayrol France 24380 City (+33) 049009488 State/Country ZIP/Postal Code Phone * List #s to be published * Email Address - ONLY list to be published | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Check box if all Show Supplies are to be sent to the Entry Clerk. | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, send carbonless paper* OR <input type="checkbox"/> No, do NOT send paper* | | |

*Individual sheet fed, laser paper is blank & the entry clerk software will print the grid for the judge's books in addition to the cat information.

| JUDGE INFORMATION | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Judge | Ring Type | Judge | Ring Type |
| 1 Daniel Counasse (G) | SSP in CH | Michael Schleissner | SSP in CH |
| Manlee Griswold | SSP in CH + KT | 1 Inga Balciuniene (G) | SSP in CH |
| Lorraine Rivard | SSP in KT CH | | |
| TBA | AB | | |

| SHOW HALL INFORMATION | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| ESPACE ALFONSE DAUDET | 24 AVENUE ANTOINE PINAY |
| Name | Street Address |
| ORANGE | FRANCE 84100 |
| City | State/Country ZIP/Postal Code |
| | (+33) 4 90 51 41 41 |
| | Phone - include Area Code |

1. IMPORTANT - PROVIDE SHOW HALL INFORMATION

SHOW COMMITTEE INFORMATION - For completion only by Benchling Clubs. CFA Show Rules require show committees to be comprised of no less than five (5) individuals. List committee members in addition to those listed for Show Secretary & Entry Clerk.

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Laurence GOUZY | 1047 Chemin de l'Esperance | BEAUFORT | France | 31370 | chaume-arabians@orange.fr |
| Sarah Mervin | Street Address | City | State/Country | ZIP/Postal Code | Email |
| Helene SERVANT | 23 Rue du Stade | Vendres | France | 34350 | heleneservant@laposte.net |
| Chantal Mueen | Street Address | City | State/Country | ZIP/Postal Code | Email |
| Marie Christine VALVERDE | 71 Allée du Bois Joli | Saint Maximin | France | 83470 | mariechristinevalverde@gmail.fr |
| Committee Member | Street Address | City | State/Country | ZIP/Postal Code | Email |
| Richard FERRARI | 41 Rue du Docteur Albert Tomney | Carcassonne | France | 11000 | richardferrari@orange.fr |
| Committee Member | Street Address | City | State/Country | ZIP/Postal Code | Email |

| CLUB OFFICIALS - For completion by Benchling and, if applicable, Sponsoring Clubs | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Celine PRATAS | 299 Chemin de Calibat | Labarthe sur Leze | France | 31380 | khaomaneeco@gmail.com |
| Club President | Street Address | City | State/Country | ZIP/Postal Code | Email |
| Romain ATTARD | Le Pigeonnier | Saint Mayme de P. | France | 24380 | attardromain@yahoo.fr |
| Club Secretary | Street Address | City | State/Country | ZIP/Postal Code | Email |

We agree to abide by the rules of The Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc. and ask that the above date(s) and choice of judges be authorized by CFA.

THE CLUB AFFIRMS IT WILL FOLLOW AND IMPLEMENT ALL LOCAL, STATE, FEDERAL AND NATIONAL COVID-19 AND RELATED HEALTH AND SAFETY MANDATES, RESTRICTIONS AND GUIDELINES IN THE PLANNING AND PRODUCTION OF THIS SHOW.

| | | | |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Club Secretary Signature <i>[Signature]</i> | Date 04/21/23 | CFA Authorized <i>[Signature]</i> | Date 04/21/23 |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|

Return Show License to: CFA • 260 East Main Street, Alliance, OH 44601 • 330-680-4070

The Cat Fanciers' Association 20200 30344-21

New Reg 04/21/23



Khao Manee Cat Club, CFA & FIFé

Welcome you in

Orange, France

Espace Alphone DAUDET

April 15th & 16th 2023

6 AB rings: 4 SSP in Championship +2 SSP in Kittens
(3 judgments per cat per day, 6 rings on 2 days)



On Saturday

Marilee GRISWOLD (South Carolina, USA) AB + SSP CH + KT
Lorraine RIVARD (Quebec, Canada) AB + SSP KT
Iris ZINCK (Massachusetts, USA) AB + SSP CH

on Sunday

Michael SCHLEISSNER (Germany) AB + SSP CH
Inga BALCIUNIENE (Lithuania) (WCF G) AB + SSP CH
Aija NUKE (Lettonia) (WCF G) AB

Entry Fees:

1st Cat: 70 € or for 1 day: 40€
2nd Cat: 60 € or for 1 day: 35€
3rd Cat: 50 € or for 1 day: 30€
4th cat & + : 40 € or for 1 day: 30€

Exhibition Only: 30 € HHP: 20€
Non CFA registered cats* : 30€
(*from non CFA Parents!)

Khao Manee, Lykoi, Toybob 25 €

4 cats Package: 220€

CFA Tax per cat 2 €
Printed Catalogue 10 €

Club Members discounts: Cat-H-Art, KMCC, JdK -10%

Options:

Show Sponsoring From 100€
TRN (mandatory for adults without CFA #) 15 €
Double cage or Grooming Space 20 €
Late fees Payment (after 10 days) 50%
Catalog ads starting from 20 € !!!

Early Bird: -10%/Ent. discount before Feb, 28th

Late Fees after April. 2nd 5€ per Entry

Late Fees after April. 8th :+10€ per Entry

Extremely late Fees: after April 10th +50%

Entry Clerk :

Romain ATTARD

Le Pigeonnier / F-24380 ST MAXME DE PEREYPROL

France - Tél/ +33 6 49 06 94 98

Email: khaomaneccc@gmail.com

**Deadline for entries: April 11 or when 225 cats
are entered (which ever comes first)**

Entry fees: according to CFA show rules 6.21
entries and payment should be sent together.
Please use PayPal or IBAN free of charge for us.

khaomaneccc@gmail.com

is our PayPal account.

Show Hall:

Espace Alphonse DAUDET

24 avenue Antoine PINET

84100 Orange, France

Free parking on site

Train: Avignon TGV (34 km or 32 mn drive)

Airport: Marseille MRS (97 km or 1 hrs)

Orange Train Station : (3 km or 7 mn)

Vet check in from 08:00 to 09:30 am

Judging: Saturday from 10:00 am 7:00 pm

Sunday from 10:00 am / 6:00 pm



Sanitary Requirements:

All cats must be identified by chip. Claws: of all four feet must be clipped. No declawed cats may be entered. All cats must be free of fleas, fungus, ear mites and any contagious illness. Show Management reserves the right to have any cat suspected of any of the above removed from the show hall until a licensed veterinarian can make an examination at the exhibitor's expense. Regular vaccinations must be valid. According to European regulation # EU 998/2003 a valid vaccination against rabies will be requested. Please check:
http://ec.europa.eu/food/animals/pet-movement/eu-legislation/index_en.htm

Rules: All cats can be judged up to 6 times during the two days. * AB are All Breed rings and SP are Specialty rings. SSP rings associate both AB and SP finals for Kittens and Championship. There will be 4 SSP judgements in Championship and two in Kittens and 3 AB in Premiership each day. No kitten under 4 months on the opening day of the show will be allowed in CFA. Permanent flats will be used, but ribbons will be available on request. This show will be conducted under current CFA rules. A copy of these rules may be obtained on line : CFA: 260 East Main St, Alliance, OH 44601, USA www.cfa.org

Contributions to the awards fund would be greatly appreciated.

All cats CFA registered will be scored for CFA regional and national awards. Cats older than 8 months can compete in Novice class. Their « Winner » awards will be scored and used to claim their Champion/Premier title once they are registered with CFA. All cats including Novice can be placed in the top 10 of each judge in each category (Championship, Premiership & Kitten).

You can also now apply for a TNR (Temporary Number of Registration) before the opening day of the show with a certified pedigree for your CFA non registered Cat or Kitten. Your cat will be entitled to compete and win in all the CFA classes and as long as your cat can be confirmed and registered according to the CFA registration rules. Please contact us for additional information.

All cats must stay in the show hall during the judging timing unless the Show Manager allow you to leave. Liability: Khao Manee Cat Club or the city of Orange will take reasonable care to safeguard the property of exhibitors. Neither clubs, its members or Hall des Exposition d'Orange will be liable for any loss or damage. No guard service will be available Saturday evening and all cats must be removed from the show hall during the evening hours.

Additional info: www.cfaeurope.org
Enter via our online form only



Hotels:

www.booking.com

© Khao Manee Cat Club 2023



(5) **JUDGING PROGRAM REPORT.**

Executive Committee

Chair: Melanie Morgan
Vice Chair: Vicki Nye
Advisor/Coordinator: Rachel Anger

List of Committee Members:

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| <i>Rachel Anger:</i> | <i>Associate Program and Applications Administrator</i> |
| <i>Loretta Baugh:</i> | <i>Education and Mentoring</i> |
| <i>Nancy Dodds:</i> | <i>File Administrator</i> |
| <i>Marilee Griswold:</i> | <i>File Administrator</i> |
| <i>Kathi Hoos:</i> | <i>Applications Administrator</i> |
| <i>Barbara Jaeger:</i> | <i>Breed Awareness & Orientation</i> |
| <i>Anne Mathis:</i> | <i>Associate Program Training Administrator, Judges' training/tests & Continuing Education</i> |
| <i>Vicki Nye:</i> | <i>Guest Judges, Statistics, Evaluation Coordinator</i> |
| <i>Teresa Sweeney:</i> | <i>Recruitment & Development Administrator</i> |
| <i>Diana Rothermel:</i> | <i>Ombudsperson</i> |
| <i>Sharon Roy:</i> | <i>Experimental Formats</i> |

Mastin: We will move on to Melanie Morgan with the Judging Program. **Morgan:** Thank you Rich. Unless someone has a specific item or area they would like to discuss, or any questions about any of these areas, I'll jump directly to the action items in the report. Does anyone have any questions? **Mastin:** I see no questions, Melanie.

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The entire committee met April 3rd to in preparation for this meeting.

Leaves of Absence:

None

Retirements:

None

Guest Judging Report:

Permission has been granted for the following:

CFA Judges to Judge non-CFA International or Domestic Assignments:

| Judge | Assn | Sponsor | City/Country | Date |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Raymond, Allan | Fun Show | N Mark Cat Show | Bangkok, Thailand | 3/17/23 |
| Grebneva, Olga | ENFI | ENFI | Florence, Italy | 3/25/23 |
| Korotonozhkina, Olga | ENFI | ENFI | Florence, Italy | 3/25/23 |
| Lertjeerawongsakul, N | Fun Show | Thailand Allbreed CF Club | Bangkok Thailand | 4/2/23 |
| Rattanaweerawong, I | Fun Show | Thailand Allbreed CF Club | Bangkok, Thailand | 4/2/23 |
| Rivard, Lorraine | FiFe | Cat Club Provence Cote d'Azur | Orange, France | 4/16/23 |
| Schleissner, Michael | CFA | Tan Malaka CF BSH Seminar | Jakarta, Indonesia | 4/29/23 |
| Chung, Chloe | CFA | Seminar on BSH Standard | West Java Indo | 4/30/23 |
| Raymond, Allan | WCF | ARC | Bangkok, Thailand | 5/5/23 |
| Rivard, Lorraine | CCA | Border Purrtrol | Font Hill Ontario CN | 6/2/23 |
| Fung, Kit | NZCF | Canterbury AB CC | Christchurch NZ | 6/3/23 |
| Mathis, Anne | CCA | Border Purrtrol | Font Hill Ontario CN | 6/4/23 |
| Schleissner, Michael | Felidae (IND) | Felidae e. V. | Hamburg, Germany | 6/9/23 |
| Fung, Kit | CCCA | Federal Cat Club | Sydney, Australia | 6/17/23 |
| Griswold, Marilee | WCF | Magnificats Romania | Romania | 7/1/23 |
| Veach, Gary | CCCA | CCCA National Show | Brisbane, Australia | 7/15/23 |
| Newkirk, Darrell | ACF | Siamese & Oriental CC | Perth Australia | 7/16/23 |
| Newkirk, Darrell | ACF | GCCFV Winter Follies | Dingley, Victoria Aust | 7/23/23 |
| Schleissner, Michael | Felidae (IND) | Felidae e. V. | Bemen, Germany | 8/4/23 |
| DelaBar, Pam | GFA | Nordic Freecat Organization | Hallsberg, Sweden | 8/25/23 |

Non-CFA Judges requesting permission to guest judge CFA shows:

| Judge | Assn | CFA Club | City/Country | Date | Date Approved or Tier 1 Guest Judge |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--|
| Counasse, Daniel | WCF | 44 Gatti Cat Club | San Genesio ed Uniti Italy | 3/12/23 | Approved GJ |
| Podrugina, Elena | RUI | China Morning Star Club | Shen Yang, China | 4/1/23 | Approved GJ |
| Balciuniene, Inga | WCF | Khao Manee Cat Club | Orange, France | 4/15/23 | Approved GJ |
| Counasse, Daniel | WCF | Khao Manee Cat Club | Orange, France | 4/15/23 | Approved GJ |
| Christison, Janis | ACF | Feline Fanciers Soc. Of Singapore | Singapore | 4/16/23 | app 1.31.23 |
| Podrugina, Elena | RUI | Tan Malala | Bogar, West Java Indonesia | 4/29/23 | Approved GJ |
| Christison, Janis | ACF | Malaysia Cat Fancier Club | Malacca, Malaysia | 6/3/23 | app 3.16.23 |

Number of shows approved for Guest Judges to date 2022-2023 Show Season

| Guest Judge Name | # Shows |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| Balciuniene, Inga | 3 |
| Christison, Janis | 3 |
| Counasse, Daniel | 3 |
| Davies, Allan | 6 |

| | |
|-------------------------|----------|
| <i>DePlessis, Kai</i> | <i>1</i> |
| <i>Hamalainen, Satu</i> | <i>3</i> |
| <i>LaRocca, Barbara</i> | <i>2</i> |
| <i>Merritt, Chris</i> | <i>4</i> |
| <i>Elena Podprugina</i> | <i>2</i> |

Associate Program:

Update on T-3 Progress:

The T-3 Europe Associate trainees have had the benefit of hands on handling classes in addition to the on-line training. All recommended candidates have taken and passed the initial breed exam with scores of 90% or higher and the final assessment exam with scores of 85% or higher.

