

**SUMMARY AND TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCE
CFA BOARD OF DIRECTORS
AUGUST 3, 2021**

Secretary's note: This index is provided only as a courtesy to the readers and is not an official part of the CFA minutes. The numbers shown for each item in the index are keyed to similar numbers shown in the body of the minutes.

1.	APPROVE ORDERS OF THE DAY.....	7
2.	CENTRAL OFFICE.....	9
3.	MARKETING.....	13
4.	TREASURER'S REPORT.....	15
5.	FINANCE COMMITTEE.....	18
6.	NEW CLUB APPLICATIONS.....	21
7.	JUDGING PROGRAM.....	29
8.	CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE.....	41
9.	VIRTUAL ANNUAL COMMITTEE.....	44
10.	COVID-19 COMMITTEE.....	46
11.	PROPOSALS REGARDING BOARD MEETINGS.....	51
12.	SPECIAL SCORING RULES PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED FOR CURRENT SEASON.....	55
13.	UNFINISHED BUSINESS.....	56
14.	OTHER COMMITTEES.....	57
15.	NEW BUSINESS.....	58

SUMMARY

Reports of Officers, Boards, and Standing Committees

1. APPROVE ORDERS OF THE DAY.

The Orders of the Day were accepted without objection and became the Orders of Business.

2. CENTRAL OFFICE.

Ms. Calhoun moved that for all areas of the ID except China, to reduce the number of points required to 75 points for grand champion and 25 points for grand premier, with 3 qualifying rings, in both the 2020-2021 and the 2021-2022 season. Seconded by **Ms. DelaBar**, the motion was **ratified by unanimous consent.**

3. MARKETING.

No action items were presented.

4. TREASURER'S REPORT.

No action items were presented.

5. FINANCE COMMITTEE.

No action items were presented.

6. NEW CLUB APPLICATIONS.

Chair Mrs. Krzanowski moved for acceptance of the following club applications, with a standing second by **Mr. Currel**:

- CENTRAL BREED CAT CLUB, INTERNATIONAL DIVISION - Asia. **Motion Carried.**
- DRAGON KING FELINE FANCIERS' CLUB, International Division – China. **Motion Carried.** Moser and McCullough voting no. DelaBar abstained.
- GLB CAT FANCIER CLUB, International Division – China. **Motion Carried.** Moser and McCullough voting no. DelaBar abstained.
- ORIENTAL CROWN CAT FANCIERS' CLUB, International Division – China. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.
- TAN MALAKA CAT FANCIERS, International Division – Asia. **Motion Carried.**
- THE POLARIS, International Division – China. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

7. JUDGING PROGRAM.

Chair Ms. Anger presented no action items.

8. CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE.

Liaison Ms. Anger moved to ratify the Credentials Committee's decision to leave the following statement off the Delegate Proxy Form: "You may not proxy votes as an elected delegate and then accept other votes as a proxy." Seconded by **Mr. Eigenhauser, Motion Carried.**

Reports of Special (Select or Ad Hoc) Committees

9. VIRTUAL ANNUAL COMMITTEE.

No action items were presented.

10. COVID-19 COMMITTEE.

Mr. Eigenhauser moved to approve the revised version of "CFA's Minimum COVID-19 Requirements for the Well-Being of Clubs and Participants at CFA Events" to be effective immediately and continue in effect through December 31, 2021. Seconded by **Mrs. Krzanowski, Motion Carried.**

11. PROPOSALS REGARDING BOARD MEETINGS.

(a) Attendance at Board Meetings.

Withdrawn.

(b) Agenda Items.

Mr. Hannon moved that committee reports be limited to the three day-long board meetings in February, June, and October. Exceptions will only be made for the evening board meetings in April, August, and December when there are time-sensitive matters that cannot wait for the day-long meetings. For emergency meetings, the agenda will be limited to only the emergency issue(s) that caused the meeting to be called. Seconded by **Ms. Anger, Motion Carried.**

Mrs. Moser moved that, effective from the October 2021 meeting going forward, New Club Applications only be brought up at the February, June and October meetings. Seconded by **Ms. Morgan, Motion Carried.**

12. SPECIAL SCORING RULES PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED FOR CURRENT SEASON.

Withdrawn.

Unfinished Business and General Orders

13. UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

No action items were presented.

14. OTHER COMMITTEES.

No action items were presented.

15. NEW BUSINESS.

No action items were presented.

Secretary's Note: The Officers and Board of Directors of the Cat Fanciers' Association, Inc. met on Tuesday, August 3, 2021, via Zoom video conference. **President Darrell Newkirk** called the video conference meeting to order at 8:00 p.m. Eastern Time for the regularly scheduled Mid-Quarterly Video Conference. A roll call by **Secretary Rachel Anger** found the following members to be present:

Mr. Darrell Newkirk (President)
Mr. Richard Mastin (Vice President)
Ms. Rachel Anger (Secretary)
Ms. Kathy Calhoun (Treasurer)
Ms. Sharon Roy (NAR Director)
Mrs. Pam Moser (NWR Director)
Steve McCullough, D.C. (GSR Director)
Mr. John Colilla (GLR Director)
Mr. Howard Webster (SWR Director)
Mrs. Cathy Dunham (MWR Director)
Mr. Kenny Currie (SOR Director)
Ms. Yukiko Hayata (Japan Regional Director)
Ms. Pam DelaBar (Europe Regional Director)
George Eigenhauser, Esq. (Director-at-Large)
Mr. Mark Hannon (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Carol Krzanowski (Director-at-Large)
Ms. Melanie Morgan (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Annette Wilson (Director-at-Large)

Also Present:

Shelly K. Perkins, Attorney at Law, CFA Legal Counsel
Allene Tartaglia, Executive Director
Shelly Borawski, Zoom Administrator
James Simbro, IT Systems Analyst
Desiree Bobby, Marketing Director
Matthew Wong, ID Representative
Eva Chen, ID-China Representative

Absent:

Gavin Cao, China Business Advisor

Secretary's Note: For the ease of the reader, some items were discussed at different times but were included with their particular agenda.

* * * * *

Newkirk: I'll call the meeting to order. Allene, are you broadcasting now? **Tartaglia:** Shelly, I want to see if you can share your screen. **Borawski:** It said it won't let me share. It said I couldn't share. **Tartaglia:** OK, we'll try again. Is that working? **Borawski:** Yes. **Tartaglia:** OK. We'll start the broadcast live. We are now broadcasting live on FaceBook. **Newkirk:** OK

great. Welcome everybody. I'm going to call the meeting to order. Madame Secretary, would you please call the roll? **Anger:** Can you give me a second? I wasn't prepared because we already did the roll once. **Newkirk:** Yes. It's a different meeting so we just need the roll call.

Anger: Of course. I have it all right here. I just have to find the correct "right here". **[Secretary's**

Note: Secretary Rachel Anger called the roll, as reflected above.] We have a quorum, everyone is present except [Gavin Cao and] Eva. She may be one of the attendees I'm just not seeing. I will turn it back to you, Mr. President. **Newkirk:** OK, thank you so much.

TRANSCRIPT

Reports of Officers, Boards, and Standing Committees

1. APPROVE ORDERS OF THE DAY.

CFA EXECUTIVE BOARD Video Conference Meeting Agenda August 3, 2021		
1.	Approve Orders of the Day	Newkirk
Reports of Officers, Boards, and Standing Committees		
3.	Central Office	Tartaglia
4.	Marketing Report	Bobby
5.	Treasurer’s Report	Calhoun
6.	Finance Committee	Mastin/DelaBar
7.	New Club Applications	Krzanowski
8.	Judging Program	Anger
9.	Credentials Committee	Dodds
Reports of Special (Select or Ad Hoc) Committees		
10.	Virtual Annual Committee	Tartaglia
11.	COVID Committee	Eigenhauser
12.	Proposals re: Board Meetings	
	a. Attendance at Board Meetings (withdrawn)	Roy
	b. Agenda Items	Hannon
13.	Special Scoring Rules Previously Established for Current Season	Hannon
Unfinished Business and General Orders		
14.	Unfinished Business	
15.	Other Committees	
16.	New Business	
	ADJOURN OPEN SESSION	

Newkirk: Our first order of business is to approve our Orders of the Day. Are there any changes to the Orders of the Day? **Moser:** I had a question on Central Office. We have some names in there and I was just wondering if we should have had those either marked off or we should have had that in closed session. I mean, we usually don’t put peoples’ names in the reports in open session. **Newkirk:** Allene, do you want to address that? **Moser:** The translation

of show rules. **Tartaglia:** I didn't give it a thought, frankly. Pam is probably right. **Newkirk:** You can blacken those out. **Tartaglia:** We'll change that when we post the recording.

Hannon: I have an agenda item dealing with the scoring for the current show season and I don't know if it's necessary. I've asked many times and have not gotten an answer. Was there not a discussion of bringing it back up in October for a revisit? If there wasn't and the intention is that these changes are going to last the entire show season, then we can delete that agenda item. **Newkirk:** Mark, I went through and read, and I downloaded those on my computer and did a search for "October". The only thing that come up for October was the extension of the guest judging between TICA and ACFA. The other thing that come up was the grands of distinction that Monte said we would be seeing a show rule change at the October meeting. That was the only thing referenced as for October. I mean, I posted it on the Next Generation page. I copied it and put it on there, but he basically said that the show rule needed to be rewritten because it covers more than one season, and so that's the only reference for October that I found in that discussion. **Hannon:** It was not in the minutes, I agree. I don't know whether it was in closed session or whether it was via the board's email list, but it's not material. If we're not going to revisit it this show season, we're going to leave the rules alone, then let's take that agenda item off. **Newkirk:** OK, so we will delete that one – Special Scoring Rules Previously Established for Current Season – Hannon. That will be deleted. Thank you Mark.

Newkirk: Rachel, I don't have the closed session agenda but I do want to add one. I've got a couple of announcements about some goings-on in China that I just found out today. There's no action item. It's just an announcement, so if we can add a line item under the closed session meeting to address that.

Mastin: For some reason Darrell, I can't find where I raise my hand but we need to withdraw #17 on this agenda. **Newkirk:** Which one is that? **Mastin:** #17. **Newkirk:** All I've got is what Central Office posted. Can you slide up, Shelly, to where the agenda is? **Eigenhauser:** It's a closed session item so maybe we don't want to slide up to it. **Mastin:** OK, very good. **Newkirk:** Spin up so I can take a screen shot of the agenda. [Display-related discussion ensues] Are there any other changes to the agenda? **Anger:** I have my hand up. **Newkirk:** Rachel. **Anger:** Thank you. As far as agenda item #17, I have been asked that same question several times but for historical purposes I wanted to leave it in the transcript with a notation that it had been withdrawn, because it was requested to be included and then asked to be withdrawn. So, that is why I left it in there. I've also got an executive session place marker for Judging Program. The issue that may have been transferred there is now moot so we also don't need an executive session Judging Program time slot. **Newkirk:** Any objections to our additions and corrections to the agenda? Without objection, by unanimous consent, we have adopted our Orders of the Day.