The T3 CFA Hong Kong Associate trainees, have continued to send videos for review. They have all taken and passed the final assessment with scores of 85% or higher.

Huge thanks to the hard working team of coaches who have worked hard with the trainees: Jacqui Bennett, Chloe Chung, Pam DelaBar, Hope Gonano, Barbara Jaeger, Teresa Sweeney, Liz Watson, Russell Webb, Bob Zenda, Pam DelaBar, Peter Vanwonderghem, Michael Schleissner, and of course Anne Mathis. A special thanks to Suki Lee for her work with the Hong Kong associates. Under her guidance, the trainees marked a judges book, and received extra sessions with her on handling and presenting finals. The improvement in all of them is wonderful to see. Special thanks as well to Pam DelaBar and Peter Vanwonderghem for their hard work with the Europe trainees. They started the on-line training, coordinated handling sessions for presentation skills and videos, conducted in person handling sessions managed by Peter, and answered breed related questions along the line.

Procedures:

We are working on implementing procedures and guidelines to provide ongoing support, guidance and tracking abilities for all Associate judges.

All associate paperwork is being reviewed and the errors provided to the associates for review. An additional thank you is given to Liz Watson, who has been reviewing all paperwork.

A refresher course on paperwork, reflecting common errors, will be provided if needed/requested by the Associates that are currently judging.

A spreadsheet on all phases of the Associate Program's judges will be created, tracking their shows, evaluations received, paperwork received/reviewed, and errors found.

A listing of all Associate judges will be published on the CFA website.

Education and Recruitment update:

Breed Awareness and Orientation Schools 2022-2023

Upcoming Schools:

Working on On-line School tentatively scheduled for May 19-21, 2023

Approved an in-person School in Hong Kong August 24-27

In Person school scheduled in conjunction with the 2023 International Show.

Recruitment update:

Our Application Administrator has put together a general letter to exhibitors summarizing the steps to becoming a CFA judge. She has forwarded it to Central Office who will be disseminating it to the public by putting it on the website, including a copy with the show license to be included on the flyer and putting in the Newsletter. A copy has been saved to File Vista.

Our Applications Administrator has also started putting together instruction sheets for custodial care as well as agenting experience. A copy has been saved to File Vista.

Recruitment director reports 11 individuals actively completing requirements in preparation to apply and 55 interested individuals on the tracking list.

Mentor update:

With an ever-increasing number of potential applicants, the need for mentors is growing exponentially. Many of the people who are stepping up are new to the process, so we developed an introductory letter that provides guidance to new or existing mentors. This FAQ page has been added to the Judges' Resource page of the CFA website and will be sent to new mentors. A copy has been saved to File Vista.

Judging Program Rule Updates:

Housekeeping correction to Judging Program Rule 4.7 --Associate Judge

Background: *The Judging Program Rules presented at the October 1-2, 2022 included additions with regard to prohibiting single specialty Associate Judges from clerking JP Rule 4.7. This addition was not written correctly, and language should align with current Show Rule 21.07 only prohibiting Associate Judges from clerking in their approved specialty, and Associate Judge Trainees permitted to clerk in any capacity. Correction presented below to align the Judging Program Rule 4.7 with Show Rule 21.07.*

Show Rule 21.07 A judge shall not serve as clerk for another judge but may serve as master clerk. (See paragraph 9.09.c) A single specialty judge may clerk for a ring in the category for which he is not licensed. Single-specialty trainees may serve as clerks in all capacities.

Judging Program Rule 4.7 ~~Associate Judge Trainee~~ or Associate Judge may clerk only in the opposite specialty in his/her own designated area, but may clerk both specialties or allbreed if performing as a clerk outside their own designated area.

Motion: Request removal of the words “Associate Judge Trainee” from Judging Program Rule 4.7.

Morgan: I have only one action item. It’s a housekeeping issue regarding cleaning up Judging Program Rule 4.7. Associate Program trainees were erroneously included here, meaning that it severely impacts their ability to work on their qualifications. Our motion is to remove the words *Associate Judge Trainee* from Judging Program Rule 4.7. **Eigenhauser:** George seconds. **Mastin:** Thank you George. Open for discussion. Seeing no discussion, any objections? Seeing no objections, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

Relicense Iris Zinck: *Iris Zinck is presented to the Board for a relicensing re-vote, which requires the affirmative vote of a majority of board members present.*

Iris Zinck *11 yes (Anger, Currle, DelaBar, Hannon, Hayata, Krzanowski, Mastin, Moser, Noble, Shelton, Webb); 4 no (Calhoun, Colilla, Morgan, Wilson); 3 abstain (Dunham, Eigenhauser, Roy)*

Associate Judge T3 Trainees: *The following individuals are presented to the Board for advancement to Associate Judge:*

Advance to Associate Judge – Hong Kong:

Longhair:

| <i>Name</i> | <i>Vote</i> | <i># Voting</i> | <i># Votes Need</i> |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| <i>Patrick Au</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |
| <i>Grace Cheung</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |
| <i>Alex Chun Lap</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |
| <i>Russell Law</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |
| <i>Pak Hei Leung</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |

Shorthair:

| <i>Name</i> | <i>Vote</i> | <i># Voting</i> | <i># Votes Need</i> |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| <i>Chrissie Chan</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |
| <i>Phebe Low</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |
| <i>Sarah Ng</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |

| | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| <i>Andy Yeung</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|

Advance to Associate Judge – Europe:

Longhair:

| <i>Name</i> | <i>Vote</i> | <i># Voting</i> | <i># Votes Need</i> |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| <i>Marika Lahti</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |

Shorthair:

| <i>Name</i> | <i>Vote</i> | <i># Voting</i> | <i># Votes Need</i> |
|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| <i>Tuija Aaltonen</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |
| <i>Oscar Silva Sanchez</i> | <i>18 yes</i> | <i>18</i> | <i>13</i> |

Mastin: Thank you Melanie. Anything additional? **Morgan:** That's all I have for open session. Thank you. **Mastin:** Melanie, that is a record. **Morgan:** It is. **Mastin:** That is an all-time record.

(6) CENTRAL OFFICE REPORT.

Submitted by: Allene Tartaglia, Executive Director

Credentials/Tellers. *The chair of the Credentials Committee, Nancy Dodds, and I have discussed the modified scope of responsibilities for her committee due to the electronic tally of votes versus a hand count of hard-copy ballots, and the probability that there will be no need for a hand-count (roll call) vote.*

The Credentials committee will consist of approximately 50% fewer members than previous committees. They plan to meet Thursday morning to handle the matters they usually do such as the audit of clubs dropped and new clubs added in the past year and review the clubs active as of June 1. On Friday, they will meet during lunch to finalize the electronic tally, add in any votes that are received off-line (mail, fax or email) and prepare the report to the delegation.

Even though an electronic clicker system will be used to tally club votes for amendments and resolutions, the Credentials committee will be on hand Friday in case they are needed for anything. More info regarding the EZ Clicker System, which we affectionately call "Cleo" (the clicker cat), will be provided in the IT report.

Motion to allow shows in the ID (excluding China, Hong Kong and Macau) to license shows up to 30 days before the show date without penalty in the 2023-2024 show season.

Mastin: We will move on to Central Office. **Tartaglia:** I'll make mine quick. The first was just information only about discussions we have had with Credentials about the changes at the annual meeting, so unless anybody has any questions on that, I will move on to the next topic.

Show Licensing Deadline for ID. *Effective with the 2023-2024 show season the show rules are modified to allow China, Hong Kong and Macau to license up to 14 days before the show date without penalty. Further, the exception for shows in regions 8 and 9 to license up to 30 days before the show was extended into 2023-2024.*

I believe the exception afforded regions 8 and 9 (30 days) was intended to cover the ID too (excluding China, Hong Kong and Macau) but it doesn't appear to have been specifically addressed and/or clarified.

Board Action Item: *Extend the show license late fee exception currently in place for the 2023-2024 season for Regions 8 & 9 to the International Division (excluding China, Hong Kong & Macau). Shows will be licensed up to 30 days before the opening day of the show without any penalty fee.*

Tartaglia: This is regarding the show licensing deadline for the ID. It wasn't really clear if the exception for the 30 day licensing was extended for the ID, as well, so I am bringing this forward just so that we're all on the same page and it's clear as far as what that extension might be, so I provided a board action item. Ed Raymond had provided this wording for the board to

consider. **Krzanowski:** Carol moves that we ratify this motion. **Currle:** Kenny seconds. **Mastin:** I heard Carol made the motion and I heard Kenny in a very soft voice seconded. **Currle:** That was me. **Mastin:** OK, thank you. Are there any questions or comments on the motion? **Calhoun:** I just wanted to add that the ID Committee supports this action item. **Mastin:** Thank you. Any additional questions or comments? Any objections to the motion? Seeing no objection, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

Mastin: Thank you Allene. Do you have anything else? **Tartaglia:** The only other thing I had in the report was just a copy of the new show sponsorship request that now has the Region 9 judge air fare supplement in it and the infrequent region judge supplement, is the name we came up with for that. I sent it to all the regional directors. It's up online, it goes out with every show license. **Moser:** I just wondered, is it online now? **Tartaglia:** Yes, it is online. Yes. **Moser:** OK great, thanks. **Calhoun:** Allene and I discussed this briefly via email, but the question that I keep getting is, how would clubs know which judges would be able to be considered for infrequent regional judging? So, we somehow have to be able to provide that information so that it's readily accessible. **Mastin:** Kathy, you are just making a statement, not asking a direct question to Allene? A "must do" for Central Office? **Calhoun:** Unless she thought of something since we last traded emails. **Tartaglia:** Kathy, I provided you with a sample chart of what I thought might work. Just so everybody knows, it would be a chart listing all the judges and if they have judged within a region in the past three show seasons, so it wouldn't list which show it was, it wouldn't list how many times they have judged, it would just simply indicate that they have or have not judged within a region in that time frame. **Calhoun:** Excellent. **Mastin:** Great, thank you. Allene, thank you for getting that report updated too, before the beginning of the new show season. **Tartaglia:** You're welcome. Thank you. **Mastin:** Anything additional? **Tartaglia:** No, I have nothing else.

(7) **IT COMMITTEE.**

Committee Chair: Tim Schreck
Liaison to Board: James Simbro

Current Happenings of Committee:

Genetics: Steve Merritt completed another round of data updates for the Exotic breed the week of March 13th. This involved the review of 19,249 lines of phenotype data for 2,274 unique color descriptions. We are now reviewing the results of several test litters to check for the resulting color calculations. The process of testing is pretty simple, in that we pick several litters, take note of the parents colors, and then review the output of color choices the system calculates as genetically possible. It calculates this list of colors by running Punnett square calculations using the phenotypes data for the parent pair, and then comparing that output to the phenotype data of all the colors for that breed. When we encounter invalid or missing colors in the results, we review the specific base data for those colors, and if we have questions, we meet with the developers to help troubleshoot. Steve is currently providing his help for no-charge as a volunteer.

We are still aiming to have reliable genetics check logic as part of the CSU2022 update for the eCat online system in August of 2023, as implementation is already accounted for in the budget.

Clicker Voting: Central Office has received 400 electronic voting system clickers to be used for voting by the delegates at the Annual meeting this year. Allene, Amber and James attended an online training session by the manufacturer. We have developed a plan for how we will assign and distribute them at the Annual. We will soon be posting both written information and a demonstration video explaining how they will work, and what the delegates can expect to see when using these to vote. This system is very robust, secure and reliable, and is used by many labor unions, government municipalities, the U.S House of Representatives, and 95% of America's Fortune 500 companies.

Mastin: The next item is the IT Committee Report. That's Tim and James. I do want to thank you guys for including an update on the genetics and where things are going there. I see you have no action items. Do either of you have anything additional to add? If you don't, does any board member have any questions for James and if Tim is out in the audience?

Eigenhauser: George has a question. What are we going to do to insure that the clickers are collected at the end of the show? **Simbro:** We're going to position baskets and tables at the exit of the banquet hall there and collect them from people as they leave. We'll have signs. We will be able to inventory those directly after the meeting and we will know exactly who didn't turn in their clicker and we can hunt them down. **Eigenhauser:** Thank you. **Mastin:** Thank you. Any additional questions for James? **Calhoun:** What is the cost of the clicker? **Simbro:** What was the total? **Calhoun:** No, what was the cost of each clicker. **Simbro:** Oh, each clicker. I have not inquired on the replacement cost on those. I will do that. **Calhoun:** So, my assumption is that you would somehow locate which club has not turned in their clicker and bill as such, or what are you going to do? How are you going to chase them down? **Simbro:** We will know which delegate and what club did not turn their clicker. Of course, we will try to track them down there

and if we just cannot recover it, yes I would say we would charge the appropriate amount to replace the clicker. **Tartaglia:** We think it will be in the area of \$100 to replace a clicker. It's not just the cost of the clicker, it's the fact that we have to do it, it's the ordering, it's the entire process. So, we'll make every effort to track down those clickers. They will all be labeled, they will have the club name on them, they'll have numbers, so if for some reason they are left in the bathroom or wherever, they will be able to be turned in. They have our name on it. We also will be having wrist lanyards attached at the bottom. So, we've developed a pretty robust system to keep track of these. **Simbro:** I fully expect one or two to go missing, but hopefully we will recover them. **Tartaglia:** We'll get them. **Mastin:** OK, very good. Anything additional, James? **Simbro:** No. **Mastin:** Does anybody have any additional questions for James? OK, once again, thank you to James and Tim.

Board Action Items:

None

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

CSU2022 and Genetics Progress

*Respectfully Submitted,
James Simbro, Co-Chair*

EZ-Vote Clicker

Approx. 1.5 inches wide by 3.25 inches tall

A small lanyard will be attached.



(8) PUBLICATIONS.

Yearbook Subcommittee

Committee Chair: *Mark Hannon*

List of Subcommittee Members: *Shelly Borawski , Rich Mastin, Allene Tartaglia*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The committee discussed prices for both the purchase of the 2024 Yearbook and for ads in that issue of the Yearbook.

Purchase price of the printed version of the Yearbook will remain \$40 for early purchased by December 6, 2023 and \$45 after that date. Shipping & Handling charges will be added with the amount varying depending on the area to which the book is shipped.

We provided a digital version for the first time with the 2023 Yearbook and we will continue to do that in 2024. We have dramatically lowered the price from \$45 in 2023 to \$15 in 2024. There were very few digital versions sold this past year. The late announcement of a digital version and the high price likely contributed. We anticipate increased interest in the digital version this year.