The Orders of the Day, as amended, were accepted without objection and became the Orders of Business.

2. CENTRAL OFFICE.

Submitted by Allene Tartaglia, Executive Director

Manual Litter Review

CO staff has been reviewing each litter registration since October/November 2020 to ensure cattery names are correctly used by breeders and to verify sire and dam ownership. You may recall suspected wide-spread fraud caused the manual review. Through the manual review process, we determined there wasn't fraud but misunderstanding of how cattery names should be used and there's been ongoing education to correct the misuse. The manual review has delayed processing of litters and the intent has always been to return to as automated a process as possible.

The litter registration software is being enhanced to attempt a match of at least one owner name (first name initial and full last name) of the cattery, sire and/or dam as required and allow the automatic processing of the litter. We expect this will "release" a fair amount of litters to be registered immediately. Once this is in place and working, we can better determine what further enhancements can be done to increase the number of litters processed automatically. We anticipate the software enhancement will be in place by the end of September.

Newkirk: We will move on to Central Office Report. **Tartaglia:** [Display-related discussion ensues] I'm not going to go into all the details, but as you recall we did start manually reviewing litters last fall to ensure cattery names were being used correctly because there was suspected widespread fraud. We found out through that manual review that that really wasn't the case. There was a misunderstanding about how cattery names should be used. We have been educating people on how to do that. We have learned enough about what was going on by this manual review that we will be enhancing the software to attempt to make a match of what needed to be done in order for a litter to properly be registered by the proper people. That should allow a lot of the litters to go through. We don't have an exact number yet until we actually get that software in place. So, it is something that we're working on. We do realize that there are some litters that have gotten through because the information that we look for seemed to have been fine. However, when it was brought to our attention that something may have been awry, at closer inspection we realized that something indeed was an issue, so we are working on that, as well, and doing whatever we can to tighten up the process and to keep the litter registrations as pure as possible. Does anybody have any questions?

Eigenhauser: I have to be careful because I don't want to name names of either people or cats, but a couple of weeks ago there was an open letter to the board about people having problems with cats in China suddenly producing litters that it's their cat but somebody else was registering litters. I asked Central Office to look into it. Would the changes that are being discussed regarding litter registrations, would that help with some of the problems we have been having with cats in China suddenly popping up that aren't in fact cats in China but are cats somewhere else that somehow got transferred into a Chinese owner? **Tartaglia:** It will help. I don't know that it's going to be perfect but it will help. Without going into more detailed information, I really can't say a whole lot more but yes, it will help. I just also want to make a

point that I know people are impatient, they're not getting their litters registered right away. On the one hand we're trying to do whatever we can to make the litter registration process as good as possible. On the other hand, people are becoming impatient because they don't get their litters registered right away. A lot of the litters can go through right away. Unfortunately, software has to be taught which ones it's OK to go through right away. Unfortunately, everybody kind of gets caught in this net or web, shall we say, while we are trying to work through this process. So, if people can just be patient a little bit longer, hopefully the enhancements will make things go a little bit quicker. **Eigenhauser:** I have a follow-up question. From the way I read this, the enhancements will not require additional work on the part of the person doing the registrations, it will involve some sort of automatic check against CFA's records. Is that correct? **Tartaglia:** Yes, that's correct.

Mastin: Allene, if an individual wants to register a kitten quickly, can we still offer the expedited services and they pay the fee? Are we able to accommodate that or no? **Tartaglia:** Yes. The expedite fee is still an option and it does ensure that the work is processed more quickly. It goes to the top of the line, the head of the class, so to speak. **Mastin:** If somebody was to want to go the route of expedited registration, is that a 24 hour? 48, 72 hour? How quick is that? **Tartaglia:** I believe it's 24 hours. James, correct me if I'm wrong. **Simbro:** Yeah, it's 24 hours but I just want to clarify for Rich. We have never offered expedited service for litters. Cats we have but litters not. We don't do that now, but enhancements – our goal is to get litters to register instantly as soon as they pay like they had in the past, before we went to this review. **Mastin:** So James, are we able to allow an individual to go the route of expedited services to register a kitten? **Simbro:** Yes. Yeah, we always have. **Mastin:** OK, that hasn't changed then? **Simbro:** That hasn't changed, no. **Mastin:** Thank you.

Grand Point Requirements for ID AWA/CSA – Clarification on reduced point requirement

At the Sunday, June 20 board meeting, an exception for all areas of the ID, except China, was passed for the 2021-2022 show season to reduce the points to 75 for GC and 25 for GP, with 3 qualifying rings.

There are cats which already met the reduced requirement before attending a show in the 2021-2022 season. Is the intent to require a cat to at least be present and competing in one show in the current season to receive the grand title? Or to automatically grand those cats in this season which met the requirement by season end 2020-2021?

Tartaglia: [Display-related discussion ensues] Before we get into the grand point requirement, because I'm asking for a board action, I just wanted to let you know that the revised bylaws which were formerly the constitution will be posted on the website by the end of this week. Normally, the changes to the constitution or bylaws don't take us that long but because they were so extensive we are just checking and double checking to be sure. Apologies for a bit of a delay, but they will be up by the end of the week. So, the grand point requirements. There was an exception passed at the June meeting that for all areas of the ID except China there would be a reduction for the grand points to 75 for Grand Champion and 25 for Grand Premier and three qualifying rings. That was for the current season. Coming into the season there were cats in the ID that already had those 75 points, if we're talking about Grand Champion. So my question is, was it the intent of the board that a cat grand in this season with that reduction in points

automatically before attending a show, or do they have to at least be present and competing in a show, even if they don't earn any points, to get that grand champion? Now, we in the Central Office thought that the cat would have to at least be present and competing in the show season in which the cat is getting a grand, but that may not be what the board intended. I don't know if I've made that clear or if everybody understands what I'm talking about. Does everybody understand what I'm talking about? Does anybody have any questions?

DelaBar: I know when we addressed this we were not thinking of past show seasons, but I think it is only fair to get things going in these areas that we should allow those that had sufficient points [inaudible]. I don't see a problem actually in allowing those who have already obtained those points to be able to collect their titles and to go forth as soon as they can go forth. It's just going to be a while before they have a chance. As for not giving the titles until they go to a show, that's sort of going against the process we already have for split season kittens, where I had two regional winning split season kittens that were not able to show in the show season that they got their RW. I have no problems for us including those cats for that particular reason.

Newkirk: This is not streaming on FaceBook. I'm getting bunches of text messages that this is not going live on FaceBook. **Tartaglia:** It is live. [Lengthy broadcast-related discussion ensues, with ongoing intermittent interruptions] It's being recorded. We're going to be posting the video. **Newkirk:** I understand, but they want to see what's going on live.

Hannon: If I'm not mistaken, Matt is the one that brought this up so I would suggest that we ask Matt for his input. **Wong:** Hi, yes. I agree with Pam. Either way a cat has to enter at least one show this season. I think people are grateful but the logistics are more difficult and some cats automatically qualify and should get the grand status without entering. I think we can afford a bit of generosity here. May I ask what is logistically and process-wise easier for Central Office to handle? Is it one more show this season to be qualified, or they should automatically qualify?

Calhoun: May I suggest a motion? **Newkirk:** Go ahead. **Calhoun:** My motion would be – and Allene, make sure that I'm capturing what the intent is – it would read, *For all areas of the ID except for China, reduce the number of points required to 75 points for grand champion and 30 points for grand premier, with 3 qualifying rings, in both the 2020-2021 and the 2021-2022 season.* Is that it?

DelaBar: I would second that. **Calhoun:** Nancy Dodds, she is correct. Can I revise the motion? **Newkirk:** Yes. **Calhoun:** Where I said *and 30 points for grand premier*, it would be *and 25 for grand premier.* **Newkirk:** Can you restate the complete motion again?

Calhoun: I will. *For all areas of the ID except China, reduce the number of points required to 75 points for grand champion and 25 points for grand premier, with 3 qualifying rings, in both the 2020-2021 and the 2021-2022 season.* This is the same thing as the beginning of the discussion, adding *in both the 2020-2021 and the 2021-2022 season.* **DelaBar:** I will add a second to that. **Newkirk:** Thank you Pam. Your motion includes last season and this season.

Calhoun: It does. **Newkirk:** OK, good deal.

Currle: I just wanted to ask Allene how many cats are we talking about? **Tartaglia:** I don't know Kenny offhand. I can't imagine it's that many. A handful. **Currle:** I support this, thank you. **Newkirk:** Anybody else? Any other comments? It sounds like most people are for this so I will ask for unanimous consent. Any objections to the proposal as reworded and stated by Kathy, and seconded by Pam? Hearing no objection, by unanimous consent the motion is adopted.

The motion is ratified by unanimous consent.

Newkirk: Allene, do you have something else? **Tartaglia:** No. We're working on getting the streaming live. I don't have anything else. [Broadcast-related discussion ensues]

~~**Board Action Item:** clarify reduced grand point requirement regarding attendance in a show in the season in which a cat grands.~~

*Respectfully Submitted,
Allene Tartaglia*

3. MARKETING.

Submitted by Desiree Bobby, Marketing Director

Current Happenings of Committee:

Marketing/DEI Collaboration – CFA Brand and Agency Partnership Exploration

As our current customer segments age, it is no secret that CFA must do something novel and creative to appeal to younger cat lovers. Therefore, Marketing and the Diversity and Inclusion Committee are jointly looking for a branding/marketing agency to help us:

- 1. Research, revitalize and refocus CFA’s brand to attract Millennials and Gen Z.*
- 2. Refresh CFA’s visual brand identity in alignment with the needs of Millennials and Gen Z.*
- 3. Redesign the CFA website (cfa.org) for improved aesthetics, navigability, content, and usability with equal attention given to the needs of CFA’s exhibitors/breeders as well as those new to the cat fancy and CFA world.*
- 4. Recommend a high-performing strategic marketing plan which will illicit brand recognition, growth, and loyalty from a diverse audience of cat lovers, breeders, and B2B partners.*

Millennial and Gen Z consumers form the most ethnically and racially diverse adult group in US history, with millennials making up almost a quarter of the American population. Around 35% of US pet owners are millennials and according to the American Pet Products Association, millennials are the largest demographic of pet owners.