We are again offering a combo price of \$50 for those who buy both a printed and digital version.

We have also lowered the ad prices in hopes of attracting more advertisers. One full page color ad was \$300 in 2023.

\$200 – Full page color (with one free printed Yearbook)

\$300 – Two full color pages (with one free printed & one free digital Yearbook)

\$400 – Three full color pages (with one free printed & one free digital Yearbook)

\$150 – Half-page color (one free printed Yearbook)

In addition, we want to encourage each Region to support the Yearbook. We have a special price of \$250 for two page color ads. The price for a one-page color ad will be \$200.

There will be no black-&-white ads in the 2024 Yearbook.

Future Projections for Committee:

We will promote both placing ads and purchasing the 2024 Yearbook on CFA social media sites and in the CFA Newsletter.

Owners of National winners, Regional winners, and National Breed winners will receive information about advertising in the Yearbook.

Board Action Items:

None

*Respectfully Submitted,
Mark Hannon, Chair*

Mastin: The next item on the agenda is Yearbook and Publications, Mark Hannon.
Hannon: There's no action items. We can move on. **Mastin:** OK. Does anybody have any questions for Mark before we move on? Mark, thank you.

(9) **SHOW RULES.**

Committee Chair: *Ed Raymond*
Liaison to Board: *Carol Krzanowski*
List of Committee Members: *Cathy Dunham, Kathy Gumm, Shirley Michaud-Dent, Brad Newcomb*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The Committee has reviewed and prepared the show rule changes requested by board members and others.

Board Action Items:

Mastin: Allene, can you promote Ed Raymond please? **Tartaglia:** Yes, of course.
Krzanowski: Rich, in the meantime, this is Carol. I would like to make a standing motion to accept all of the changes as presented. **Mastin:** Including the pre-noticed addendum?
Krzanowski: Yes. **Mastin:** OK, thank you. Does anyone wish to make a second? **Currle:** Kenny seconds. **Mastin:** Thank you Kenny. I have Carol's standing motion, Kenny's standing second on all. Is Ed Raymond with us yet? **Raymond:** I am. Can you hear me? **Mastin:** I can hear you, Ed. Thank you for joining us. **Raymond:** Perfect, sure. **Mastin:** Take us through.

Housekeeping Changes

1. *Institute a late fee to enforce the timely submission of the show flyer to the Central Office.*

| Article VI – Announcing the Show/Show Flyer, amend 5.04 | CFA Board/Central Office |
|--|---|
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| 5.04 The show secretary must file a copy of the show flyer with the Central Office 90 days prior to the date of the show for shows licensed at least 90 days prior to the date of the show. For shows licensed less than 90 days to the date of the show, the show flyer must be included in the license application package (see Rule 4.04). | 5.04 The show secretary must file a copy of the show flyer with the Central Office 90 days prior to the date of the show for shows licensed at least 90 days prior to the date of the show. For shows licensed less than 90 days to the date of the show, the show flyer must be included in the license application package (see Rule 4.04). <u>A fee, as specified in CFA's current price list, is payable by the club to CFA if these filing requirements are not met. No further shows will be licensed for the club until this fee is paid.</u> |
| RATIONALE: In October 2022, the CFA Board passed a motion to institute a fee on those clubs which do not submit a show flyer to the Central Office in a timely manner. This amendment, which will be effective for the 2023-2024 show season, effectuates that policy. | |

Raymond: The first action item is to institute a late fee to enforce the timely submission of the show flyer to Central Office. The board voted in October to institute this fee, but the follow-up action to incorporate it into the show rules was never taken. This is that effort.

Mastin: Does anybody have any questions or comments? Any objections? Seeing no objections, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

2. *Clean up references to Champion and Premier awards in Allbreed and Specialty rings.*

| Article XI – During the Show – Judging and Awards, amend 11.28 and 11.29 | Central Office/Show Rules Committee |
|---|---|
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| <p>11.28 In Allbreed rings the Championship finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 85, for Championship entries of 85 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Champion, Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Longhair Champion, and Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Shorthair Champion, unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best through Fifth Best Champion, Best through Fifth Best Longhair Champion, and Best through Fifth Best Shorthair Champion once top 15 threshold has been reached. Kitten finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Kitten when kitten entries are less than 75, for kitten entries of 75 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Kitten. Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 50, for Premiership entries of 50 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best and 2nd Best Premier, Best and 2nd Best Longhair Premier, Best and 2nd Best Shorthair Premier unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Longhair Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Shorthair Premier will be awarded if top 15 threshold has been reached.</p> <p>Veteran Class finals awards will be Best through 5th Best Cat or Best through 10th Best Cat as determined by show management.</p> | <p>11.28 In Allbreed rings the Championship finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 85, for Championship entries of 85 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Champion, Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Longhair Champion, and Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Shorthair Champion, unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best through Fifth Best Champion, Best through Fifth Best Longhair Champion, and Best through Fifth Best Shorthair Champion once top 15 threshold has been reached. <u>In Allbreed rings the Championship finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat, Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Allbreed Best Champion, Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Longhair Champion, and Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Shorthair Champion when cat entries are less than 85. For Championship entries of 85 or more, the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat, Best through Fifth Best Allbreed Champion, Best through Fifth Best Longhair Champion, and Best through Fifth Best Shorthair Champion.</u></p> <p>Kitten finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Kitten when kitten entries are less than 75, for kitten entries of 75 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Kitten.</p> <p>Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 50, for Premiership entries of 50 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best and 2nd Best Premier, Best and 2nd Best Longhair Premier, Best and 2nd Best Shorthair Premier unless top 15</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| | <p>threshold has been reached. Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Longhair Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Shorthair Premier will be awarded if top 15 threshold has been reached. Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat, Best and 2nd Best Allbreed Premier, Best and 2nd Best Longhair Premier, Best and 2nd Best Shorthair Premier when cat entries are less than 50. For Premiership entries of 50 or more, Best through 15th Best Cat, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Allbreed Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Longhair Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Shorthair Premier will be awarded.</p> <p>Veteran Class finals awards will be Best through 5th Best Cat or Best through 10th Best Cat as determined by show management.</p> |
| <p>11.29 a. In Longhair/Shorthair Specialty rings the Championship finals will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 85, for Championship entries of 85 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Champion, unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best through Fifth Best Champion, Best through Fifth Best Longhair Champion, and Best through Fifth Best Shorthair Champion once top 15 threshold has been reached. Kitten finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Kitten when kitten entries are less than 75, for kitten entries of 75 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Kitten. Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 50, for Premiership entries of 50 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best and 2nd Best Premier unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Longhair Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Shorthair Premier will be awarded if top 15 threshold has been reached.</p> <p>Veteran Class finals awards will be Best through 5th Best Cat or Best through 10th Best Cat as determined by show management.</p> | <p>11.29 a. In Longhair/Shorthair Specialty rings the Championship finals will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 85, for Championship entries of 85 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Champion, unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best through Fifth Best Champion, Best through Fifth Best Longhair Champion, and Best through Fifth Best Shorthair Champion once top 15 threshold has been reached. In Longhair/Shorthair Specialty rings the Championship finals will be Best through 10th Best Cat, Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Champion when cat entries are less than 85. For Championship entries of 85 or more, the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best through Fifth Best Champion.</p> <p>Kitten finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Kitten when kitten entries are less than 75; For for kitten entries of 75 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Kitten.</p> <p>Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat when cat entries are less than 50, for Premiership entries of 50 or more the final awards will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best and 2nd Best Premier unless top 15 threshold has been reached. Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Longhair Premier, Best, 2nd, and 3rd Best Shorthair Premier will be awarded if top 15 threshold has been reached. Premiership finals awards will be Best through 10th Best Cat, Best and 2nd Best Premier when cat entries are less than 50. For Premiership entries of 50 or more, the final awards</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p><u>will be Best through 15th Best Cat; Best, 2nd Best and 3rd Best Premier.</u></p> <p>Veteran Class finals awards will be Best through 5th Best Cat or Best through 10th Best Cat as determined by show management.</p> |
| <p>RATIONALE: In October 2022, the CFA Board, voting on a resolution brought forth at the Annual which passed with a favorable recommendation, agreed to increase the number of Champion/Premier placements when the top 15 threshold was met. This amendment, which will be effective for the 2023-2024 show season, cleans up the language of the rules relating to Allbreed and Specialty rings.</p> | |

Mastin: #2? **Raymond:** #2 is an effort to clean up references to the additional champion and premier awards in allbreed and specialty rings when top 15 is reached. As I was reading what Allene incorporated into the draft of the 2023-2024 Show Rules, she incorporated what the delegation passed but it didn't make sense, so this is a cleaned up version of that. **Mastin:** OK, any questions or comments? Any objections? Seeing no objections, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

Requests from Board Members and Committees

3. *Specify that a violation of the Exhibitor's Code of Ethics may constitute unsportsmanlike conduct.*

| | |
|--|--|
| Article I – Prologue/ Rules Applicable to All, amend 1.04. | Mike Shelton |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| 1.04 All Exhibitors in CFA shows are expected to follow the CFA Exhibitor's Code of Ethics found on the CFA website at link-to-webpage. | 1.04 All Exhibitors in CFA shows are expected to follow the CFA Exhibitor's Code of Ethics found on the CFA website at link-to-webpage. <u>A violation of the Exhibitor's Code of Ethics may be considered unsportsmanlike conduct (see rule 1.03).</u> |
| <p>RATIONALE: This amendment, which will be effective for the 2023-2024 show season, provides potential consequences for a violation of the Exhibitor's Code of Ethics.</p> | |

Mastin: #3. **Raymond:** #3 is an amendment to a show rule to specify that a violation of the Exhibitors' Code of Conduct may constitute unsportsmanlike conduct. **Mastin:** Any comments or questions? Any objections? Seeing no objections, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

4. *Require shows offering Specialty rings to offer an equal number of Longhair and Shorthair Specialty rings.*

| | |
|--|--|
| Article IV – Licensing the Show, add 4.06 e. | Kathy Calhoun and John Colilla |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| None. | <u>e. When a show offers one or more Specialty rings, an equal number of Longhair and Shorthair Specialty rings must be offered.</u> |
| RATIONALE: While most clubs already do this, this amendment, which will be effective for the 2023-2024 show season, will require clubs to offer an equal number of Longhair and Shorthair Specialty rings. Currently this balance is not required, and some clubs are offering more judgments of a certain specialty as a way of allowing cats and kittens in that specialty to earn additional regional/national points at a show. | |

Mastin: #4. **Raymond:** #4, this is a request from the International Division to add a show rule that requires that when a show offers one or more specialty rings, an equal number of longhair and shorthair specialty rings must be offered. **Mastin:** Kathy Calhoun and Matthew Wong, do either one of you want to share any additional comments? **Calhoun:** I can. We've had some instances where there has been a huge disproportion in the number of shorthair rings versus longhair rings in the last couple of weeks. That gives a disproportionate advantage to whichever specialty has the most amount of rings. In the past it was a matter of, there weren't enough shorthair or longhair judges available to balance it. That is no longer the case. It has been the common practice in Regions 1-7, 8 and most of the time 9 to balance the number of longhair and shorthairs when we have specialties. It's the fair opportunity for all that are involved, and I think now that it is being somewhat used to one or the other's advantage, we need to have a rule that establishes what we expect to happen, and that would be a balance between longhair and shorthair rings. **Colilla:** The reason that we proposed this show rule is because there are shows like 3 longhair, 6 shorthair in China. That put a disadvantage to the longhair people because they are missing out on 4 rings and this is not just one or two instances, it's getting to be a constant thing. It's really not fair. Everybody needs an equal opportunity. **Morgan:** I 100% support this. However, I have one question. What happens when you have a show that is licensed – and this question is probably more for Ed – so the show is licensed with equal longhair and shorthair, but something happens to the shorthair judge or the longhair judge, and for some reason they don't make it to the show? Is that covered with the way that we have this phrased or do we need to address that? **Raymond:** Well, this amendment is in the section of the Show Rules dealing with licensing the show, so I don't think it would deal with post-licensing judges becoming unavailable. **Morgan:** That makes sense, thank you. **Mastin:** I'm going to call on Kathy first because she had her hand up before Carol and Mike. **Calhoun:** I was just going to say, I don't think that there would be an issue. It would be handled as if any other case where we had a judge that was ill or a judge that was not available. We would look, though, if that judge was replaced, they would be replaced with what the judge who was absent was licensed to do. **Mastin:** Thank you Kathy. **Krzanowski:** I just wanted to comment that a situation where the judge couldn't make it there for whatever reason would be more or less an emergency situation and I think it could be handled by either the Executive Committee or just allowed to proceed, based on the emergency situation involved. **Shelton:** I just wanted to ask if there are any shows that are already licensed for the 2023-2024 show season that would be in violation of this rule, and would they get some kind of an exemption for it? **Mastin:** Kathy, John and Matthew, do either one of you know? **Colilla:** No, there isn't, and I'm going to make sure that that's not going to

happen anymore, starting next show season. **Mastin:** OK John, thank you. Any additional questions or comments? Any objections to this show rule? Seeing no objections, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

5. Remove Vietnam from “TH=Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam” and establish a new region/area of residence “VM=Vietnam” and remove Vietnam from the “Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam” grouping and add Vietnam as a separate country for the purpose of season end awards.