Millennials—born between 1981 and 1996—and Generation Z—born from 1997 onwards—are influencing the retail landscape by pushing brands to reflect their values. Unlike previous generations, who were less willing to embrace difference, younger consumer groups crave uniqueness and individuality. They want the brands they support to reflect these qualities. 75% of Gen Z consumers will boycott companies that do not support race and sexual equality across their advertisement campaigns, according to a McKinsey study.*

**McKinsey (& Company) was founded in 1926 by [University of Chicago](#) professor [James O. McKinsey](#) and is recognized worldwide as the oldest, largest and most prestigious strategic consulting firm in the world.*

Newkirk: Desiree is next up with the Marketing Report. **Tartaglia:** Maybe we could skip by Desiree and then come back when we’ve got this corrected. **Bobby:** It should be all set now. [Broadcast-related discussion ensues] **Newkirk:** Go ahead Desiree. **Bobby:** OK great. Basically I just wanted to report on the status of our exploration of the brand refresh and the agency partnership with Kathy Calhoun’s committee. I’ve done a little bit of research on the Gen Z’s so I’ve been studying that and preparing how we can have the discussion with the agency

that we do choose. Gen Z is quite an interesting bunch and if we're thinking about the future of CFA, I think we need to look further than just the millennials. If we want to exist for another 100 years I think we need to start looking at future, future generations, so this is just a little information I wanted to share with you about the millennials and Gen Z.

Bobby: Status-wise, we did get four proposals in. They just came in on Friday so everyone on our committee has those proposals. We will be reviewing them and having a discussion next week. Hopefully we will have something to present to the board at the next board meeting.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Our team interviewed nine agencies and have invited the top four to submit proposals. We will provide proposed scope and cost estimate at the next board meeting.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Desiree Bobby, Marketing Director*

Newkirk: Anything else, Desiree? **Bobby:** No, really that's it. Unless anyone has any questions about it, that's it. **Newkirk:** I don't see any hands up.

4. TREASURER'S REPORT.

MAY 1, 2021, THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021

Key Financial Indicators

Balance Sheet

Cash reserves as of June 30, 2021, increased 20.97% versus prior year.

Profit & Loss Analysis

Total registration, which includes litter registration and individual registration, contributed \$179,072 to the bottom line. This represented a 6.34% reduction compared to the same period last year.

	May - June 2021	May - June 2020 (PY)	Change	% Change
Total Litter Registrations	\$61,831	\$64,058	(\$2,227)	-3.48%
Total Individual Registrations	\$117,241	\$127,135	(\$9,894)	-7.78%
Total Registrations	\$179,072	\$191,193	(\$12,121)	-6.34%

Other Key Indicators: Additional key performance indicators are captured in the following summary.

	May - June 2021	May - June 2020 (PY)	Change	% Change
Registrations, Cattery - \$75	\$59,700	\$64,925	(\$5,225)	-8.05%
Championship Confirmation - \$15	\$3,180	\$1,485	\$1,695	114.14%
Certified Pedigrees	\$25,175	\$20,215	\$4,960	24.54%
Registration via Pedigree - \$40	\$16,817	\$9,270	\$7,547	81.41%
Show License Fees	\$2,750	\$200	\$2,550	1275.00%
Show Entry Surcharge	\$4,193		\$4,193	
Show Insurance	\$3,300	\$200	\$3,100	1550.00%
Ordinary Income	\$340,419	\$344,053	(\$3,634)	-1.06%

Total Ordinary Income contributed \$340,419 to the bottom line compared to \$344,053 the prior year. This represents a 1.06 % reduction.

Newkirk: We will go on to the Treasurer's Report. Kathy Calhoun, you are recognized.
Calhoun: Thank you Darrell. You have the Treasurer's Report. There are not a lot of things that I want to remark on. I will call out that total registration is \$12,000, or about 6.34% less than

prior year and we are starting to lap two time periods of when we were impacted by the pandemic. We are a little bit less than last year.

Publications: *In both the Almanac and the Yearbook financials, the contracted labor expense has been moved to Central Office to be consistent with how CFA treats other like categories.*

Almanac (Cat Talk, Newsletters, and the White Pages):

	May - June 2021	May - June 2020 (PY)	Change	% Change
Income	\$4,121	\$8,579	(\$4,458)	-51.96%
Expenses	\$660	\$9,683	(\$9,023)	-93.19%
Net Income	\$3,462	(\$1,104)	\$4,566	413.54%

Yearbook: *Income decreased 30% primarily due to a reduction in Yearbook Advertising.*

	May - June 2021	May - June 2020 (PY)	Change	% Change
Income	\$2,775	\$3,985	(\$1,210)	-30.36%
Expenses	\$309	\$10,480	(\$10,171)	-97.05%
Net Income	\$2,466	(\$6,496)	\$8,962	137.97%

Marketing, Central Office and Computer Expense : *These three expense categories are performing under budget or at budget,*

- Marketing: 48.61%
- Central Office: 106.16%
- Computer: 68.48%

CFA Programs: *Overall CFA programs came in at 99.55% of budget.*

- Donations to Every Cat, Cat Writers and the CFA Foundation donations have been dispersed as budgeted.
- CFA show sponsorship disbursements are at 94.69% of budget.

Corporate Expense: *The category decreased 3% compared to prior year.*

Legislative Expense: *Legal expenses have increased compared to budget primarily due to timing. Three pay periods were captured in this year's report compared to two pay periods in the budget.*

The Bottom Line:

	May – June 2021	May - June 2020 (PY)	Change	% Change
Total Income	\$348,141	\$355,666	(\$7,525)	-2.12%
Total Expenses	\$364,470	\$333,590	\$30,880	9.26%
Net Operating Income	(\$16,329)	\$22,076	(\$38,405)	-173.97%
Other Income				
400902 Interest Income	\$1,340	\$3,096	(\$1,756)	-56.71%
400903 Rental Income	\$4,400		\$4,400	
400905 Unrealized Gain/Loss	\$25,809	\$58,145	(\$32,336)	-55.61%
Total Other Income	\$31,549	\$61,241	(\$29,692)	-48.48%
Net Other Income	\$31,549	\$61,241	(\$29,692)	-48.48%
Net Income	\$15,220	\$83,317	(\$68,097)	-81.73%

CFA realized a profit of \$15,220 which is 45.16% of budget.

Calhoun: With that, I would like to drop down. I think the balance of the report is fairly self-explanatory but I would like to drop down if you would Shelly to the summary at the end. I think there's just a couple of things that we just need to know. If you look at the Net Operating Income, which is our total income minus total expenses, we have a net operating income of a negative \$16,329. It's early on, but that's something that we should be cognizant of and keep our eye on that. That being said, primarily due to our unrealized gains and losses which are investments with well-skilled guidance by Mr. Mastin, we made almost \$26,000 in May and June, which is great news. That brings our Net Income line to a positive, along with a few other things there – interest from smaller accounts and rental and those sorts of things. That brings our net income to \$15,220 which is a positive, but we did budget to be ahead of that, so that's about 45% of budget. The message here is that, one, it's early on; but two, we just need to make sure that we keep an eye on our spending and we'll know much more on how the year is developing in October. That's it. **Newkirk:** Thank you Kathy. Any questions, anyone? [Broadcast-related discussion ensues]

*Respectfully Submitted,
Kathy Calhoun
CFA Treasurer*

5. **FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

Committee Chair: Rich Mastin

List of Committee Members: Kathy Calhoun & Teresa Sweeney

Current Happenings of Committee:

CFA 2021-2022 Show Sponsorship Programs - Clarification regarding Region 9 incentive/sponsorship

\$14,000 was approved for the 2021-2022 budget to assist clubs in Region 9 to contract with out-of-region CFA judges for shows (\$700 per show for 20 shows). The current guidelines to receive the funds are:

- \$700 per show to assist with airfare for CFA judges*
- At least 50% of the judges must be regular CFA licensed judges*
- Region 9 Regional Director approval*

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Updates and changes.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Rich Mastin, Chair*

Newkirk: Rich, you're up with the Finance Report, Order #6. **Mastin:** OK, thank you. This report is just a point of clarification on the Region 9 incentive program. I trust everybody took the time to read it. It's very short and sweet. Does anybody have any questions for Pam DelaBar and myself? Darrell, since there are no questions, Pam and I do not have anything further to add. **Newkirk:** OK, thank you very much for that.



CFA Show Sponsorship Request

Email request for sponsorship to Lisa Brault, lbrault@cfa.org at least 30 days before the show.

SECTION 1 – General Info – Complete All Fields

Club: _____ Show Dates: _____ Region: _____

Primary Contact Person: _____ E-mail: _____ Phone: _____

1. Are you hosting this show with another club? If yes, Club name _____
 - a. Sponsorship to be equally split between both clubs? Check one - Yes _____ / No _____
2. Send award sponsorship to (name): _____ (address): _____
 - a. If payment by Paypal, provide Paypal account info: _____
3. Name and location of the show hall: _____
4. One or two day show: _____

SECTION 2 – Sponsorship General Info & Type Requested

Can qualify for more than one type if applicable - See Section 3.

Regular (\$1,000) _____ New Show (\$1,000) _____ In-Conjunction (\$1,000) _____ Agility (\$300) _____

- Approval(s) subject to CFA Club receiving show license.
- One sponsorship per weekend in the same location for each type of sponsorship.
- Clubs may obtain sponsorship from non-CFA Corporate Sponsors.
- Must follow requirements as outlined for each type of sponsorship.
- Once sponsorship request is approved, sponsorship funds will be sent after: 1) show has been held, and 2) scoring package and entry surcharge payment has been received by Central Office.
- Clubs are encouraged to develop a comprehensive marketing campaign to generate interest and excitement. A well-planned publicity campaign about your CFA cat show helps promote the cat fancy, CFA Brand Pedigreed Cats.

SECTION 3 – Types of Sponsorship

Regular Sponsorship

- \$1,000 per show

- *Maximum of two (2) Regular Show Sponsorships for the same club per show season.*

New Show Sponsorship

- *\$1,000 per show*
- *Available only to a licensed show which is adding a new show to the calendar. A club holding a show on a date previously used by another club or moving from traditional date is NOT considered a new show.*

In-Conjunction Sponsorship

- *\$1,000 per show*
- *Available to CFA licensed shows held in conjunction with another registry's show.*
- *In-Conjunction show **must** receive CFA Board of Directors approval.*

Agility Sponsorship

- *\$300 per show.*
- *Any CFA licensed show holding an official Agility ring, licensed for scoring and competition.*

Rev. 6/2021

6. NEW CLUB APPLICATIONS.

Committee Chair: Carol Krzanowski

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

New clubs applying for CFA membership were reviewed and presented to the Board for consideration. Assistance and guidance were provided to clubs with questions and issues regarding membership and applications.