| | |
|---|---|
| Article VI – Entering the Show, amend 6.22 AND Article XXXVI – National/Regional/Divisional Awards Program, amend International Division Definition. | Kathy Calhoun |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| <p>Mor6.22 It is the responsibility of the owner to indicate the correct region/area of residence on the entry form using the following designations: 1=North Atlantic, 2=Northwest, 3=Gulf Shore, 4=Great Lakes, 5=Southwest, 6=Midwest, 7=Southern, 8=Japan, 9=Europe, AS=South or Central America, AW=Africa & Western Asia, EC=East China, WC=West China, NC=North China, HK=Hong Kong, ID=Indonesia, IL=Israel, KR=South Korea, MY=Malaysia/Brunei, PH=Philippines, SI=Singapore, TH=Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/ Thailand/Vietnam, and TW=Taiwan (see CFA’s Bylaws Article VIII, for regional boundary specifications)</p> <p>International Division Definition: for the purposes of season end awards, the International Division is divided into the following geographical areas based on quarantine requirements: Hong Kong; Indonesia; South Korea, Israel; Malaysia/Brunei; Philippines; Singapore; South or Central America, including the Caribbean nations; Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam; Taiwan; Africa and western Asia (including the middle east (minus Israel), Turkey, Iran, India, Maldives, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, etc.); and three areas in China defined as follows - East China (the provinces/cities of Fujian, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Zhejiang, Anhui, Shandong, and Shanghai); North China (the provinces/cities of Inner Mongolia, Hebei, Shanxi, Tianjin, Beijing, Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang); West China (all of China not already</p> | <p>6.22 It is the responsibility of the owner to indicate the correct region/area of residence on the entry form using the following designations: 1=North Atlantic, 2=Northwest, 3=Gulf Shore, 4=Great Lakes, 5=Southwest, 6=Midwest, 7=Southern, 8=Japan, 9=Europe, AS=South or Central America, AW=Africa & Western Asia, EC=East China, WC=West China, NC=North China, HK=Hong Kong, ID=Indonesia, IL=Israel, KR=South Korea, MY=Malaysia/Brunei, PH=Philippines, SI=Singapore, TH=Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam, and — TW=Taiwan, <u>and VM=Vietnam</u> (see CFA’s Bylaws Article VIII, for regional boundary specifications)</p> <p>International Division Definition: for the purposes of season end awards, the International Division is divided into the following geographical areas based on quarantine requirements: Hong Kong; Indonesia; South Korea, Israel; Malaysia/Brunei; Philippines; Singapore; South or Central America, including the Caribbean nations; Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam; Taiwan; <u>Vietnam</u>; Africa and western Asia (including the middle east (minus Israel), Turkey, Iran, India, Maldives, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, etc.); and three areas in China defined as follows - East China (the provinces/cities of Fujian, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Zhejiang, Anhui, Shandong, and Shanghai); North China (the provinces/cities of Inner Mongolia, Hebei, Shanxi, Tianjin, Beijing, Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang); West China (all of China not already</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| covered by the provinces/cities listed for either East China or North China). | covered by the provinces/cities listed for either East China or North China). |
| <p>RATIONALE: Now that Vietnam has become an active participant on the CFA Show scene with the first show hosted by the Vietnam Cat Club in December 2022, CFA has an opportunity to expand activity within Vietnam. This club is planning at least two shows next season (with a total of about 12-16 rings), and these additional shows will produce increased registrations (75 in CY 2022) as well as exposure of our brand to a wider audience. Continuing expansion and progress will be extremely difficult if they are not given the opportunity for their cats to compete for awards as a separate division in Asia (which would need to qualify under established minimum standards). With Vietnam included in the same competitive area as Thailand, the very large number of shows in Thailand (16 thus far this season with 1,732 entries) it is not possible for cats from Vietnam to break into the top 25 to qualify for a DW even if one or more of their cats actually achieved minimum qualifying scores. Geography is a key factor used in determining how region/areas of residence are broken out for Awards & scoring purposes . While it is possible to travel via air, the expense is prohibitive for most potential exhibitors, and the driving distance between Ha Noi and Bangkok is 861 miles; approximately 18 hours. In addition, driving requires travelling through Laos, a country the US State Department recommends “exercising increased caution due to civil unrest”.</p> <p>The cost of this Show Rule change to CFA would be minimal (involving only minor programming changes), and the benefit to CFA of providing this encouragement & opportunity to exhibitors in Vietnam would be a winning strategy for CFA.</p> <p>For the record, this club has worked very hard before their first show to educate breeders about CFA, to include translating materials regarding Show Rules, how to fill out entry forms, how to raise a show cat, how to register cats from pedigree and register a cattery name, translating the BSH Breed Presentation and providing a grooming class. Their first show attracted 73 entries (70 present) and for the new season they are planning a clerking school, more educational & CFA promotional activities, translation of more of the CFA breed presentations, as well as at least two shows.</p> <p>This change will be effective for the 2023-2024 show season.</p> | |

Mastin: #5. Raymond: #5, a variation of this request was brought up as part of the International Division report at the February board meeting. It has been brought back and run through Show Rules. Essentially, it’s to remove Vietnam from the Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand/Vietnam area of residence and create a new area of residence for Vietnam, and also to add Vietnam as a separate country for season-ending awards. So, the amendments are to 6.22 and to Article XXXVI. **Calhoun:** We realize that initially these divisional wins or divisional segments were set up based on quarantine, but in reality there are obstacles over and above quarantine laws. We haven’t checked quarantine laws for quite some time, where in some countries and some areas it’s just not reasonable to expect people to be able to fly here and there to attend a show. Vietnam is one of our emerging markets. They are very, very excited and we would certainly like to give them the incentive to continue to put on shows and attend shows. By doing this, they would have an obtainable goal. The International Committee has taken a look at this and will come back sometime in the next season to make some recommendations on how we can make sure that globally we are in a position where people have the proper incentives and opportunities to show their cats and to be rewarded. The International Division, of course, is fully behind separating Vietnam at this time. **Mastin:** Any additional comments or questions? Any objections? Seeing no objections, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

6. *Change the closing date for shows in China.*

| | | |
|--|--------------|---|
| Article VI – Entering the Show, amend 6.35 b | ID Committee | |
| Existing Wording | | Proposed Wording |
| b. The entry clerk will close the show to additional entries when the entry limit is reached or 9:00 p.m., China time, on the Wednesday before the show, whichever is first. | | b. The entry clerk will close the show to additional entries when the entry limit is reached or 9:00 p.m., China time, on the Wednesday <u>Thursday</u> before the show, whichever is first. |
| RATIONALE: With shows in China licensing 7 days before the show opens (14 in 2023-2024), the additional time to obtain entries is crucial. Currently, clubs are constantly asking for permission from the EC to extend their closing date to Thursday (from Wednesday). | | |

Mastin: #6. **Raymond:** #6 is a request from the ID Committee to change the closing date of shows in China from Wednesday to Thursday at 9:00 p.m. My understanding is, it's pretty routine for requests to come to the Executive Committee for such an extension. **Mastin:** Ed, you cut out on my connection. I don't know if you cut out with everybody. Did you say changing the time from Wednesday to Thursday? **Raymond:** That is correct. **Calhoun:** I just wanted to comment that currently China has a 7 day lead time. They will be going to 14 days, but it's a different climate and a different world. We have obstacles that prevent people from being able to license early, so it really doesn't do – there's no disservice to us as an organization to change it from Wednesday to Thursday. The clubs come to us quite often like on a Tuesday and they will request a Thursday closing date. It's already almost 24 hours ahead. They're on a different day. It presents a lot of work for our Secretary, the Executive Committee. I don't know any instance where we have not approved it. I think we need to take a step back and say, "hey, this is how we do business in China, this works for the clubs. If they can get the catalogs printed and get everything organized by closing on Thursday, I don't think we should stand in the way. **Mastin:** Kathy, I have a question. Moving it from Wednesday to Thursday, does this possibly lead to the Executive Committee receiving requests to close Friday morning at noon, or is this going to be it? They have to close by Thursday at 9 p.m. their time. **Calhoun:** It would be the intent of the International Committee to strongly communicate to our folks in China that Thursday is it. Now, would I say that someone may not come and say Friday at noon? That's very hard to say, but my position on that would be no. **Colilla:** I just want to comment on that. So far, all the requests are up to Thursday. We haven't seen one come in asking to extend to Friday so far, so I think Thursday is pretty safe. **Mastin:** OK, thank you. Any additional comments or questions? Any objections? Seeing no objections, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

7. *Allow associate judges and single specialty judges to exhibit on the second day of a show where they officiate or at the second show held on the same weekend at the same location.*

| | |
|---|--|
| Article XXI – Responsibilities of Judges, amend 21.02 | Melanie Morgan |
| Existing Wording | Proposed Wording |
| <p>21.02 a. A judge may not make an entry at a show at which he officiates, but he may enter for exhibition only, provided that this limitation shall not apply to entries by a judge who judges Household Pets or Veterans only.</p> <p>b. A judge may not judge and exhibit on the same weekend at the same location, regardless of association.</p> <p>c. An Approved Single Specialty judge may judge one day of a two day show and work color classes on the other day. In such case the catalog for the judge will be retained by the master clerk until the close of the show.</p> <p>d. A judge may not judge a cat owned or co-owned by a member of his/her immediate family which includes father, mother, brother, sister, son, daughter, stepchildren, stepparents, or in-laws. If the family member does not reside with the officiating judge, the cat may be judged in all other rings (see 6.09 and 6.10).</p> | <p>21.02 a. <u>Except as provided in 21.02 c., aA judge</u> may not make an entry at a show at which he officiates, but he may enter for exhibition only, provided that this limitation shall not apply to entries by a judge who judges Household Pets or Veterans only.</p> <p>b. <u>Except as provided in 21.02 c., aA judge</u> may not judge and exhibit on the same weekend at the same location, regardless of association.</p> <p>c. <u>An associate judge or single specialty judge who officiates on the first day of a two day show may exhibit in the opposite specialty on the second day of such show. Similarly, an associate judge or single specialty judge who officiates at the first of two shows held on the same weekend at the same location may exhibit in the opposite specialty at the second show held on that weekend at that location.</u></p> <p><u>de.</u> An Approved Single Specialty judge may judge one day of a two day show and work color classes on the other day. In such case the catalog for the judge will be retained by the master clerk until the close of the show.</p> <p><u>ed.</u> A judge may not judge a cat owned or co-owned by a member of his/her immediate family which includes father, mother, brother, sister, son, daughter, stepchildren, stepparents, or in-laws. If the family member does not reside with the officiating judge, the cat may be judged in all other rings (see 6.09 and 6.10).</p> |
| <p>RATIONALE: This Amendment, which will be effective for the 2023-2024 show season, allows associate judges and single specialty judges who judge on the first day of a weekend to exhibit in the opposite specialty on the second day of the weekend. This will allow these individuals to work on progressing to the next level in the judging program.</p> | |

Mastin: #7. Raymond: #7 is a request brought forward by Melanie and the Judging Committee to allow Associate Judges and single specialty judges to exhibit on the second day of a show where they officiate, or at the second show held on the same weekend at the same location. **DelaBar:** I like the intent of this, but in the past one of the problems that we have had with judges showing the day that they're not officiating is the fact that we have people who will complain and have complained in the past, the club paid for that judge to get there, they didn't pay for the other exhibitors to get there, and that the judge has an unfair advantage in that case to

be able to show their cat. I just wanted to bring that to people's attention. We have had to deal with complaints in the past on this very same thing anytime this was brought up. Otherwise, I think it's great for our people to be able to get as much training, handling and experience in preparation for going into another specialty or an Associate Judge into the CFA Program.

Mastin: So Pam, before you are done talking, a question for you. When I call for objections, are you going to object on this? **DelaBar:** I was just doing this as a point of information. **Mastin:**

OK, very good. Thank you. **Morgan:** Pam is correct. We have had those concerns in the past.

This is slightly different in that it's only for single specialty judges and/or Associate Judges, and it's part of our continuing effort to try to make the process of applying to the Judging Program more user friendly and less cost prohibitive. We want to encourage all of our single specialty people at all levels, and I would say that when clubs hire single specialty judges or even

Associate Judges, that they're often going out of their way to incur added expenses to bring in those people to help encourage them and help them along the way, so this is a slightly different situation in that these people are really just beginning their journey and we want to encourage them in every way that we can. **Currle:** I just see a ton of problems with this. So, you are having

a judge who is going to be judging the next day, on a Sunday, who will be exhibiting the day before. He won't have any – he will have access to a catalog. I mean, there is no way possible it's going to look fair to the exhibitors who are going to be on that second day. I can't support this. **Raymond:** Kenny, if I might. The way that the rule is written, the judge needs to be judging

on the Saturday and can show on Sunday. The reverse is not allowed. **Currle:** It sounds like TICA to me. OK. **DelaBar:** I was going to say the same thing that Ed did. **Mastin:** OK, thank

you. Any additional comments or questions? OK, because I know somebody is not going to support this, I'm going to call for the vote. All those in favor raise your hand.

Mastin called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Currle voting no.

Mastin: Melanie Morgan, George Eigenhauser, Cathy Dunham, Carol Krzanowski, Russell Webb, Rachel Anger, Mike Shelton, Pam DelaBar, Kathy Calhoun, Paula Noble, Mark Hannon – please don't lower your hand. Oh boy. I've got to start over. Melanie Morgan, George Eigenhauser, Cathy Dunham, Russell Webb, Rachel Anger, Mike Shelton, Pam DelaBar, Kathy Calhoun, Paula Noble, Mark Hannon, John Colilla, Pam Moser, Sharon Roy, Annette Wilson, Carol Krzanowski, Yukiko Hayata. OK, please lower your hand. If you are opposed raise your hand. Kenny Currle. Lower your hand. If you are an abstention raise your hand. Rachel, no abstentions. **Anger:** That's 16 yes, 1 no, zero abstentions. **Mastin:** The motion passes.

8. *Extend for the current show season (2022-2023) the exception to the scoring rules that cats in China need not show in their specific area of residence (North China-NC, East China-EC and West China-WC) to receive a DW in those areas and only need to show in any area of China.*

Rationale: *The request to extend this exception comes from the ID Committee. Matthew Wong reports that individuals in China have been showing thinking the exception was still in place.*

Mastin: #8. **Raymond:** #8 is to extend for the current show season [reads]. They only need to show in any area of China. This is an existing rule. We check with Matthew Wong. I should say Allene checked with Matthew. He reports that individuals in China have been showing, thinking that this exception was still in place. **Mastin:** Matthew, do you want to say

anything on this? **Wong:** Yes. Right now there are a lot of shows in China East and China West, but there have only been a couple of shows in China North. Throughout this season, the participants have been assuming that they can be a China North cat but show in China East or West all season and can still be eligible for the DW in China North. **Mastin:** Does anybody have any questions or comments? Thank you Matthew. Any objections? Seeing no objections, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

9. *Extend for the 2023-2024 show season the exception to the scoring rules that cats in China need not show in their specific area of residence (North China-NC, East China-EC and West China-WC) to receive a DW in those areas and only need to show in any area of China.*

Rationale: *The request to extend this exception comes from the ID Committee.*

Mastin: #9. **Raymond:** #9 extends the exception that you just passed to next show season, 2023-2024. **Mastin:** Any comments or questions? Any objections? Seeing no objections, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

Other Requests

10. *All proposals for show rule changes shall be routed through the Show Rules Committee. Show rule changes will be considered by the Board at its October meeting, with items requiring adjustment being brought back at the December and/or February meetings. Except in cases of dire emergency, show rule changes will not be considered at other times.*

Rationale: *One of the duties of the Show Rules Committee is to see what rules might be affected in addition to the one being changed, which is often an issue. Additionally, having all rule changes come from a single source will make it easier for Central Office to keep track of the changes passed by the Board. At the February Board meeting, at least two other committee reports included requests for rules changes that the Show Rules Committee had not seen.*

It seems things have gotten a bit out of hand regarding show rule changes being submitted at every meeting. This seems to happen every so often, but it is not good because things have a tendency to be overlooked when changes are constantly being made. It can get very confusing not only for the Board and Central Office, but also for our CFA constituents.