Club Statistics

At the June Board meeting questions were raised about the location of the new club applicants from China in relation to the location of existing member clubs there. Following that meeting and through discussions with Dick Kallmeyer, Dick compiled comprehensive club statistics based on locations. The statistics are not only for China, but also for every geographic area of CFA. Dick incorporated a great deal of information into the reports including locations, populations, registrations, existing clubs and show producing clubs. He provided charts and maps for the CFA regions, China and all other areas of the International Division. The reports were disseminated to the CFA Board in mid-July. Many thanks go to Dick Kallmeyer for all the work he put into compiling these statistics.

In addition to the statistics for existing clubs, Dick also provided maps showing the locations of the six clubs being presented for consideration at the August CFA Board meeting. Please see Attachment A.

Newkirk: Carol Krzanowski, you're recognized for New Club Applications.

Krzanowski: Thank you Darrell. As mentioned in the report, CFA club and activity statistics were distributed to the board in mid-July. In addition, my report included maps including the location of new club applicants compared to existing clubs. Thanks once again to Dick Kallmeyer for his work in compiling all of the statistics and maps.

Current Happenings of Committee:

New Club Applicants

Six clubs were pre-noticed for membership (Attachment B). The applicants are:

- 1. Central Breed Cat Club, International Division - Asia; Bob Zenda, Chair*
- 2. Dragon King Feline Fanciers' Club, International Division - China; Russell Webb, Chair*
- 3. GLB Cat Fancier Club, International Division - China; Russell Webb, Chair*
- 4. Oriental Crown Cat Fanciers' Club, International Division - China; Russell Webb, Chair*
- 5. Tan Malaka Cat Fanciers, International Division - Asia; Bob Zenda, Chair*
- 6. The Polaris, International Division - China; Russell Webb, Chair*

Krzanowski: We have six new club applications to consider today; four from China, one from Thailand and one from Indonesia. The Committee Chair from Asia and the Committee

Chair from China, as well as the ID Representatives, approve of these clubs. I will make a standing motion to accept all of them, reserving the right to vote no. **Currle:** Kenny will put up a standing second. **Newkirk:** Thank you Kenny and Carol.

Calhoun: Just backing up a little bit, I want to express the appreciation for all of the graphs and reports that you and Dick put together. There was a lot to unpack on that. So, I think one of the fundamental questions is, how many other clubs are in the cities that we are discussing? Would it be possible moving forward if you could add that bit of information to the summary so that all the board members wouldn't have to go back and look at those files and all of that? Would that be a possibility? **Krzanowski:** I suppose so. Yes, I guess I can do that. That's what Dick tried to do by providing the additional maps showing the locations of the clubs. I think that kind of illustrated it graphically but I could try to include that in the future. **Calhoun:** And perhaps no one else would benefit from that. If I'm the only one, that's not worth it. **Krzanowski:** One comment is that in some cases there might be – as in this case, it's a suburb of Bangkok. There might be a club in another suburb of Bangkok. That would be hard to compile in a report, to be able to specifically say exactly where these places are located. In China, there might be clubs in the same province or the same city, but there might be a different district. So, I don't know if I can get that exact with the information. Perhaps Dick could help me with that. **Calhoun:** I agree with you Carol. Rather than having the entire board try to figure that out independently, I think it would be a better result of you guys could just give it your best shot. That would be greatly appreciated.

Central Breed Cat Club (Attachment C)
International Division - Asia; Nonthaburi, Thailand
Bob Zenda, Chair

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 11 members. Two members are members of other CFA clubs. Six of the members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names, and the remaining members are exhibiting pedigreed cats. Four members have show production experience, and one member has clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to produce at least one show a year in Bangkok and surrounding provinces, in addition to holding occasional fun shows from time to time. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to PAWS Bangkok, an animal charity organization. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Chair and the International Division Representative for Asia support this club.

Newkirk: Any other comments? Are you ready for the vote? All those in favor of Central Breed Cat Club raise your hand.

Newkirk called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Newkirk: The yes votes are Mark Hannon, Rachel Anger, John Colilla, Cathy Dunham, Howard Webster, Hayata-san, Krzanowski, DelaBar, Roy, Kenny Currle, Annette Wilson, Rich Mastin, George Eigenhauser, Melanie Morgan, Steve McCullough, Pam Moser, Kathy Calhoun. If you will take your hands down, those opposed raise your hand. Any abstentions? No no votes,

no abstentions. Rachel, you can announce the vote. **Anger:** That was 17 yes votes, zero no votes, zero abstentions. **Newkirk:** Welcome to CFA.

Dragon King Feline Fanciers' Club (Attachment D)
International Division - China; Suzhou, Jiangsu, China
Russell Webb, Chair

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 20 members. One member is a member of another CFA club. Three of the members are active breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names, six members have catteries registered with other associations, and most members own and/or exhibit pedigreed cats. One member is a CFA Longhair Associate Judge and Master Clerk, four members have show production experience, and two members have clerking experience in another association. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to produce an annual clerking school and two shows a year in Zhejiang Province. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to local animal welfare associations. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - China Chair and the International Division Representative for China support this club.

Newkirk: Next, Carol. **Krzanowski:** The next application is from Dragon King Feline Fanciers Club. This club is currently located in Suzhou, Jiangsu Province; however, I was recently informed the secretary will soon be relocating south of there to Wenzhou, a prefecture-level city in southeastern Zhejiang Province in eastern China. Basically this means the club is moving to the province just to the south of the original province on the application. Zhejiang Province borders Shanghai to the northeast and Jiangsu Province to the north. With a population of over nine million, Wenzhou is located along the coastline and is a center for export and light manufacturing. Three members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors, four members have show production experience, one member is a CFA Longhair Associate Judge and Master Clerk, and two others have clerking experience in another association. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, they plan to produce an annual clerking school and two shows a year in Zhejiang Province. **Newkirk:** Thank you Carol. Allene, are Bob and Russell Webb on the meeting? **Tartaglia:** They are not in the meeting because they didn't have a report. They didn't realize that they were going to need to log in. **Newkirk:** I mean, well, we usually allow them to comment on these clubs. We have in the past. **Tartaglia:** All we can do is try and get in touch with them and give them the meeting link and have them log in. **Newkirk:** OK, alright. Well, we'll let Kenny speak on their behalf. **Currle:** I know Russell is fully supportive of this club. **Krzanowski:** And also Bob Zenda was in full support of the clubs from Asia. **Newkirk:** OK, alright, thank you. Any other comments? Any objection to the acceptance of Dragon King Feline Fanciers Club? Hearing no objection, by unanimous consent – **Moser:** Yes, I do. It's a no vote for me. **Newkirk:** Alright, no problem. So, all the yes votes please raise your hand.

Newkirk called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser and McCullough voting no. DelaBar abstained.

Newkirk: OK, so the yes votes are George Eigenhauser, Kenny Currle, Cathy Dunham, Annette Wilson, Mark Hannon, Carol Krzanowski, John Colilla, Hayata-san, Melanie Morgan, Rich Mastin, Sharon Roy, Rachel Anger, Howard Webster, Kathy Calhoun. The no votes please. No vote is Pam Moser and Steve McCullough. If you will take your hands down, any

abstentions? Pam DelaBar is an abstention. Rachel, you can announce the vote. **Anger:** Thank you. That was 14 yes votes, 2 no votes, 1 abstention. **Newkirk:** OK, so the club is agreed to. Welcome.

GLB Cat Fancier Club (Attachment E)
International Division - China; Xiamen, Fujian, China
Russell Webb, Chair

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 19 members. None of the members are members of other CFA clubs. All members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors, 18 of whom have CFA registered cattery names. The remaining member intends to register a CFA cattery name in the near future. Two members have clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to help promote CFA and pedigreed cats, participate in the CFA Clerking Program, and produce up to ten shows a year in Fujian Province, particularly in Xiamen where there have been no CFA shows. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to a local charitable animal organization. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - China Chair and the International Division Representative for China support this club.

Newkirk: Carol, next. **Krzanowski:** The next application is from GLB Cat Fancier Club. This club is located in Xiamen, a sub-provincial city in southeastern Fujian Province on the China coastline across the Taiwan strait from Taiwan. Xiamen has a population of over five million, and is ranked as one of the top 100 cities in the world for scientific research. All of the members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors, and two members have clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, they plan to participate in the CFA Clerking Program and produce up to ten shows a year in Fujian Province, particularly in the city of Xiamen where there have been no CFA shows. **Calhoun:** Darrell, do you know, like what's the major city, the largest city, in this area? Or maybe Kenny knows. These people who are club members, you said they show. They already participate and they intend to have up to, maybe not ten shows but up to ten shows. **Krzanowski:** I would have to look at a map of China. I honestly don't know the answer to that question. **Newkirk:** Isn't Xiamen the capital of that area? **Krzanowski:** It's not the capital, it's a sub-provincial city. There really hasn't been any activity in that general area by CFA clubs. One additional comment I would like to make on several of these club applications is that several of them are bringing in breeders that are currently active in other associations that want to now be active in CFA, so I think that's a nice progression for CFA in general. **Currle:** Russell is also fully supportive of this club for the very reasons Carol just brought up. **Newkirk:** OK good. Any other comments? If you're in favor of GLB Cat Fancier Club, raise your hand.

Newkirk called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser and McCullough voting no. DelaBar abstained.

Newkirk: The yes votes are Rachel Anger, George Eigenhauser, Cathy Dunham, Sharon Roy, Carol Krzanowski, Kenny Currle, Hayata-san, Howard Webster, Annette Wilson, Mark Hannon, John Colilla, Melanie Morgan, Kathy Calhoun, Rich Mastin. If you will please take your hands down, if you are against accepting this club please raise your hand. That would be Pam Moser and I'm assuming Steve McCullough. If you will take your hands down, any abstentions? Pam DelaBar is an abstain. Rachel, you can announce the vote. **Anger:** Thank you.

That's 14 yes votes, 2 no votes, 1 abstention. **Newkirk:** OK thank you. Welcome to GLB. Pam, can you and Steve please turn your video on?

Oriental Crown Cat Fanciers' Club (Attachment F)
International Division - China; Jiashan, Zhejiang, China
Russell Webb, Chair

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 20 members. One member is a member of another CFA club. Three members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names, and most members are exhibiting at CFA shows. Many members have catteries registered in other associations, and some of them are likely to register catteries with CFA. One member is a CFA Longhair Associate Judge and Master Clerk, and six members have show production experience as well as some clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to organize some educational seminars and produce one or two shows a year in Jiashan. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to help homeless animals. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - China Chair and the International Division Representative for China support this club.

Newkirk: Carol, go ahead. **Krzanowski:** The next applicant is Oriental Crown Cat Fanciers Club. This club is located in Jiashan, a county in northern Zhejiang Province that borders Shanghai to the northeast and Jiangsu to the north. The government of Jiashan County is administered by the prefecture-level city of Jiaxing, which has a population of 4.5 million. Three members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors, one member is a CFA Longhair Associate Judge and Master Clerk, and six members have show production experience as well as some clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, they plan to organize some educational seminars and produce one or two shows a year in Jiashan. **Newkirk:** Any other comments? All those in favor raise your hand.

Newkirk called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

Newkirk: The yes votes are Kathy Calhoun, George Eigenhauser, Mark Hannon, Sharon Roy, Carol Krzanowski, Cathy Dunham, Hayata-san, Pam DelaBar, Annette Wilson, Rachel Anger, Kenny Curre, Steve McCullough, Melanie Morgan, Rich Mastin and Howard Webster. If you will take your hands down, the no votes are John Colilla, Pam Moser. I don't think we have any abstentions because Pam DelaBar voted yes. Any abstentions? No abstentions. Rachel? **Anger:** That's 15 yes votes, 2 no votes, zero abstentions. **Newkirk:** I think there was only one no vote. Wasn't it just – **Anger:** I have John Colilla and Pam Moser were called as no votes. **Colilla:** I'm a yes. **Anger:** Thank you. **Newkirk:** He took his hand down just as I called his name. **Anger:** That would be 16 yes votes, 1 no vote, zero abstentions. **Newkirk:** Thank you Rachel. Welcome Oriental Crown Cat Fanciers Club.

Tan Malaka Cat Fanciers (Attachment G)
International Division - Asia; Tangerang, Indonesia
Bob Zenda, Chair

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 20 members. None of the members are members of other CFA clubs. Twelve of the members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors

with CFA registered cattery names, and the remaining members are exhibiting pedigreed cats. One member has show production experience, one member is a CFA Certified Clerk and two other members have clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to produce one or two shows a year in East Borneo and perhaps some other locations. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to a shelter to help feed and care for stray cats. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division - Asia Chair and the International Division Representative for Asia support this club.

Newkirk: OK Carol. **Krzanowski:** The next applicant is Tan Malaka Cat Fanciers. This club is located in Tangerang, a city in the province of Banten, Indonesia. The city is located on the western border of Jakarta and is a major center for economy, business, commerce and education. With a population of nearly two million, Tangerang is the largest city in Banten Province and the sixth largest city in Indonesia. Twelve members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors, one member has show production experience, one member is a CFA Certified Clerk and two others have clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, they plan to produce one or two shows a year in East Borneo or other locations. **Newkirk:** Thank you. Matt or Kenny, do you have anything to comment? **Currle:** I know that Bob also supports this club. **Newkirk:** Alright, all those in favor please raise your hand.

Newkirk called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Newkirk: The yes votes are Kenny Currle, Carol Krzanowski, Annette Wilson, George Eigenhauser, Kathy Calhoun, Pam DelaBar, Mark Hannon, Hayata-san, Sharon Roy, Cathy Dunham, Pam Moser, Rachel Anger, Rich Mastin, Howard Webster, Melanie Morgan, Steve McCullough, John Colilla. Thank you. If you will take your hands down, those opposed please raise your hand. No no votes. Any abstentions? No abstentions. OK Rachel, you can announce the vote. **Anger:** That's 17 yes votes, zero no votes, zero abstentions. **Newkirk:** OK. Congratulations Tan Malaka Cat Fanciers. You are into the CFA family.

The Polaris (Attachment H)
International Division - China; Nanjing, Jiangsu, China
Russell Webb, Chair

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are 18 members. One member is a member of another CFA club. Two members are active breeders and exhibitors with CFA registered cattery names. Three members have catteries registered in another association and are in the process of registering CFA cattery names, and two other members are also registering CFA catteries. Seven members have show production experience and two members have clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, the club plans to hold educational seminars to promote CFA and produce one or two shows a year within the provinces of Jiangsu or Zhejiang. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to a local animal rescue group. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division – China Chair and the International Division Representative for China support this club.

Newkirk: Carol? **Krzanowski:** The last application tonight is The Polaris. This club is located in Nanjing, a sub-provincial city and the capital of China's Jiangsu Province. With a

population of over nine million, Nanjing is the second largest city in the East China region and is known as home to many high-quality universities and research institutes. Two members are active CFA breeders and exhibitors, seven members have show production experience, and two members have clerking experience. This is an allbreed club and if accepted, they plan to hold educational seminars and produce one or two shows a year within the provinces of Jiangsu or Zhejiang. **Newkirk:** OK, any comments? **Kenny,** any comments? **Currle:** Russell supports this club. **Newkirk:** OK thank you very much. Everybody in favor of this club, please raise your hand.

Newkirk called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser voting no.

Newkirk: The yes votes are Carol Krzanowski, Mark Hannon, Rachel Anger, George Eigenhauser, Hayata-san, Kenny Currle, Annette Wilson, Melanie Morgan, Rich Mastin, John Colilla, Sharon Roy, Cathy Dunham, Pam DelaBar, Steve McCullough, Kathy Calhoun, Howard Webster. Thank you all, if you will take your hands down. The no votes? Pam Moser. You can take your hand down. Any abstentions? No abstentions. Rachel, you can announce the vote. **Anger:** 16 yes, 1 no, zero abstentions. **Newkirk:** OK, welcome The Polaris into the CFA family.

Future Projections for Committee:

Process and submit new club applications for consideration by the Board.

Time Frame:

August 2021 to October 2021 CFA Board meeting.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

All new clubs that have applied for membership and satisfactorily completed their documentation.

*Respectfully submitted,
Carol Krzanowski, Chair*

Newkirk: Carol? **Krzanowski:** That's all I have for tonight. I just want to run this by the board though. I spend quite a bit of time looking up geography and a little information to try to give everybody an idea about where these clubs are located and the populations of the areas. Do you still want that information or do you just want to know how many clubs are in the general location, because I just want some direction here as to what you really want. **Anger:** My opinion is, if there is a club I have an interest in or a concern about, I'm going to look that up and be prepared and answer my own question. I know, Carol, you do a lot of background and filling in some information that's just nice to know. I think what you are doing is extremely appropriate and more than any other club chair has provided to the board and I want to thank you for that. **Krzanowski:** Thank you. **Newkirk:** I think you do a great job with that, Carol. **Krzanowski:** Thank you very much. **Newkirk:** Kathy Calhoun, do you have any comment? **Calhoun:** As Rachel said, I think you do a great job, Carol. This is fantastic. This is great information. I do think, though, that it would be beneficial as I said earlier to have – because as you said some of the cities and provinces, it gets a little bit complex and if you can take a stab at it, I think you will

be better prepared – you and Dick – than all the rest of the board that is doing that effort. If you could, it's just a request. Maybe give it a shot and see how it goes, because if it's difficult for you it will be difficult for us. **Krzanowski:** OK. **Newkirk:** Thank you Kathy. Anything else Carol? **Krzanowski:** That is all I have, thank you.

7. JUDGING PROGRAM.

JUDGING PROGRAM

Chair: Rachel Anger

Subcommittees and Subchairs

<i>Applications Administrator:</i>	<i>Kathi Hoos</i>
<i>Trainee/Advancing Judges:</i>	<i>Loretta Baugh</i>
<i>CFA Approved Judges:</i>	<i>Vicki Nye</i>
<i>Guest Judges:</i>	<i>Vicki Nye, Wendy Heidt</i>
<i>China Associate Judge Program:</i>	<i>Anne Mathis</i>
<i>Judges' Workshop/Tests/Continuing Ed:</i>	<i>Anne Mathis</i>
<i>Education and Mentoring:</i>	<i>Loretta Baugh</i>
<i>Breed Awareness & Orientation:</i>	<i>Barbara Jaeger</i>
<i>Domestic File Administrators:</i>	<i>Nancy Dodds; Marilee Griswold</i>
<i>Japan File Administrator:</i>	<i>Yaeko Takano</i>
<i>ID-China File Administrator:</i>	<i>Anne Mathis</i>
<i>Europe File Administrator:</i>	<i>Pam DelaBar</i>
<i>ID-International Div File Administrator:</i>	<i>Allan Raymond</i>
<i>Ombudsman:</i>	<i>Diana Rothermel</i>

CFA Associate Judge Subcommittee

Chair: Anne Mathis
Coaches: Jacqui Bennett, Chloe Chung, Pam DelaBar, Hope Gonano, Barbara Jaeger, Anne Mathis, Teresa Sweeney, Liz Watson, Russell Webb, Bob Zenda

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Training continues for the T2 candidates, with handling starting the week of 7/26.

Current Happenings of Committee:

T2 is beginning to submit handling videos, as they will do for several weeks. T3 China applications have been received, and will be reviewed by the committee. Several countries in which T2 candidates live are under lockdown, and the candidates are unable to travel out of their homes to prepare videos. It is unknown at this time how much this will slow us down.

Future Projections for Committee:

T2 handling will take several weeks. After handling is completed, candidates will take a final test, and participate on individual interviews.

T3 applicants selected by the selection committee will be presented to the CFA Board, hopefully in October, and after approval, will begin their training process.

Proposal:

The following is a proposal for experienced Associates at the time that International travel for CFA Judges is possible.

Invitations from CFA clubs for Associate Judges may be considered only for Associates who have judged a minimum of 15 shows in their specialty, with positive evaluations from the clubs they judged for. An Associate Judge may only judge in the specialty in which they are licensed, and area where they where they lived at the time of licensing. Associates may judge as many shows as they wish.

Show rule 3.13 addressing number of approved CFA Judges that are required at a show would not change. Clubs could fill the other spots with Guest Judges, Associate Judges, or a combination of the two if they desire. Associate Judges are licensed to judge only in their area and specialty.

Newkirk: Rachel, Judging Program. **Anger:** Thank you. You see where Anne starts off with a summary of the T2 candidates and everything they are doing. She goes right on to a proposal regarding what we are going to be doing with our Associate Judges when travel resumes. The second paragraph there is the proposal. She is not actually requesting that we adopt it at this meeting, because there is time. I think Anne is more looking for input if anybody thinks this proposal is missing the mark or is not what was intended when it was suggested that we come up with a proposal. Now would be a good time for her to get that input. Does anyone have any comments about the proposal as it is worded there? **DelaBar:** I'm sorry, it wasn't on this proposal, it's on another part of the report. **Newkirk:** Have we passed what you want to question? **DelaBar:** Yes, you have. **Newkirk:** Let us deal with this and I'll recognize you. **DelaBar:** Thank you. **Newkirk:** Anybody have comments on this? Melanie, any comments? Pam Moser? Annette? No?