Mastin: #10. **Raymond:** #10 is a request that all proposals for show rule changes be routed through the Show Rules Committee and that wherever possible we limit show rule changes to those brought forward at the October board meeting. We can bring things that require adjustments or follow-up back in December and/or February. Doing things in April is frankly pretty late. Allene is scrambling to get the Show Rules completed for next show season.

Krzanowski: I just want to comment briefly that the reason for this request is that when show rule changes are embedded in other committee reports, it becomes extremely difficult to track them, and some of them tend to get lost in the shuffle. Not only that, some of the changes will affect various show rules that were not anticipated when the change was written. Unless the

Show Rules Committee is involved right from the start, it's impossible to make sure everything is correct. It becomes hard for the Show Rules Committee, the Central Office, and especially for our exhibitors to know what's happening, so we would hope that everyone would support this request. **Mastin:** I will say once again, and I have made this comment a couple times since June. All show rules need to go to the Show Rules Committee. Please do not present a show rule in your report without reviewing it with the Show Rules Committee, because chances are what you have written is not going to be correct and it may affect other show rules, as Carol just commented on. Very, very important that all show rules, even coming from Central Office, must go to the Show Rules Committee. Any additional comments or questions regarding this? Any objections? I know we're going to try hard on this one. This passes unanimously, thank you.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

Addendum

1. Restrict super specialty format to licensed CFA judges.

| Article III – Invitations to and Acceptances by Judges, amend 3.02 c | Judging Committee | |
|--|--------------------------|--|
| Existing Wording | | Proposed Wording |
| <p>c. Invitations from CFA clubs for non-CFA Judges are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program Committee, excluding those for Guest Judges at the Approved Guest Judge Level, and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed or Approved Specialty Judges whose license from an accepted association is on file with the Judging Program Committee and who have been actively judging with their parent association for a minimum of five (5) years. A Judge may only judge the level at which they are licensed. When the show format includes a specialty ring, guest judges will serve as a specialty judge in CFA shows unless a specialty-only CFA judge would be serving as the required specialty judge. Requests for guest judge approval must be submitted to the Judging Program Committee at least 60 days in advance of the show. Requests submitted with less than 60 days remaining until the proposed show date will not be considered. Approval from the Judging Program Committee is no longer required for a club to contract a Guest Judge at the Approved Guest Judge level.</p> | | <p>c. Invitations from CFA clubs for non-CFA Judges are subject to the approval of the CFA Judging Program Committee, excluding those for Guest Judges at the Approved Guest Judge Level, and may be considered only by Approved Allbreed, Approval Pending Allbreed or Approved Specialty Judges whose license from an accepted association is on file with the Judging Program Committee and who have been actively judging with their parent association for a minimum of five (5) years. A Judge may only judge the level at which they are licensed. When the show format includes a specialty ring, guest judges will serve as a specialty judge in CFA shows unless a specialty-only CFA judge would be serving as the required specialty judge. <u>Only a licensed CFA judge or a Guest Judge at the Approved Guest Judge Level may judge a Super Specialty ring.</u> Requests for guest judge approval must be submitted to the Judging Program Committee at least 60 days in advance of the show. Requests submitted with less than 60 days remaining until the proposed show date will not be considered. Approval from the Judging Program Committee is no longer required for a club to contract a Guest Judge at the Approved Guest Judge level.</p> |

RATIONALE: On December 3, 2018, the CFA Board passed a motion, which was effective immediately, to restrict the super specialty format to licensed CFA judges. That change was never memorialized in the Show Rules. This amendment, which will be effective for the 2023-2024 show season, corrects that omission.

Mastin: Then we have the addendum, Ed. **Raymond:** Yes. The addendum was a last-minute request to amend the Show Rules to restrict the super specialty format to licensed CFA judges. This is something that passed the board back in 2018 but was never memorialized in the Show Rules. **Morgan:** Ed is right. This was voted on and approved as part of the Guest Judge Guidelines. It wasn't a show rule. That was back in December 2018. In hindsight, it should have been included in the rules, as having it merely being a part of the guidelines made it difficult just as I think Carol has alluded to, to find and enforce, evidenced by the fact that numerous changes on show licensing personnel in Central Office, they have erroneously approved guest judges for super specialty when per what the board voted on, that should not be happening. It makes sense to codify this very reasonable ruling in an easily accessible location, and Ed has done that here. I can talk to the reasoning for this. When we voted on it back in December of 2018, but when I look at it the same rationale that made it necessary in 2018 is even more pertinent now. Even our seasoned CFA allbreed judges find the super specialty format complicated and confusing. Approved guest judges are almost always judging in the International Division and/or Europe, and certainly the International Division doesn't always give a good safety net with clerk and master clerk oversight. The super specialty format is a complex exercise, at best, and it's best left to our CFA allbreed judges. That's it for now. I may have a couple other comments, based off whatever comes up. **DelaBar:** I am against this. One, because the rule did not get out after December 2018, we never knew about it in Europe and have been going happily ever after with Tier One approved guest judges doing super specialty. Our other colleagues, our Tier One colleagues, think super specialty is just common sense. We're not having a problem with our 9 Tier One judges within Europe who use this. In fact, some of you on the board have been at the same shows where they have been doing super specialty. They're not the ones that are having a problem with it. Super specialties are very common in Region 9 – Europe, and for us to be able to continue to offer this, the Tier One judges have been doing it. I would support this if it would give an exemption to, *Tier One judges may be asked to do super specialty*, but as it stands now, no, I cannot support it. It really only hurts one area, and that's Region 9 – Europe. **Mastin:** Does anybody want to comment on Pam's recommendation? **Currie:** I would agree with Pam. If they have already done it, it is a common sense effort. For the first couple of times it does take a lot of thought and some time, but the Tier One judges over there – the guest judges – have already done it and we have offered this to our exhibitors. I think maybe an amendment as far as Region 9 is concerned may be in order. **Morgan:** To my knowledge, only 3 of the current Approved Guest Judges have done super specialty, all 3 of which have since moved over to become Approval Pending CFA Allbreed judges, so they are now entitled to do that. When we do a paperwork review, that paperwork review supports the fact that adding super specialty is a challenge of an unfamiliar system with some of these approved guest judges who have not necessarily judged for us for quite some time, is asking for trouble with mechanical issues. **Mastin:** So Melanie, before you lower your hand, how many Tier One judges have done super specialty in Region 9? **Morgan:** What I have here is that I think Satu, Inga and Daniel may have done them, so 3 in addition to Olga, Olga and Nadejda, who are now allbreed judges in CFA. And I would say, we're not saying that those are the only three that have done it, and having a

guest judge is an option. So is having a super specialty format. We're not saying that the other judges on that license can't have super specialty. We're not precluding them from having super specialty on their slate. We're simply saying that if they decide they want to utilize guest judges, they need to have them be either allbreed or specialty. **DelaBar:** I will back up what Melanie said. I put my hand up because I know – I know very well that Satu Hämäläinen has done super specialty. Inga Bal- has definitely done super specialty, as has Daniel Counasse. Not only have they done it once, they've done it in the past four years. So, these people are more well trained and have more experience in super specialty than many of our allbreed CFA judges, because many will not even sign a contract. Again, the Tier Ones are really a part of us being able to build judging cadre within Region 9. We've got a fantastic market over here trying to get FIFe exhibitors and WCF exhibitors and many of the independent clubs, which I know is a very foreign concept to people in the U.S. We're trying to get those people to add CFA to their show going experience, to please add us and join us at some of our shows. This is working. To say now, after this has been going on for well over four years, and the shows have been licensed in those four years with this super specialty going on, to say now that they can't do it is really a slap in the face to these people, who have taken the time to judge for us. To tell you the truth, when I'm looking at those judges that judge for WCF and FIFe, they are actually taking a pay cut to come judge for us, because they get paid more when they judge in their own associations. I get paid more when I judge those associations. That's why I'm saying Tier One, they are special, they are colleagues, and this is a hit on Region 9 where we're still needing this to grow.

Mastin: Carol, do you want to amend your motion? **Krzanowski:** Yes. I would like to amend it to read that, *Only a licensed CFA judge or a Tier One Guest Judge may judge a Super Specialty ring*. Where was the original motion. Can you scroll up? [Side discussions regarding document location were not transcribed.] So, I think I was correct in my revised motion.

Raymond: My only question is, is a Tier One Guest Judge, is that the correct phrase or is it –

Mastin: I believe Pam DelaBar, you've got to correct me if I'm wrong. I thought you were only asking for an exception for Region 9, not all the other areas. Was that correct? **DelaBar:** No. I think it should be for everybody.

Mastin: OK, I misunderstood. I thought you said just for Region 9. OK.

DelaBar: I said it specifically hurts Region 9 if that's taken away. **Mastin:** OK. Carol, before I call on others – and Ed, do we have it worded correctly for this show rule?

Raymond: I don't think Tier One Guest Judge is actually a defined term. I believe that should be a guest judge at the Approved Guest Judge Level. **Krzanowski:** What do we officially call it?

Mastin: I'm going to call on Melanie because she may know the answer. Melanie? **Morgan:**

They are Approved Guest Judges. Since this request came from me, I will say I will not support this and I will bring up the original request. **Mastin:** OK, so first I've got to see if Carol wants to amend the motion. If Carol doesn't want to amend the motion, then we can have somebody else make an amendment. Kenny has suggested he will, so I want to start there first. Annette, do you have a comment or question? **Wilson:** Yes. I don't know why we can't vote on this motion, and if it fails, then present an amended motion. I'm confused.

Mastin: Shelly, don't we entertain amendments during the motion? **Perkins:** You can entertain amendments during the motion. You can absolutely do that. Nothing is making you vote on it, but it really goes to the person who made the motion. If they want to change their motion and the second agrees, then they can amend it.

Mastin: That's why I asked Carol if she wants to amend it. She doesn't have to amend it. She can leave it as is, and then I can ask Kenny, because he asked to amend the motion. I believe – and Rachel, correct me if I'm wrong – Kenny is a standing second on all these, correct?

Anger: That's right. **Mastin:** Annette, continue. **Wilson:** I'm confused. I thought Melanie asked

the Show Rules Committee to bring this motion, so how is it Carol's motion? **Perkins:** It's Carol's motion because she made the standing motion. The Show Rules Committee is not a board member. They don't make motions, they just prepared this for us, so we need a board member to make the motion. Carol made a standing motion on all of these, Kenny made a standing second, and so the only person who can amend this is Carol. If she doesn't want to, then we vote when Rich calls it. **Wilson:** I'm so confused. **Krzanowski:** I have a question for Shelly. If we vote on the motion as it was written and it fails, is it permissible to then present an amended motion or do we have to go back to the drawing board with this? **Perkins:** It's technically just a motion for reconsideration that you can then amend. Technically – I will have to look that up. That's actually a little bit more technical. Let me look that up if you really want to do that. **Krzanowski:** I think I would prefer to amend the motion now, but I would like to get a feel. I would just do it for Region 9, so I don't know the correct terminology for the Tier One judges, officially what they are called. What do we call them officially, but I would like to say, *Only a licensed CFA judge or a Guest Judge licensed at the Tier One level* – **Raymond:** May I suggest some language for you? **Mastin:** Go ahead, Ed. **Krzanowski:** Alright. It's getting complicated. **Raymond:** I would add the words *or a Guest Judge at the Approved Guest Judge Level*. I believe *Approved Guest Judge Level* is capitalized elsewhere in these rules. **Krzanowski:** Then my question would be, how do we specify that this would only apply to Regions 1-9, or do we want it to apply to everyone? I would say apply to everyone, so I think I like the way we just wrote it. I think I'm going to leave my amended motion the way it is on the screen currently. I'll read it again. *Only a licensed CFA judge or a Guest Judge at the Approved Tier One Guest Judge Level* – is it Tier One or is it just Approved Guest Judge Level – *may judge a super specialty ring*. **Raymond:** I believe what Pam refers to as Tier One is the Approved Guest Judge Level. **Krzanowski:** Alright, then we're fine. *Only a licensed CFA judge or a Guest Judge at the Approved Guest Judge Level may judge a Super Specialty ring*. That is my amended motion.

Perkins: I want to weigh in with a point of order here before you go too far. So, once you present this motion, you have stated the motion. We're in debate. The mover, aided by the members, can choose to modify it before we vote, but if anybody is objecting, like really objecting and saying, "I don't agree to this," then there has to be a motion to amend just the way we used to do it. So, it's not a free-for-all anymore and so you've got this freedom now with our new rules that allows people to kind of amend, but if there's a strong objection to the amendment, then it has to be a motion by Carol to move to amend her previous motion.

Morgan: I strongly object. **Moser:** I strongly object. **Perkins:** Alright, so then as a point of order, then I'm just going to point out as a point of order, then Carol you have to make a motion now to amend, and then you vote on whether or not the motion can be amended the way you want to amend it. **Krzanowski:** OK. I will make a motion to amend my original motion. **Mastin:** Can I have a second? **Eigenhauser:** George will second. **Mastin:** I've got George saying he would second it. Kathy Calhoun, you had your hand up. Were you going to say you strongly object? **Calhoun:** I was going to say I strongly object, and I really strongly object to this in the ID. **Mastin:** OK, thank you. Any discussion on Carol wishing to amend her motion? I know there are objections, so I'm going to call the vote. All those in favor to allow Carol to amend the motion, raise your hand.

Mastin called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Anger, Calhoun, Colilla, Morgan, Moser, Roy and Wilson voting no (to allow Carol to amend).

Mastin: I have Mark Hannon, George Eigenhauser, Carol Krzanowski, Pam DelaBar, Mike Shelton, Cathy Dunham, Russell Webb, Paula Noble, Yukiko Hayata. Please lower your hand. All those opposed raise your hand. Melanie Morgan, Kathy Calhoun, Annette Wilson, John Colilla, Pam Moser, Sharon Roy, Rachel Anger. Lower your hand. If you are an abstention raise your hand. I see no abstentions. Rachel, go ahead and call the vote. **Anger:** I don't have a vote for Kenny. **Currle:** I was a yes. **Anger:** That's 10 yes, 7 no, zero abstentions. **Mastin:** The motion passes to allow Carol to amend her motion.

Mastin: Carol and Ed, do we have the correct wording for this show rule? **Krzanowski:** Yes, I believe we do. Do you agree, Ed? **Raymond:** Yes. The only thing I would suggest is capitalizing the L in Level. **Mastin:** Is there any additional discussion or questions on the amended motion that is on the screen? [**Secretary's Note:** The motion reads: *Only a licensed CFA judge or a Guest Judge at the Approved Guest Judge Level may judge a Super Specialty ring.*] I know there are objections. I will call for the vote. If you are in favor, raise your hand.

Mastin called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Anger, Calhoun, Colilla, Morgan, Moser, Roy and Wilson voting no.

Mastin: George Eigenhauser, Pam DelaBar, Mark Hannon, Kenny Currle, Cathy Dunham, Mike Shelton, Carol Krzanowski, Russell Webb, Yukiko Hayata, Paula Noble. Lower your hand. If you are opposed raise your hand. Melanie Morgan, Kathy Calhoun, Pam Moser, John Colilla, Annette Wilson, Sharon Roy, Rachel Anger. Lower your hand. If you are an abstention raise your hand. No abstentions Rachel. **Anger:** That's 10 yes, 7 no, zero abstentions. **Mastin:** The motion passes.

Calhoun: I just have a question. Since this wasn't pre-noticed – this amended motion was not pre-noticed – does it have to have 2/3 or not? **Mastin:** No. The motion itself was pre-noticed. Shelly will correct me, you can amend any motion that is pre-noticed. **Perkins:** That is correct. **Morgan:** So, I have an issue with going back to – oh, I don't remember which one it is. Ed Raymond and Carol asked us to take all of our show rules through the Show Rules Committee. If this is going to be a situation where we bring up show rules and we no longer have the ability to control what happens with them, because they get kicked over to the Show Rules Committee, then I'm uncomfortable with being forced to do that. **Mastin:** I didn't follow that, Melanie. What do you mean? **Morgan:** Basically, I brought forward a motion and then because I wasn't the one who made the motion on it – or, I brought forward a problem to the Show Rules Committee; I followed protocol – and because I wasn't the one who made the motion, the ability to control what happened in that motion was taken away from me, based off procedure. If that's the case, then I want a work-around where I don't have to work with the Show Rules Committee. **Mastin:** Shelly? **Perkins:** George has his hand up. Let him answer that. **Mastin:** Go ahead, George. **Eigenhauser:** It's not a matter of a work around. If anybody objects to the amendment, we vote on it. It doesn't matter if it originally came from the Show Rules Committee or if it originally came from the Judging Committee, once it's on the floor it becomes a board action item and we handle how it progresses. Because you objected to the amendment, we had to take a vote on it. If you had brought the motion and I had made a motion to amend, we would have voted on it. It doesn't change the procedure. An amendment that's objected to takes a board vote, so that's the work around. If you don't like what the Show Rules Committee came up with, you state it as an amendment to bring it back to what you originally wanted and the board will vote

up or down on your amendment. **Mastin:** Melanie, do you have any additional questions or comments? **Morgan:** That makes sense. Thank you George. **Mastin:** OK.

Calhoun: Can we ask that the Central Office give us some feedback after several months of this to see if, in fact, there were an extraordinary amount of errors? Give us some feedback so then we'll know whether or not this is working. **Tartaglia:** Yes, we'll do that. **Mastin:** Thank you.

Mastin: Ed, I don't think we have concluded yet, but I think we are pretty much done unless you have any other comments. **Raymond:** No, that's it for Show Rules. **Mastin:** OK. I don't know if we have any more coming in open session, or if anybody has anything, so if you could hang around, just hang around in case something comes up. **Raymond:** I will do that. **Mastin:** Thank you Ed.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Ed Raymond, Chair*

(10) AWARDS COMMITTEE.

Committee Chair: Cathy Dunham
List of Committee Members: Cyndy Byrd, Martha Auspitz, Leslie Carr

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Continue to work with the Show Rules Committee concerning entry clerking show rules as we work toward centralized entry clerking.

Gathered and reviewed award nominations.

Current Happenings of Committee:

While the committee was reviewing the nominations for the 2023 Star Awards to become apparent that some of the nominees were going above and beyond in the area they were nominated. To reward these hard-working individuals the committee feels that it is an appropriate time to develop an additional award level called the Diamond Star Award.

Diamond Star Award

This award is for the individuals that work hard behind the scenes every day to make CFA's many programs great. It is intended to honor those individuals that have demonstrated continued outstanding work in the same area for a substantial number of years and would only be awarded once to an individual for the body of work they are nominated. The nominations for this award would come from the awards committee and may not be awarded every year.

Guidelines:

*Received at least one Star Award for the body of work completed.
Continued to work in the same area for at least 5 years.
~~Is not currently a board member.~~*

Future Projections for Committee:

Gathering and presentation of award nominations for 2024

Board Action Items:

Motion to approve the new Diamond Star Award.

Mastin: We are now going into Awards, Cathy Dunham. **Dunham:** Thank you. I only have one action item. It is to establish a new award called the *Diamond Star Award*. The description of the award is in the body of the report and I am happy to answer any questions. **Mastin:** Does anybody have any questions or comments before I ask for a second? **Morgan:** I really looked at this and I appreciate the work, Cathy, that your Committee puts in to all of this. We do want to recognize all of the hard-working individuals that contribute to CFA on so many

levels and in so many places, but we have a Star Award. The more I read it, and especially when I looked at where we were going with it, the more I felt like it devalued the actual Star Award itself. So, I have an issue with adding this division here, especially when we're looking at giving it to people who only had one star before or something like that, so I'm just kind of expressing that concern. **Currle:** I just wanted to say Cathy, I thought it was an excellent idea for somebody who does some work above and beyond, regardless of the number. I like the criteria that you put forward. As you said, it doesn't have to be awarded every year, it's just someone who was having [inaudible]. Thank you. **DelaBar:** I think it's a good idea. One, you can only get a star award once for any particular activity and you've got to be doing it to get this Diamond Award for at least 5 years. You're not eligible to get a star award for each of those 5 years because it's basically the same thing, so this at least acknowledges the effort and time and commitment that people have to this specific area. Of course, it comes to the board and we have the last word on who gets it and who doesn't. **Calhoun:** The guidelines exempt board members from being eligible for this Diamond Star award, but we have a lot of people that are doing a lot of hard work. I'm not sure that you should kind of be penalized if you're a board member. **Dunham:** Can I answer that, Rich? **Mastin:** Go ahead Cathy. **Dunham:** The Committee felt that because the board members already have our own service award for longevity on the board, that this was something that was relevant for a specific job over time. There's a multitude of things out there – doing analysis, doing work within breed rescue, doing work within a multitude of areas that really are over the course of time, and some of those jobs are pretty hard when it gets right down to it, and heartbreaking in some cases. So, that's why we felt that the board members should not be considered as active board members. Now, if you go off the board and you're still doing that job, I'm happy to entertain that nomination without question. **DelaBar:** Just a bit of clarification. The so-called [board service] award is actually just a recognition of service, not of a particular accomplishment except for surviving being on the board for X number of years. **Mastin:** Thank you Pam. Does anybody want to make a second on this motion? **Webb:** I'll second. **Mastin:** Is that Russell? **Webb:** Yes, **Mastin:** OK, thank you Russell.

Eigenhauser: I would like to make a motion to amend. I would like to strike out the line that says *Is not currently a board member*, and that's my motion. **Calhoun:** Kathy will second. **Mastin:** Alright, so I've got George on the amended motion and Kathy made the second. Any discussion on the amendment? OK, I'm going to call for the vote because I don't think I'm going to get unanimous support on that, so all those in favor raise your hand to amend striking *Is not currently a board member*.

Mastin called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Dunham and Wilson voting no. Morgan abstained.

Mastin: George Eigenhauser, Carol Krzanowski, Kenny Currle, Kathy Calhoun, Mark Hannon, Melanie Morgan, Rachel Anger, Russell Webb. You can't lower your hand. It makes me start all over. George Eigenhauser, Carol Krzanowski, Kenny Currle, Kathy Calhoun, Mark Hannon, Rachel Anger, John Colilla, Sharon Roy, Yukiko Hayata, Pam DelaBar, Mike Shelton, Paula Noble, Pam Moser, Russell Webb. OK, lower your hand. If you are opposed raise your hand. Annette Wilson. Lower your hand. Cathy Dunham, are you opposed? **Dunham:** I am. **Mastin:** OK. Lower your hand. Any abstentions? Melanie Morgan. OK, Rachel? **Anger:** Sorry, I had Melanie as a yes, so let me change this. 14 yes, 2 no, 1 abstention. **Mastin:** OK, the motion passes to amend the original motion.

Mastin: Any further discussion or comments on the amended motion? Because I'm going to call on voting on the whole thing now. Guideline #3 is stricken. I'm just going to try it. Are there any objections to the new amended motion? I see objections so I'm going to call for the vote. All those in favor raise your hand.

Mastin called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Morgan and Moser voting no.

Mastin: Rachel Anger, Carol Krzanowski, George Eigenhauser, Kathy Calhoun, Cathy Dunham, Kenny Currle, Yukiko Hayata, Mike Shelton, Pam DelaBar, Russell Webb, Paula Noble, John Colilla, Sharon Roy, Mark Hannon, Annette Wilson. Please lower your hand. If you are opposed raise your hand. Melanie Morgan, Pam Moser. Lower your hand. If you are an abstention raise your hand. No abstentions. Rachel? **Anger:** That's 15 yes, 2 no, zero abstentions. **Mastin:** OK, the motion passes. Cathy Dunham, congratulations on your new amended motion. **Dunham:** Thank you. **Mastin:** Cathy, do you have anything additional? **Dunham:** No, not for open session, thank you. **Mastin:** OK, thank you.

Time Frame:

Ongoing

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Update on ongoing projects.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Cathy Dunham, Chair*

(11) **SPECIAL INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE.**

Committee Chair: Michael Shelton
List of Committee Members: Bob Clark, Erin Cutcheon, Karen Godwin, Ginger Meeker, Beth Polstra

Current Happenings of Committee:

The committee meets regularly via Zoom. We have been working on revising and expanding the Exhibitors Code of Ethics that was passed by the board in October 2022, as we believe that this document will give us the ability to not just deal with allegations of bad behavior, but help change some attitudes within CFA that gave rise to these behaviors.

That Code of Ethics addressed a serious concern with the existing rules, as it listed several activities that many considered unacceptable, but were not explicitly prohibited. However, there is a growing concern that the type of behavior that inspired the formation of this committee has become ingrained within the culture of CFA. It is our opinion that we need to do more than simply improve upon definitions of unacceptable activity, and develop standards that will help to positively influence the culture within CFA. With this revised Code of Ethics, we hope to start the process of moving towards a better environment around shows that will enable CFA to move past the issues we see, and grow into a healthier, more vibrant organization.

We are presenting the revised Code of Ethics for approval.

Future Projections for Committee:

Pursue any investigations as the matters arise.

Board Action Items:

Approve the revised Exhibitors Code of Ethics.

Mastin: Next is Mike Shelton, Special Investigation Committee. **Shelton:** We are presenting here a revision to the Code of Ethics that the board originally adopted back in October of 2022. We think that document was a good start because it elucidated certain activities that would be considered as unsportsmanlike conduct, but we feel that it doesn't go far enough to try and really address what our Committee feels are some of the core causes of those unacceptable behaviors. We are presenting this Code of Ethics as something to address what we feel will hopefully move CFA back toward what we really want to do, which is put the emphasis on the cats and the breeds, and promoting the pedigreed cat fancy, and promoting our breeds instead of having shows be what I referred to before as "the almighty quest for points," so we are here to do this to try and promote a change in mindset among exhibitors to try and get back to those goals that we think really more represent CFA's mission. I'm not going to go through this whole thing and read the whole thing out loud because it's too long, but I'm hoping to get the board's support to approve this document. **Mastin:** Can I have a second? **Krzanowski:** Carol seconds. **Mastin:** Thank you Carol.

Mastin: Discussion and questions for Mike? **DelaBar:** Mike, in my opinion, we're going to need some translations of this to be able to effectively get the word out throughout the global cat fancy. I'm not offering to do that. I did my French thing earlier this year. The other thing that I did want to bring up has just evaded me right now. I'm still somewhere between Japan, Korea and Finland. Yes, now I remember. The only way we are ever going to rid ourselves of much of the problem that we see among those who perpetuate bad conduct and unsportsmanlike behavior is, we really need to look at a different award system. I know I've been saying that since about 2006. I still firmly believe it, the more I see every year in show halls, but that's a comment. Mike, I will support your efforts on this. **Mastin:** Any additional comments or questions for Mike? Any objections to Mike's motion? Seeing no objection, the motion passes unanimously.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

Mastin: Mike, anything else? **Shelton:** I would just say that Pam, I agree with you. This will need to be translated. I am not anywhere near enough of a polyglot to take that on, but we can find the right people within CFA to work on translating this document and establish priorities on what languages will come first, either based on where it's most needed or where we have the best resources. I would like to thank the board for supporting this. We put a lot of time and effort into this document. **Mastin:** Mike, thank you. Great job on this for you and your Committee.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Results of any investigations initiated in the interim.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Michael Shelton, Chair*

Code of Ethics for CFA Show Exhibitors

Introduction

Advancing the welfare of all cats sits at the core of CFA's mission. CFA's activities include breed registration, pedigree archives, and the maintenance of breed standards. CFA supports clubs and club members and promotes the interests of breeders and exhibitors of pedigreed and non-pedigreed cats.

Cat shows are an integral tool in achieving CFA's mission. Cats are evaluated with respect to written breed standards, helping breeders improve each breed. Shows provide a venue for communication among breeders and offer opportunities for the public to view our cats and benefit from our combined experience. Shows are produced by clubs that have the necessary resources to provide a quality experience for everyone involved. Exhibited cats demonstrate the very best of health, care, and grooming.