DelaBar: I wanted to comment on T3 appearing to be only for China. We have for months talked about the need for T3 in Europe. We're putting in extra money so Europe can bring in judges. Hopefully we're going to be able to bring in judges from the U.S. and Asia – CFA judges – to help us put on shows, to continue growth here. One of the things that would help us tremendously is to have these Associate Judges. We have a number of people already interested, saying “yes, let us put in these applications, we want to do this.” We need judges going into Europe. I'm highly disappointed that we were not contacted to see about also including Europe under T3. In fact, I thought Europe was supposed to be the main focus for T3 and these extra Chinese judges that we have been through before on a past application, I thought they were supposed to be secondary to that. **Newkirk:** Rachel, would you like to address that or do you want me to? **Anger:** I will address it, then turn it back over to you. I think the word “China” is incorrect in the report. T3 was supposed to relate to the ID-Other. I have a note from Anne that says, she should have said that it was the recent mission of the team to China Associates' past application. She does plan to do Europe next. **Newkirk:** Pam, the whole thing was that China and ID-Other were all supposed to be T2. Then, the board rescinded their motion

on the China applications and they already are conducting what now is T2 which is the judges outside of China, OK? So, naming this T3 is this next group that are reapplying from China. I understand where the confusion is because you are right, T3 was supposed to include all over to sort of fill in. That's Anne's next project. They are in the process of reviewing the applications they got from China and they will have those. I think they're going to send them to Rachel, she is going to upload the applications into File Vista, because that's what we didn't do the last time and the board was not pleased about that move, so the applications will be up for review just like everybody else that applies to the Judging Program, so you can see the people's qualifications and the board will make the selection process. I'm sure they will have some recommendations but the board will have the final say.

DelaBar: I just want to keep bringing this up every chance that we have, because I don't want to keep coming back in asking for money to be able to fly judges in from other parts of the world when we have a plethora of very talented people right here in Europe. Clubs in other organizations are putting on shows now. We can't because we don't have enough judges in any one area to be able to put on our shows. So, we need the tools to be able to make CFA successful in Europe. **Anger:** Not to cut you off but so we can move on, I want to reiterate that Anne said she does plan to do Europe next. **Newkirk:** Thank you Rachel.

Newkirk: Anything else on your report? **Anger:** Yes. Anne has a couple of forms here that we asked her to come up with, that you can see if we scroll down a little bit. There's that one and the second one is the actual application that is more of a formalized application, which tracks similar to what we are doing for the regular judges. This is for the pleasure of the board so that we can compare the qualifications of our Associate Judges in a similar way to that of our regular judges.

Time Frame:

The Associate proposal is in its first version, so if the Board wants revisions, that can be done, as I don't believe there is a big hurry on this.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

The committee should have the T3 China candidate recommendations ready for the Board to approve. All applications will be viewable in File Vista prior to that time.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Anne Mathis, Subcommittee Chair*

PROPOSED ASSOCIATE JUDGE FORMS

Name _____

Date _____

As a person who has completed the China Associate program training, I understand that I am entitled to judge shows only in China in the specialty that I completed training in. I understand that completion and participation in this program does not make me a licensed CFA judge. It also does not enable me to enter the CFA Judging program without completing all requirements of the CFA Judging Program.

As an Associate of this program, I understand that I may judge my specialty in China CFA shows, and will be reimbursed for the same expenses a licensed CFA judge is entitled to, and will also be paid a fee per cat as designated by the CFA board.

I understand that I am bound to the same judging rules and expectations as covered in the CFA Show Rules and Judge's Code of Ethics.

Signature _____

Date _____



THE CAT FANCIERS' ASSOCIATION, INC.®

World's Largest Registry of Pedigreed Cats

ASSOCIATE JUDGING PROGRAM

APPLICATION

Please read the questions carefully, and answer them completely. Information will be verified by CFA. Applications with incomplete or inaccurate information will not be considered.

Please return the completed application in PDF format to akmathis85@att.net.

Name _____ Date _____

Address _____ Phone _____

City/State/Country/Postal Code _____

Email Address _____

I am at least 18 years of age _____ (Initial here)

Please include a color photograph of yourself that will remain with this application. Please also include a photograph of your Cattery registration form. A minimum of 4 years breeding experience under your own Cattery name is preferred.

1. Cattery name _____

Cattery registration number _____

Registration date _____

2. Applying for Longhair Shorthair

3. *For the specialty for which I am applying to judge, I have bred or experienced showing the following breeds: (state breeds, colors, and years with each)*

4. *In my cattery at this time, there are: (state breeds, colors, and numbers of each by sex.)*

5. *I am an active member and in good standing in the following clubs: (please indicate offices held in these clubs if applicable.)*

6. *I have CFA clerking experience* YES NO

7. *I am a CFA licensed clerk.* YES NO

8. *I have CFA master clerking experience.* YES NO

9. *I am a CFA licensed master clerk.* YES NO

**If you are a licensed clerk or master clerk, please include a copy of your license with this application, please.*

10. *I have been a member or judge of a similar organization* YES NO

If yes, name association _____

If yes, I am willing to sever ties with this organization, and provide proof of my resignation. YES NO

I have judged fun or household pet shows. YES NO

Name of this organization if not CFA _____

**11. Have you participated or instructed in any sort of cat related seminar, workshop, etc.?
Please describe your experiences thoroughly, including dates, if possible. Please continue
on a separate sheet if needed.**

12a Please list all the grand champions or grand premiers that you have shown of your own breeding. Please indicate all titles, including regional, divisional, national, distinguished merit, and breed winners. Owner's names and registration numbers for each cat must be included. Please use a separate sheet if needed. The cats listed must have been owned by you at the time they graded.

- _____

12b. Please list grand champions or grand premiers that you have shown of other's breeding. Please indicate all titles, including regional, divisional, national, distinguished merit, and breed winners. The breeder and owner's names must be included in addition to registration numbers for each cat listed. Please use a separate sheet if needed. The cats you list must have been owned by you at the time they achieved the title(s).

- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

13. Why are you interested in becoming an associate judge?

14. Are you interested in the CFA judging program in the future? YES NO

15. Statement of Applicant:

I understand that only those applicants with unquestionable moral character and impressive credentials will be considered for acceptance into the CFA Associate Program. I agree to abide by and accept any recommendations and decisions made by the Chair and Coaches of this committee, the Judging Program Committee, and the CFA Board of Directors or CFA regarding this application, as well as any consideration for advancement in the future. All decisions will be binding and final, in accordance with current and future CFA Show Rules and CFA Judging Program Rules. I understand, as a participant in this program, I will only be allowed to judge in certain countries or areas in my specialty based on the rules set forth by the Associate Committee and CFA Judging Program.

Signature of applicant _____

Guest Judging Administrator Report

Sub-Committee Chair: Vicki Nye

CFA Judges to Judge non-CFA International or Domestic Assignments:

<i>Judge</i>	<i>Assn</i>	<i>Sponsor</i>	<i>City/Country</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>Schleissner, Michael</i>	<i>Felidae (IND)</i>	<i>Felidae e. V.</i>	<i>Bremen, Germany</i>	<i>07/23/21</i>
<i>Griswold, Marilee</i>	<i>WCF</i>	<i>Magnificats Romania</i>	<i>ZOOM</i>	<i>07/24/21</i>
<i>Morgan, Melanie</i>	<i>None</i>	<i>Royal Canin-China</i>	<i>Online-Q & A</i>	
<i>Morgan, Melanie</i>	<i>None</i>	<i>UVA- Olli (College)</i>	<i>Zoom-Interview</i>	

Non-CFA Judges requesting permission to guest judge CFA shows:

<i>Judge</i>	<i>Assn</i>	<i>CFA Show</i>	<i>City/Country</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>Priest, Murlene</i>	<i>ACFA</i>	<i>Lincoln Cat Club</i>	<i>Fremont, NE</i>	<i>9/18/21</i>
<i>Shelton, Lorraine</i>	<i>TICA</i>	<i>Lucky Penny Cat Club</i>	<i>Palm Springs, CA</i>	<i>10/23/21</i>

*Respectfully Submitted,
Vicki Nye, Judging Program Committee
Guest Judging Program*

Anger: Next you see Vicki Nye's Guest Judge Report.

Breed Awareness and Orientation School Subcommittee

Subcommittee Co-Chairs: Barbara Jaeger, Loretta Baugh

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Second on-line BAOS held April 2021. Attendance was 34 individuals from 15 countries.

Current Happenings of Committee:

The next BAOS will be scheduled for October 2021, but at this time the date has not been determined.

It is anticipated that this next one will be on-line and will be in mid-October 2021. We are looking toward having a three day school, as we have in the past, in USA time zone.

Future Projections for Committee:

The date for the Fall on-line school, will be determined shortly. Based on the last two on-line classes, we anticipating having a minimum of 30 attendees.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Finalized date for the October 2021 BAOS

Open Registration for the October 2021 BAOS

Respectfully Submitted,

Barbara Jaeger, Subcommittee Co-Chair

Loretta Baugh, Subcommittee Co-Chair

Anger: Then we are going to go on to the BAOS report, which has no action items.

Education Subcommittee

Subcommittee Co-Chairs: Anne Mathis, Loretta Baugh

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Professionalism for the Trainer presented by Kathi Hoos and Loretta Baugh. We have held three sessions of this course, two in June and one in July. All were well received, with positive evaluations. A total of 41 participants have received three CEU credits and certificates of participation. An additional session will be presented sometime this winter. Participants were worldwide with five from R9, five from Japan and three from Hong Kong.