This Code of Ethics is divided into four separate Standards of Behavior, which are meant to guide members of the cat fancy toward stated goals. They remind us of the things we share in common and of our need to always act in the best interest of the cats in our care and the organization we represent.

Each standard is defined by an overarching goal, followed by a list of criteria through which we gauge our achievement of that goal. Exhibitors are advised to read these standards, reflect on the criteria, and think about ways in which they may improve the cat fancy experience.

Standards of Behavior

Standard 1: Health, Safety and Wellbeing of the Cats in our Care

Exhibitors' common interest in and love for cats drives their behavior not just on show days, but throughout their lives. We recognize that the wellbeing of the cats in our care is of paramount importance in all that we do.

Criteria:

- A. Every cat in each exhibitors' care receives the best possible care at all times. All decisions made regarding our cats are made with the best interest of the individual cat foremost in our minds.*
- B. Each cat is maintained to the highest possible health, hygiene and nutritional standards.*
- C. Veterinary care is made available as required for each cat's wellbeing.*
- D. Every cat brought to a show is cared for in accordance with the above stated criteria, and meets every requirement listed in the CFA show rules.*

- E. *Under no circumstances will a cat exhibiting signs of a communicable disease, internal or external parasites, or any condition that would endanger the wellbeing of this cat or others, enter a show hall.*
- F. *We hold the wellbeing of all cats in the show hall in the same regard as the wellbeing of our own cats. We never behave in a manner that endangers any cat.*

Standard 2: Competition that Promotes the Betterment of our Breeds and Advancement of the Cat Fancy

Exhibitors recognize that fair, honest comparison of all show cats to their individual breed standards enables breeders to improve the quality of their breed, and present to the public the very best cats their breed can offer.

Criteria:

- A. *Exhibitors recognize that it is the degree to which individual cats meet the breed standards that is being judged, not the character of the breeder or owner of the cats. We improve the cat fancy by keeping the judging focused on this goal.*
- B. *Exhibitors do not engage in any activity which shifts the focus from comparing cats to the standard. This includes altering entry counts to manipulate scoring, simultaneously entering multiple shows with the intent to influence others' decisions regarding which shows to enter, or coercing, bullying or harassing others to enter or withhold cats from shows or rings to impact competition.*
- C. *Exhibitors will not habitually withhold entered cats from rings with the goal of shifting points to other cats. Withholding a cat from a ring(s) when that cat may be experiencing excessive stress, compromising its behavior, health or grooming is not only allowed but supported by these standards.*
- D. *Exhibitors show respect for the cat on the judging stand by refraining from anything that might distract or upset the cat such as the waving of toys, quick movements, or loud sounds.*
- E. *Exhibitors do not distract judges from the cat being judged by making comments about the cat, engaging the judge in conversation, or commenting to others about the cat on the judging stand.*

Standard 3: Support and Camaraderie Among Cat Fanciers

Exhibitors accept that all in the cat fancy come together out of common interest in cats regardless of Division, Class, or Breed. While we recognize that our opinions on a variety of topics may differ, exhibitors unite in our devotion to cats and the betterment of the cat fancy.

Criteria:

- A. Exhibitors accept that we are all present at shows out of common interest in the cats, betterment of our breeds and advancement of the cat fancy.*
- B. Exhibitors are supportive of each other in the interest of our common goals. Under no circumstances will exhibitors engage in harassing, bullying or menacing behaviors.*
- C. Exhibitors do not engage in unsportsmanlike behaviors before, during or after shows which may detract from fair and honest judging of cats. Social media is considered an extension of the physical public space and respectful conduct is expected there, as well.*
- D. Exhibitors are respectful of others by keeping conversation during finals to a minimum, and not disparaging the decisions of the judge or the cats being honored.*

Standard 4: Outreach and Education to Promote the Welfare of all Cats

Exhibitors acknowledge that not everyone in our society values cats to the extent that we do. We know that education of the public can improve the lives of cats. We also believe that bringing more members into the cat fancy will enrich their lives and strengthen our common interests.

Criteria:

- A. Exhibitors only present cats of exemplary health and grooming. The cats' wellbeing is most important. The public's perception of the cat fancy should never be negatively impacted by an exhibitor's actions.*
- B. In all activities related to showing cats including travel to and from shows, overnight accommodations, and presentation while in the show hall, exhibitors recognize that they are representatives of the cat fancy.*
- C. Exhibitors demonstrate exemplary behavior and a welcoming atmosphere in the show hall. This influences spectators' attitude toward cats and may improve cats' lives.*
- D. Exhibitors encourage others to become interested in the cat fancy and join us in our endeavors.*

(12) INTERNATIONAL DIVISION.

Committee Chair/Co-Chair **Kathy Calhoun/ Matthew Wong**
China **John Colilla/Wain Harding, Subcommittee Chair/**
Co-Chair
ID Outside of China **Robert Zenda, Subcommittee Chair**

INTERNATIONAL DIVISION - China

The International Division – China Awards Banquet was held at the Grand Hyatt in Macau. Macau is also known as The City of Dreams for a reason. There were approximately 60 people in attendance. The sponsors attend as well as the International Division Co-Chairs. The event was beautifully hosted by Mr. XR Wang (5D). Thank you to 5D and his Team!

Indeed, an enjoyable evening was had by all. Cats from the 2020 – 2021 and the 2021 -2022 seasons were honored.

Thus far in this season there have been 16 shows in mainland China. The total distribution of rings was 4 allbreed, 44 longhair and 58 shorthair. There were nine shows in the west, five shows in the east and one show in the north. We are strongly encouraging clubs to license shows in the north. Overall, we are pleased to see show activity increasing in China. There are also a number of shows being scheduled for April.

Total number of entries for December through January was 696 with an average of 139.2 entries per show. Total number of entries for February through March was 1236 for and average of 154.5. We are pleased to see an increase in the number of entries which may be due to the reduction of points for season wins.

| Date | Club Name | City | Areas | Show Format | | | Show Count Entries | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------|----------|-------|-------------|----|----|--------------------|----|----------|----|-----|----|---------|----|-----|-------|
| | | | | AB | LH | SH | Kittens | | Champion | | NOV | | Premier | | HHP | Total |
| | | | | | | | LH | SH | LH | SH | LH | SH | LH | SH | | |
| 07/02/22 | China Free Heart Cat Club | Chengdu | W | | 4 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 20 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 67 |
| 11/05/22 | Oriental Crown | Zhejiang | E | | 3 | 3 | 46 | 37 | 41 | 26 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 43 | 225 |
| 12/03/22 | Swire CFC | Ningbo | E | | 2 | 3 | 61 | 40 | 28 | 24 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 10 | 33 | 223 |
| 12/16/22 | Dragon King | Zhejiang | E | | 3 | 3 | 54 | 17 | 41 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 33 | 194 |
| 12/24/22 | Beyond Love Feline Fanciers | Zhejiang | E | | 3 | 3 | 31 | 17 | 30 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 107 |
| 12/25/22 | China Kiwi Fancies Cat Club | Sichuan | W | | 3 | 5 | 21 | 10 | 15 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 74 |
| 01/14/23 | Winterfell Club | Shenyang | N | | 4 | 4 | 23 | 23 | 12 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 98 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|---|-----|
| 02/11/23 | Yan Huang Cat Club | Chengdu | W | 1 | 3 | 3 | 46 | 14 | 37 | 28 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 137 |
| 02/17/23 | China Ace Cat Club | Chengdu | W | | 3 | 5 | 38 | 5 | 15 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| 02/25/23 | Hua Xia Cat Club | Chengdu | W | 1 | 3 | 3 | 37 | 12 | 37 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
| 03/04/23 | Man Miao Cat Club | Chengdu | W | | 3 | 7 | 41 | 71 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| 03/11/23 | China Ace Cat Club | Chengdu | W | | 4 | 6 | 44 | 70 | 28 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| 03/11/23 | The Polaris | Nanjing | E | | 3 | 3 | 46 | 31 | 21 | 67 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 195 |
| 03/25/23 | China Peach and Love Club | Chengdu | W | 2 | 1 | 3 | 56 | 12 | 61 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 147 |
| 03/25/23 | Oriental Crown | Nanjing | W | | 2 | 3 | 12 | 16 | 81 | 69 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 206 |

INTERNATIONAL DIVISION – Outside of China

As of March 29th, CFA has scored 65 shows with 6,372 entries for clubs in the International Division (except China), as shown in the detailed chart below:

| Country | Shows | KITTENS | CH | PR | HHP | Total Entries |
|------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------------------|
| <i>Hong Kong</i> | 8 | 195 | 286 | 203 | 41 | 725 |
| <i>Indonesia</i> | 18 | 439 | 904 | 102 | 175 | 1620 |
| <i>Korea</i> | 4 | 78 | 146 | 78 | 5 | 307 |
| <i>Malaysia</i> | 13 | 431 | 652 | 113 | 238 | 1434 |
| <i>Thailand</i> | 18 | 1014 | 866 | 150 | 0 | 2030 |
| <i>Vietnam</i> | 1 | 20 | 34 | 8 | 8 | 70 |
| <i>Singapore</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Taiwan</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>India</i> | 1 | 9 | 36 | 0 | 15 | 60 |
| <i>Kuwait</i> | 1 | 11 | 63 | 14 | 4 | 92 |
| <i>Israel</i> | 1 | 8 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 34 |
| Total | 65 | 2205 | 3010 | 671 | 486 | 6372 |

Closing out the 2022-2023 show season, there are 11 additional shows licensed in the ID (Malaysia-3, Hong Kong-3, Indonesia-2, Korea-1, Singapore-1, Thailand-1), and for the 2023-2024 show season 21 dates have already been reserved, including 5 which have been licensed. I would like to acknowledge the excellent support of Nadia, our ID show date reservations guru and Lisa Brault, who keeps Matthew & I busy reviewing Show License Applications on a timely basis.

Unfortunately, five clubs in the ID remain “not in good standing” despite continuing attempts to bring them up to date. Three (3) have paid dues but not submitted membership lists (Cat Fashion-Israel, Cat Fanciers of the UAE, and the Saudi Cat Club). The other two (Regal Cat Fanciers of Israel and E-Cats-Egypt) have not paid dues or submitted membership lists and are not responding to any of my communications with their Presidents or Secretaries of record.

Our next major activity will be selecting locations for the 2022-2023 ID Banquets in China and the ID Other area. For ID Other, we have received proposals from Hong Kong, Indonesia, and Thailand, and I believe there are one or two proposals from China. Kathy has scheduled a meeting of the ID committee via ZOOM on April 3rd to discuss and decide.

Last, but not least, I respectfully request consideration and support of the CFA Board of Directors for the Show Rule changes I submitted separating Vietnam from the grouping with Cambodia/Laos/Myanmar/Thailand and designating them as a separate country for scoring and competition for ID Division Awards.

Mastin: Kathy Calhoun, I see no action items on International Division. Do you have any additional points? **Calhoun:** Yes. The only other thing that I wanted to bring up is that the Committee solicited proposals for the International Division banquet for the 2022-2023 season. We had a number of really nice proposals, well prepared and thorough. The event has been awarded to the Hong Kong Black Cat Club and it will be Sunday, August 27, 2023. **Mastin:** Melanie, do you have any questions or comments? **Morgan:** Kathy, I know that at one point you had talked to me about the fact that there is a request to have some of the potential Associate Judges from Hong Kong judge in mainland China and vice versa. Is that still a request, or is that something you want to bring up later? **Calhoun:** It is still a request. I thought maybe we would bring it up in closed session. **Morgan:** Alright, fine. Sorry. **Calhoun:** Thank you. **Mastin:** Does anybody else have any additional questions or comments for Kathy? OK Kathy, thank you.

=====

A message from 5D

CFA China ID Banquet 2019-2020 & 2021-2022

“We have done it, mask off! Sincere thanks to the generous sponsors, committed working partners, award winners, wonderful fanciers to celebrate our success in Macau, Las Vegas of the East. A big thank you to the Grand Hyatt catering crew and production team. Travelling to China is now as easy as before the pandemic. Look forward to seeing more friends from all over the world in China. Appreciate the hard work from many clubs, we have seen CFA shows in Nanjing, Ningbo, Chengdu, Chongqing and Shenyang this season. Good to see fanciers working on Guangzhou to join the roster in the next season.”



(13) **SHOW SCHEDULING.**

Committee Chair: Pam Moser
List of Committee Members: Mary Auth

Purpose: To assure that CFA continues to thrive with well-distributed shows throughout the United States. Having a national show scheduler relieves the Regional Director of making the tough decisions on show dates.

For Regions 1-7

Plan of action to establish clear and meaningful traditional date calendar:

1. Review existing traditional show date calendar contained on CFA web site.
2. Reach out to all regional directors to learn of changes to the CFA web site.
3. Poll all clubs on their intentions to continue on their traditional show date and location and enter that information into inventory.
4. Inventory all shows to discover gaps and potential conflicts across all seven US regions.

Process to maintain and establish traditional and new show dates:

1. There is an existing inventory of shows that have had traditional dates at one location for a number of years (more than 2).
2. There are shows that have established new traditional show dates by occurring on the same date and the same location for two years.
3. Continue with and potentially modify the “500 mile” (~~700~~) rule for show conflicts.

Mastin: We’re going to move on to Pam Moser. **Moser:** Thank you. I would like to bring the board’s attention to the, under *Process to maintain and establish traditional and new show dates*, #3 and #5.b. I put parenthesis around the show rule, *Continue with and potentially modify the “500 mile” (700) rule for show conflicts*. I would kind of like the board’s input on, would they like to continue with the 500 mile, or would they like to increase it to 700 miles?

Mastin: Does anybody have any comments? **Morgan:** I think, given the environment that we have out there and the limited exhibitor base, that looking at increasing it to 700 actually makes a lot of sense. **Colilla:** I would like to keep it as 500. If it’s 700, [Regions] 1, 4 and 7 are going to have a lot of problems trying to replace shows. **Shelton:** Frankly, I think John hit the nail on the head. I don’t have an objection to 700 miles for the west coast, but trying to put any single limit on it that’s going to apply to CFA in Regions 1-7 or 1-9 is, I think, next to impossible. **DelaBar:** I was just going to ask that we also convert this to kilometers. **Mastin:** Easy enough. We can do that. **Eigenhauser:** I think right now we should be encouraging shows, not discouraging them. The greater exclusion zone we create, the more we discourage new shows. 500 miles is barely workable. I would rather see it be less. **Currle:** I agree with John. 500 miles, we can go a little

bit over or a little bit under, but we just need to be respectful of each other's show dates. **Mastin:** Ed, you are still there. Pam and the entire board, I don't believe the 500 mile rule is a show rule, it's just a rule that the board has been working from. Is that a correct statement? **Moser:** That's correct. **Mastin:** The only rule that I believe exists – and maybe Kathy, John and Matthew can correct me – is ID, possibly in China. John? **Colilla:** Yes, there is a distance rule in China, 600 kilometers or 500 miles I I'm not mistaken. **Mastin:** OK, that's what I thought. So, I just wanted to clarify that. That is not a specific rule. However, maybe 5.b. is a rule because the show would be moving greater than 50 miles from it's original location. So, Pam Moser, how do you want to continue with #3? **Moser:** #3, I'll stay with the 500 miles. It sounds like that's how the board would like it to stay and I'm OK with that. I just wanted your input. **Mastin:** OK great.

4. *Traditional show dates will be given priority over new clubs asking for same weekend.*

5. *Show schedule committee will:*

a. *If conflict arises between clubs, show scheduler will resolve. If unable to resolve show scheduling committee will approach Regional Director for input/assistance.*

b. *Approve shows moving more than ~~50 (75)~~ 75 miles from traditional location.*

Mastin: Do you want to tackle 5.b.? **Moser:** Yeah, 50 to 75. Is that going to be an issue with people? **Mastin:** Matthew? **Wong:** I just want to say that there's a reason [inaudible] in China, so this is going to be one weekend this month we have three shows happening and they are, actually two of them in the same area and the three shows are exactly, almost just exactly, 650 kilometers apart. The third show is organized by Agnes Sun and she really struggled to find a spot within the province, the city, that she got the sponsor to put on a show. Fortunately, she could move within the province to another big city to secure the sponsor. So I just want to add that I think increasing the distance even for a large country like China would have potential of taking out one show or two shows out of the season. **Mastin:** Thank you for sharing that, Matthew. **Colilla:** I support the 75 miles from traditional date location. That's what I'm saying. It helps sometimes when you lose a show hall, you have a shot at finding another show hall 75 miles without getting conditions. **Hannon:** I want to point out that this is not a show rule. The show rule actually says that if you change cities, you have to get approval, but our rule of thumb has been 50 miles. So, I don't think we're talking about changing a show rule here, we're changing our policy. **Mastin:** So, the 50 miles is not a show rule, Mark? **Hannon:** No. The show rule says "change of city." Is that right, Ed? **Raymond:** Correct. **Mastin:** OK, so the 50 miles is just a rule that the board has been using, just like the 500 mile rule. **Moser:** Right. **Mastin:** OK. Well, if the board agrees with that, then that's what we'll go by unless we want to make it a show rule. **DelaBar:** I just wanted to say, the 500 miles equals 804.672 kilometers and 75 miles equals 120.7 kilometers. **Mastin:** OK, thank you. **Hannon:** In light of the comments about China, I'm wondering whether we should make exceptions for certain geographical areas. We could say 75 miles to move locations here, but that might not be practical in other parts of the world. **Mastin:** Matthew, do you want to comment? **Wong:** Yes, I would agree for China or even for some of the ID, 75 miles is just from Hong Kong to the New Territories. No difference at all. **Mastin:** So Matthew, do you have a recommendation to Pam Moser's Committee on what we might do different from the 50 to 75 mile rule? **Wong:** I think the spirit of this was when shows were moved from one city to another, but 50 miles to quite a few places is still the same city,

considering now actually how big they are. Add the fact that it is across from one city to another, rather than being [inaudible] by about 50 miles. **Moser:** This is only for Regions 1-7. This is not for anybody else. **Mastin:** Where was that on the report? **Moser:** This is only for the U.S. That's what I had asked you. **Mastin:** Good point. Thank you for pointing that out. That's right, Show Scheduling is domestic. **Moser:** Yes. **Mastin:** Very good, thank you. **Calhoun:** I thought it was domestic, as well. **DelaBar:** I like some of the things that Pam has brought up, especially on moving from a city to putting a mileage or kilometer value to it makes a lot more sense, because you've got so many places that you have one major city and a whole bunch of suburbs under different names, so it makes more sense to keep it at miles or kilometers. **Mastin:** Any other questions or comments? Who seconded this motion? **Moser:** Rich, I haven't made any motion. **Mastin:** OK, you haven't made the motion. **Moser:** Can we take some kind of straw vote on this 50 to 75 so I know which way to go? **Mastin:** Sure. If you are in favor of moving from 50 to 75, raise your hand. Pam, I got 13 people on the screen in favor. **Moser:** OK, that's good, so I will move that to 75 miles for traditional locations. **Moser:** My next question is, does anybody have any other questions on here? **Hannon:** This is not going in the Show Rules, right? This is just a working number for the board. **Moser:** Yes. **Mastin:** Is there any other questions or comments for Pam?

- c. *Will not limit the number of shows, that can be held on any one weekend.*
- d. *Maintain a current inventory of show dates.*
- 6. *Make two calendars to keep track of ongoing changes. (traditional dates and all shows in each Region)*
- 7. *Calendar to be updated on a bi-monthly basis.*
- 8. *Calendar to be placed in a visible area on website, to include show schedulers names, email addresses and phone numbers.*
- 9. *Show schedulers have the final say of what dates are approved.*
- 10. *Regional Directors and clubs have the right to take all disputes to the board for final ruling.*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Inventory created to serve as a working document

Current Happenings of Committee:

Continuing to build inventory of traditional dates

Future Projections for Committee:

Once plan is approved, determine a way to disseminate information to Regional Directors, who will share with clubs in their regions.

Board Action Items:

Vote to approve plan.

Mastin: Pam, do you want to make the motion? **Moser:** I would like to make a motion to accept the plan, as amended for the 75 mile rule. **Mastin:** It would be effective – well, you want a motion to approve the entire plan, not just the 75, right? **Moser:** Exactly, the entire plan with the 75 mile rule to the traditional locations. **Morgan:** Melanie will second. **Mastin:** Thank you. **Calhoun:** I just have a question. Where will this document live, so we can find it when we forget all this. **Moser:** What I was going to request, once we get the plan approved, I was going to send this to all the Regional Directors to post on their websites so that clubs know what is expected, so that they know here's how we're doing this, and then I would also include on here my name, phone number, email address and Mary's name, phone number and email address, so they can contact us when they want to ask for a new show. **DelaBar:** Pam, you stated this basically deals with Regions 1-7. Would that take away the requirement for Regions 8 and 9 to advertise shows like that are a new show coming up or a show has to change 100 miles for holding its show? We have been doing that for as long as I have been associated as a Regional Director, and that has been since 2014 with 2 years off. Would that take away the requirement for us to have to announce those shows? **Moser:** Like I said, this is only for domestic. **DelaBar:** So, I mean, this still comes under the umbrella of Show Scheduling, and I realize the more intricate parts of what you're going to have to address, but that requirement, would that be sort of *mox nix* now for 8 and 9, or would you prefer under Show Scheduling that we continue to have CFA make those announcements of new shows and show location changes? **Moser:** I would say you do it the way you have been doing it. That's how you do it. **Mastin:** Pam Moser, your recommendation is for 8 and 9 to continue doing what they have been doing? **Moser:** Right, because we don't have any say in that. **Mastin:** OK. **Colilla:** I have a question Pam. You mentioned about getting new show dates going through. You mean the Regional Director is not going to be involved anymore? **Moser:** That's correct, unless John there is an issue where we tell a club no, and I don't know why we did because in the plan here we're stating that clubs can put on shows, and so if there is a problem, they don't like what we have said, we will call the Regional Director and talk to the Regional Director and if we cannot be satisfactory to the clubs, they can still come to the board for final approval. **Colilla:** OK, that's fine. I just need to know the rules. That's all. **Mastin:** Just so everybody is aware, that's pointed out in #9 on the document, *Show schedulers have the final say of what dates are approved*. And then #10, *Regional Directors and clubs have the right to take all disputes to the board for final ruling*. **Dunham:** I had the same question John did, Rich. **Perkins:** Is there a reason that you can't just list the regions that this applies to in the title so that there won't be confusion? I mean, your title says this is the process. Can you not just say, *for Regions* – **Moser:** 1 through 7. Sure, we can put that in there. That's fine. **Mastin:** Good recommendation. **Moser:** Yes, that's great. That's fine, thank you. **Currle:** I do have a problem with having somebody else have the final say with a show, particularly if they have to move fast, so I don't think I can support that particular part of this, even though it's non-binding.

Mastin: Any additional questions or comments? I'm going to call for the vote on this. If you are in favor of the amended plan – and the only amendment to the plan is 75 miles and listing Regions 1-7, raise your hand.

Mastin called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Dunham voting no. DelaBar abstained.

Mastin: Mark Hannon, George Eigenhauser, Melanie Morgan, Carol Krzanowski, Russell Webb, Kathy Calhoun, Yukiko Hayata, Rachel Anger, Annette Wilson, Sharon Roy, Paula Noble, Mike Shelton, John Colilla, Kenny Currle. Lower your hand. If you are opposed raise your hand. Cathy Dunham. Lower your hand. If you are an abstention, raise your hand. **Moser:** Do I have to abstain? I think I do. **Mastin:** Shelly, does Pam Moser have to abstain on this? I don't know what she has to personally gain. I don't think so. **Perkins:** No, I don't see a personal conflict. Your abstention is up to you and it would be based on whether or not you feel there is a personal conflict. I don't see one. **Moser:** OK, then I would vote yes. **Mastin:** OK, thank you. Pam DelaBar, are you an abstention? **DelaBar:** Yes, I am. **Mastin:** OK, thank you. Rachel? **Anger:** That's 15 yes, 1 no, 1 abstention. **Mastin:** OK, the motion passes. Pam Moser, congratulations. **Moser:** I would like to thank the board for approving this. I think this will help with the Regional Directors not having to deal with this that much anymore. **Mastin:** Well, you've got a lot to deal with. **Moser:** That's OK. **Mastin:** OK. Thank you Pam.

Time Frame:

ASAP

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Nothing planned at this time

*Respectfully Submitted,
Pam Moser, Chair*

Unfinished Business and General Orders

(14) OTHER COMMITTEES.

Mastin: We're going to move on to Other Committees. Rachel, I need your help here. Do we have anything from Other Committees? **Anger:** We have no Other Committees.

(15) NEW BUSINESS.

Anger: Our next agenda item was New Business that was moved to Executive Session.

(16) **OLD BUSINESS.**

(a) **Khao Manee Cat Club Motion.**

Anger: Old Business we have dealt with already. [**Secretary's Note:** See Agenda Item #4, moved per CFA Attorney.] **Mastin:** That was Khao Manee, but the new Old Business of Mid-Ohio, that got moved to executive session too, correct. **Anger:** That was New Business, Agenda Item #15, and it got moved to executive session. Correct. **Mastin:** Oh, you're right. Cat Show Power Failure.

* * * * *

Mastin: Does anybody else have anything before we adjourn? **DelaBar:** Can we have a 5 minute break? **Mastin:** Do you promise to be back in 5 minutes? **DelaBar:** I promise to be back in 5 minutes. **Mastin:** Alright, let's get back at it at 10:15 and we're going into closed session. Thank you all for attending in the audience. Please sign off, and then sign back into executive session #2.

BREAK.

* * * * *

The open session meeting was adjourned at 10:08 p.m. EST.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Rachel Anger, Secretary*

(17) **BUDGET COMMITTEE.**

Committee Chair: *Kathy Calhoun*
List of Committee Members: *Rich Mastin, Matthew Wong, and Allene Tartaglia*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

March 14, 2023, the Budget Committee submitted a preliminary budget. The preliminary budget at the end of the discussion called a net income of \$-40,886.

Current Happenings of Committee

A summary of changes made to the preliminary budget are captured below.

- *Based on input from the publications committee, the Yearbook advertising income revenue has been reduced by \$5,700.*
- *Based on a quote from Whitaker Myers, insurance expense has decreased by \$3,452.*

Net Income for the 2023 – 2024 fiscal year \$-43,134. The line-item budget overview is considered a part of this report.

Board Action Item:

2023-2024 CFA Budget Approval

Motion: *Approve the 2023 - 2024 CFA Budget as presented on April 4, 2023.*

Secretary's Note: In an executive session motion made by **Ms. Calhoun** and seconded by **Ms. DelaBar**, the motion to approve the 2023 - 2024 CFA Budget as presented on April 4, 2023, was approved. Currle, Morgan, Moser and Webb voting no. Calhoun and Eigenhauser abstained.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Kathy Calhoun*

* * * * *

The executive session meeting was adjourned at 12:38 p.m. EST.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Rachel Anger, Secretary*

(18) DISCIPLINARY HEARINGS AND SUSPENSIONS.

Disciplinary Hearings And Suspensions: Cases that have been reviewed by the Protest Committee and for which a recommendation was presented to the Board. The following case was heard, a tentative decision was rendered, timely notice was given to the parties, and no appeal and/or appeal fee was filed. Therefore, final disposition is as follows:

23-002 *CFA v. Misugi, Emiko*

Violation of CFA Judging Program Rules 14.1 and 14.4

Guilty. *The board has imposed the following disciplinary action: (1) a one year suspension from the CFA Judging Program; and (2) a \$1,000 fine payable within 30 days. If the fine is not paid within 30 days Respondent will be suspended from all CFA services until the fine is paid in full. Upon completion of the one-year suspension the Judging Program Committee may require Respondent complete refresher/additional assignments prior to reinstatement in the Judging Program. In addition, the respondent shall be permanently banned from brokering the sale of any CFA registered cats. [vote sealed]*

Appeals: Cases that have been reviewed by the Protest Committee and for which a recommendation was presented to and heard by the Board, a tentative decision was rendered, timely notice was given to the party, an appeal and/or appeal fee was timely filed, and the appeal was heard by the Board of Directors. Therefore, final disposition is as follows:

None

Board-Cited Hearing: The Board may consider any protest filed by any member of a member club or in any other manner brought to the attention of the Executive Board. The Board may delegate authority to one or more persons to review, investigate, and determine if probable cause exists for the filing of a formal protest. This case was heard on direct cite by the CFA Executive Board. Timely notice was given to the parties, and the matter was heard. Final disposition is as follows:

None