Current Happenings of Committee:

1. *Our annual judge's workshop sessions have/are being done via Zoom in the last year in 2020, we covered the Bengal, Ragdoll, and Singapura breeds with great success! Many judges who attended told me they preferred an online presentation to our former live ones done at past Annual Meetings. This year's breeds to be presented are the Cornish Rex, Devon Rex, Selkirk Rex, Chartreux, and possibly RagaMuffin. These will be spread over several presentations. As in last year's presentations, judges can attend these at the time, or view the recordings when convenient. They are awarded 1 CEU per breed toward their goal of a minimum of 12 over a 5 year period. Certificates are awarded to participants after the presentations.*

2. *Judges' continuing education report cards were due this spring, and most have completed their minimum of 12. Quite a few have asked for extra time, and hopefully will complete their credits with this year's workshop sessions. Those who have not completed their report cards by the time the presentations for this year are done will be privately contacted, and given a deadline of the end of this year, so that all are complete before relicensing takes place. There are several ways that they can complete their additional credits they need.*

Future Projections for Committee:

Discussion at the Professionalism sessions have brought to light the need for a more in-depth Trainee Manual than what is covered at the BAOS. There was such a manual available at one time but it needs to be created again. Items to be included would be things such as: the processes we use to judge (how we note entries that we think we might consider for final placements, how to effectively judge a large class of the same color and sex so one knows how they will hang the ribbons when done with the class, the physical steps one can take to shorten the number of steps taken by combining actions, to name just a few). Education would like to begin working on such a manual, as well as a possible PowerPoint to present virtually. Kathi Hoos, whose truly strong point is Education, given her talents as a Nurse Educator, would like to work with Education to create this.

Board Action Items:

Motion: *Education be given approval to move forward with creating new education tools for Trainees.*

Anger: I did want to mention that the Education Subcommittee had a report that didn't get included. They have had great success at their sessions that they gave regarding Professionalism for the Trainer. Now, what they would like to do is address the Trainee Manual that was originally written by Karen Lawrence and I back when we were brand new judges. That has been a year or two – 25 or more – and so they would like to update that information with a more current platform, such as PowerPoint presentations, and more current topics. **Newkirk:** You're cutting out Rachel. **Anger:** Can you hear me? **Newkirk:** No, it's cutting out. We can't hear you, Rachel. **Anger:** I am using my best internet provider. I'm going to table the rest of the report then. **Newkirk:** You're coming through now. **Anger:** OK good, because it was one very quick item that they wanted, that the *Education be given approval to move forward with creating new education tools for Trainees.* I don't know if that needs a motion or if we should just tell them to go for it and to come up with a presentation.

Newkirk: Comments? **Calhoun:** I had one question about the Breed Awareness and Orientation School, if they had come up with an entry fee for that October date? **Anger:** It's not included in the report, but that's information that I will get from Barb Jaeger and Loretta Baugh, to update everyone. **Calhoun:** And the educational tools that you were just discussing, do we know how much money or is that in their budget or? **Anger:** They did not have a budget request for that. I think it's just a project that they are undertaking to update the very old and stale trainee manual. **Calhoun:** Not to doubt that it's needed. When would they need to start that Rachel, do you know? **Anger:** They are waiting for feedback from the board whether a motion is required or not. It is up to the board, but I think that they are ready to go because they certainly have their marching orders or their proposal of what they would like to include in it. **Calhoun:** Well, OK. **Anger:** *Items to be included would be things such as: the processes we use to judge (how we note entries that we think we might consider for final placements, how to effectively judge a large class of the same color and sex so one knows how they will hang the ribbons when done with the class, the physical steps one can take to shorten the number of steps taken by combining actions, to name just a few). Education would like to begin working on such a manual, as well as a possible PowerPoint to present virtually. They want to engage Kathi Hoos, whose truly strong*

point is Education, given her talents as a Nurse Educator. **Newkirk:** Anything else, Kathy?
Calhoun: It's hard for me to have an opinion one way or another. Although I think what you just said, Rachel, is great information and much needed, but I have no idea of magnitude of cost so it's a little bit difficult to weigh in. **Anger:** As I mentioned, there is no budget request so you can assume the cost is zero. **Calhoun:** Zero? OK, well I can do that then. **Newkirk:** That put a smile on your face. **Calhoun:** OK.

Morgan: Going back to the forms for the applications, do we need to have a motion to accept those or are we just fine with letting them go as is? I certainly have no problems with them. **Newkirk:** I think they have already been using them. **Morgan:** OK, just checking.
Newkirk: Am I correct, Rachel? Isn't that the one that the new China applicants used to fill out. **Anger:** Yes it is. We were talking about whether or not we wanted a motion for the Education Committee to go forward or if we just want to direct them to come back to us with a proposal that we will actually vote on in an official motion. **Newkirk:** Maybe they can bring back the PowerPoint presentation they come up with, like we did with Train the Trainer that Kathi Hoos did. **Anger:** Excellent. If that's the case, then that concludes the Judging Program Report. Thank you very much. **Newkirk:** Thank you Rachel. Nice job.

Time Frame:

Completion no later than the February Board Meeting.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Anne Mathis, Subcommittee Co-Chair
Loretta Baugh, Subcommittee Co-Chair*

8. CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE.

Committee Chair: *Nancy Dodds*

Liaison to Board: *Rachel Anger*

List of Committee Members: *Marilyn Conde, Kevin Brown, Betty Bridges (Alt.), Kendall Smith, Erin Cutchen, Pam Bassett, Cheryl Peck, Norm Auspitz, Barbara Schreck, Mary Ann Martin, Hilary Helmrich, Jim Dinesen, Nancy Petersen, Jill Archibald, Donna Andrews, Marilee Griswold (Alt.); Allene Tartaglia and Amber Goodright from CFA Central Office*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

During 2020-2021 the Committee was asked to perform a review and make a recommendation on a Japanese club issue. The committee members made a recommendation and the board ratified that decision at its April 2021 meeting.

In the several months prior to the Credentials Committee meeting on Sunday, June 13, Nancy and Allene reviewed all correspondence that would be sent to clubs concerning membership, voting, and delegates plus all forms that would be sent or received back from the clubs. Nancy maintained Excel files to cross check data of clubs in good standing shows sponsored by International Clubs, clubs eligible to vote in the Director at Large election, and clubs which were not in good standing sorted alphabetically by club name within each region. In prior years information had not been maintained in an accurate manner, necessitating additional monitoring of information provided by CFA Central Office. With systematic changes and improved procedures in place the data has been very accurate.

Current Happenings of Committee:

On Sunday June 13, 2021 the Credentials Committee met via Zoom to review and validate the following: Clubs in good standing, new clubs added since June 1, 2020, retired and dropped clubs as of June 1, 2021, review and validate the list of International Clubs ineligible to vote in the Director at Large election 2021, delegates entitled to vote at the Virtual Annual Meeting, review list of clubs authorized to vote in the Director at Large election, actual list of clubs voting in the election. Determine the quorum based on the total number of clubs in good standing, deducting the clubs ineligible to seat delegates and factoring in the 50% requirement for seating delegates. The committee and Allene Tartaglia performed an inventory of the actual ballots against the list of clubs who voted in the Director at Large Election to ensure that the counting team had the correct number of paper ballots to perform the voting.

Two clubs that did not vote on line and who did not use the correct form tried to vote by sending their votes for Director at Large by email to CFA on the day of the deadline to receive ballots. The Committee voted to not accept their ballot. Only one other club sent their ballot correctly by fax. All other clubs voted successfully on line.

The Committee was advised that a statement in the Delegate Proxy Form had been omitted from the form beginning in 2016. There had been no review by the Credentials Committee or by the CFA Board to approve the removal of this statement. The statement that was omitted was: "You may not proxy votes as an elected delegate and then accept other votes as a proxy." After discussion, the Committee voted to leave this statement off the document at this time and going forward.

Newkirk: Nancy Dodds, you're recognized for Credentials. **Dodds:** Thank you Darrell. We had a first virtual annual and the delegates got registered but we didn't have them online proxy, so Central Office allowed the named delegate to proxy a person by email. While updating the form, it was discovered that a sentence had been removed from the form in 2016 and it didn't have approval from Credentials or the board to do so. It's true, for every action there's an equal and opposite reaction. The online balloting has a secondary notification form but the paper ballot got changed, again without approval of Credentials or the board, and it was only one signature that was required and one space available, so it eliminated the type of dual control for the secretary and the president. That got taken care of right away.

Future Projections for Committee:

The Credentials Committee will review any issues referred to it as needed and will make preparations for 2022, including but not limited to review of material to be sent from CFA Central Office to clubs for membership, voting, delegates.

Board Action Items:

Motion: Ratify the Credentials Committee's decision to leave the following statement off the Delegate Proxy Form: "You may not proxy votes as an elected delegate and then accept other votes as a proxy."

Dodds: Let's go back to the form. Since the proxy form excluded the wording for five years, we wanted to know if it should be put back in, and the Credentials Committee voted to leave that sentence out. Can we move the page down please? The sentence that was removed from the proxy form says, *You may not proxy votes as an elected delegate and then accept other votes as a proxy.* What we would like the board to ratify is our decision to leave that statement off since it has been gone for five years. **Anger:** Rachel will make that motion. **Eigenhauser:** George will second. **Newkirk:** OK, thank you George. Any discussion?

Hannon: I just want to make sure that what we're saying is that they CAN proxy a club and then take another club. Taking that sentence out for the past five years means that we are no longer going to enforce that? **Dodds:** That's true. The question was asked of the person at Central Office, why is that sentence there? The person didn't know so it got dropped. Yes, that means that there is no prohibition from a named delegate naming a proxy and then accepting another proxy or delegate. **Newkirk:** So they wouldn't be exceeding two. **Dodds:** Right, right. **Newkirk:** They are still tied to that. **Dodds:** Exactly. **Newkirk:** Does that answer your question, Mark? OK, thank you. Any other discussion? All those in favor of the motion please raise your hand.

Newkirk called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Newkirk: The yes votes are Rich Mastin, Annette Wilson, Kathy Calhoun, George Eigenhauser, Carol Krzanowski, Mark Hannon, Cathy Dunham, Rachel Anger, Hayata-san, Pam DelaBar, John Colilla, Melanie Morgan, Sharon Roy, Steve McCullough, Kenny Currle, Pam Moser. If you will take your hands down, if any of you are opposed please raise your hand. John Colilla? **Colilla:** I'm having a hard time getting my hand down. **Newkirk:** OK, thank you. I see no no votes. Any abstentions? No abstentions. Rachel, you can announce the vote. **Anger:** That's 17 yes votes, zero no votes, zero abstentions. **Newkirk:** OK, the motion is agreed to.

Time Frame:

Vote at the August Meeting of the CFA Board of Directors

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Results of any issue presented to the Credentials Committee.

*Respectfully Submitted,
Nancy Dodds, Chair*

Newkirk: Nancy, do you have anything else? **Dodds:** No, that's fine. Thank you very much for your support.

Reports of Special (Select or Ad Hoc) Committees

Newkirk: Let's move on to Reports of Special (Select or Ad Hoc) Committees.

9. VIRTUAL ANNUAL COMMITTEE.

Committee Chair: *Rich Mastin*
Committee Co-Chair: *Allene Tartaglia*
List of Committee Members: *Darrell Newkirk, Kathy Calhoun, Rachel Anger, George Eigenhauser, Cyndy Byrd, Cathy Dunham, Vicki Nye, Shelly Perkins, Nancy Dodds, James Simbro, Shelly Borawski, Amber Goodright*

The Virtual Annual held on June 18, 2021, is now a distant memory for most. It was a testament to everyone's dedication and commitment to CFA that the quorum was met and business was conducted. The on-site team at the Central Office which was tasked with getting the Annual Meeting up and running on the Zoom platform and delegates logged into the voting site were prepared for disasters here, there and everywhere on Friday morning but...they never happened! Assistance was needed by some delegates, however, all in all the meeting progressed smoothly.

Many delegates commented they liked the online method of voting and that this method, or something similar, should be used at future Annuals instead of the hand-raising method. A decision on moving in this direction should be made sooner versus later to allow the Virtual Annual Committee sufficient time to research and discuss all options.

Delegate Fee Rebate: *approximately 100 clubs requested the \$30 rebate and all rebates have been processed.*

Debrief Meeting: *the committee is meeting this Thursday, July 29 @ 6:00 p.m. EDT. Committee members schedules didn't align to have the meeting any earlier. We encourage you to share topics or considerations for the committee's discussion.*

*Respectfully Submitted,
Allene Tartaglia*

Newkirk: Allene, we'll go with Virtual Annual Committee. **Tartaglia:** OK. It's basically an information only report. You will see we had a debrief meeting this past Thursday with the entire committee and it was a really good meeting. We went over a lot of topics. We discussed the electronic voting and how everybody seemed to like that. We will be bringing something to the board in October of some options that we may want to consider for voting electronically instead of the hand-raising method at the upcoming annual.

Tartaglia: The other thing that was discussed which is not on here is giving proper recognition to the national award winners from the 2019-2020 show season. They didn't have their time up on the stage, they didn't see their cat's picture up on the screens, so there is a smaller committee chaired by Cathy Dunham as the Awards Chair that will be meeting. Again,

we will have some thoughts and recommendations for the board to consider of how we might honor those individuals.

Tartaglia: The delegate fee rebates are all out. There was about 127 clubs that requested that \$30 rebate. That's it unless anybody has any questions. **Calhoun:** Do we need to say anything about the potential survey? **Tartaglia:** I guess we could, sure. We're planning on sending out a survey to all delegates who attended, just to get their feedback on some items to see how they liked it, what items they would like to continue seeing at future annuals; for instance, the electronic voting. Amber is starting to put that survey together and we'll be running it by the Committee before we get that sent out. Hopefully that will be in the next week or two that we get that out. Thank you Kathy. **Newkirk:** Anything else Allene? **Tartaglia:** No, not from me. **Newkirk:** Thank you very much.

10. COVID-19 COMMITTEE.

Committee Chair: *Rich Mastin*

Co-Chair: *George Eigenhauser*

List of Committee Members: *Kathy Calhoun, Ellyn Honey, Brian Moser, Merilee Griswold MD, Allene Tartaglia, Cyndy Byrd, Shelly Perkins, and Darrell Newkirk*

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

At the June 17, 2021 meeting the CFA Board approved the new “COVID-19 Attendee Advisory” signage, which replaced the previous “COVID Attendee Waiver” form. The Board also adopted changes to “CFA’S Minimum COVID-19 Requirements for the Well-Being of Clubs and Participants at CFA Events” effective immediately and continuing through August 31, 2021. Both the updated requirements and the signage are currently available on the CFA web site.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Since the last CFA Board meeting there has been an increase in the number of people vaccinated against COVID-19. However, the goal of 70% of the U.S. population having at least one dose of the vaccine by July 4, 2021 was missed and new vaccination rates are falling. At the same time the “delta variant” has been responsible for surges in infection rates globally, particularly in areas with low rates of vaccination.

The COVID-19 Committee has been discussing the matter by e-mail and is recommending CFA continue with “CFA’S Minimum COVID-19 Requirements...” through the end of December, 2021.

However, the current document should be revised slightly to improve readability and avoid confusion. There is some redundant information that could be eliminated, there are parts that could be clearer. These changes would be made to improve grammar and make it more understandable. Some parts just need to be in a more logical order.

Rich Mastin volunteered to work with someone skilled in proofreading to review the document and make recommendations to improve readability. Rich’s oldest daughter, Ciara Hauber, is a former English teacher with a master's degree and is proficient in proofreading. She has her own consulting and education company supporting businesses and individuals with professional development but helped CFA pro bono. They reviewed the document and suggested changes which were presented to the committee. The revised version below was reviewed by the committee and is being presented without any objections. A “clean” version of the proposed document with the changes included will accompany this report in PDF.

Future Projections for Committee:

Continue reviewing and revising CFA practices during the COVID-19 pandemic and make recommendations to the Board.

Board Action Items:

Motion: Approve the revised version of “CFA’S Minimum COVID-19 Requirements for the Well-Being of Clubs and Participants at CFA Events” to be effective immediately and continue in effect through December 31, 2021.

Newkirk: Let’s move on to Order #11, the COVID Committee. George Eigenhauser, you are recognized. **Eigenhauser:** Essentially, CFA’s minimum COVID-19 Requirements are scheduled to expire at the end of this month. The Committee did not feel like this is a good time to let it expire, nor did we feel this is the time to make substantial revisions. However, it’s a patchwork thing that was done in bits and pieces over several board meetings, so there were issues of spelling and grammar and just readability, so Rich and his daughter Ciara took lead on essentially cleaning it up. It wasn’t our intention to make any substantive changes to the document, it was simply to improve grammar and readability. Unfortunately, when you look at it in the line-out version, that makes it very difficult to follow because often things will appear twice. You move something around, it gets strike-out in the place that it was, it gets underscore in the place that it will be, so it becomes very hard to follow and that’s why I made sure we submitted a PDF version which is much more readable. If we adopt the new version and give it an expiration of the end of December, that will give us the October board meeting and, depending on how we decide to schedule future board meetings, the December board meeting to decide whether it needs to be extended further, terminated or modified, but this is our proposal. Adopting the revised version to be effective through December 31, 2021 is my motion.

Krzanowski: Carol seconds.

Newkirk: Discussion? I don’t see any hands up. Are you ready for the question? All those in favor please raise your hand.

Newkirk called the motion. **Motion Carried.**


Newkirk: The yes votes are Mark Hannon, Howard Webster, Carol Krzanowski, Annette Wilson, Rich Mastin, Rachel Anger, Pam Moser, Pam DelaBar, Melanie Morgan, John Colilla, Kathy Calhoun, Sharon Roy, George Eigenhauser, Kenny Currle, Hayata-san, Steve McCullough and Cathy Dunham. If you will take your hands down please, those opposed please raise your hand. I see no no votes. Any abstentions? No abstentions. Rachel, you can announce the vote.

Anger: That was 17 yes votes, zero no votes, zero abstentions. **Newkirk:** OK thank you, the motion is agreed to. Thank you George and all the Committee members.

Time Frame:

Ongoing.

Respectfully Submitted,


George J. Eigenhauser, Jr., Co-Chair

PROPOSED CHANGES FROM JUNE 17, 2021 VERSION. New verbiage is underlined and bold. Old verbiage being deleted is in ~~strikethrough~~.

**CFA'S MINIMUM COVID-19 REQUIREMENTS FOR
THE WELL-BEING OF CLUBS AND PARTICIPANTS AT CFA EVENTS**

CFA Minimum COVID-19 Requirements and Recommendations

Effective: Immediately through August ~~December~~ 31, 2021

Preface

This document reflects the fact that different regions, states, and countries have had widely disparate responses to the **COVID-19** pandemic, ~~from complete lockdown to business as usual~~ **and is for the well-being of clubs and participants at the CFA events.** ~~As a result, this document requires that clubs, at a minimum, follow CFA mandatory COVID-19 requirements, local, county, state, national, and event facility regulations.~~

CFA supports each club's informed decision to reschedule, postpone or cancel their respective events, as well as supporting clubs ready to hold events in locations that are open and permit gatherings. **CFA supports each club's informed decision to reschedule, postpone or cancel their respective events.** ~~As events resume across the world, people need to feel safe while enjoying their cat fancy activities. CFA urges clubs to take appropriate precautions for the benefit of their participants. Events need to be held in a manner that emphasizes the safety of participants and event officials over efficiency.~~

The following is a list of required and recommended best practices that may be helpful **guidelines** when planning or attending a **CFA** cat show. ~~With a situation that is continually evolving, it is up to the clubs~~ **Clubs need** to remain current regarding **with and adhere to guidance, requirements, recommendations, and changes/updates as outlined by CFA;** ~~mandatory COVID-19 requirements, local, county, state, national,~~ **regulations;** and event facility regulations and adhere to them. ~~In order to inform participants, specific guidelines established by the club or region must be published in the show flyer, club website, club social media, and advertising, and posted on signage at their event.~~

CFA Mandatory COVID-19 Requirements

1. All attendees (club members, show committee, officials, participants, vendors and spectators) are expected **required** to follow the "CFA mandatory **Mandatory** COVID-19 requirements, **Requirements**"; local, county, state, national **regulations;** and event facility regulations **that apply to the area for their type of event and site where the event is held.** ~~Those entering the show hall are welcome to wear a mask. As always, we respect everyone's right to protect their health.~~

~~1. Clubs, officials, and participants are required to follow CFA mandatory COVID-19 requirements, local, county, state, national, and event facility regulations that apply to the area for their type of event and site where the event is held.~~

2. Events need to be held in a manner that emphasizes the safety of all participants and event officials.

3. Where standards differ, the club must adhere to the more restrictive standard.

4. Those entering the show hall are welcome to wear a mask. We respect everyone's right to protect their health.

~~3~~ **5. Clubs must implement entry limits that can be supported by the size of the show hall.**

6. Specific guidelines established by the club, region, or area must be published in the: show flyer, club website, club social media and advertisements, and posted on signage at their event.

~~2~~ **7. The current CFA "COVID-19 Attendee Advisory" must be posted at all entrances (advisory included in the show package and online as a PDF). The Advisory is included in the show package and online as a PDF: <https://cfa.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Attendee-Advisory-Poster.pdf>**

~~4~~ **8. Clubs are required to publish their intent to allow spectators at the show:**

a. In regard to exhibitors, this communication must be published in the show flyer, club website, club social media, ~~advertising~~ **advertisements**, and the entry confirmation sent to the exhibitor.

b. In regard to judges, this communication must be on the invitation.

~~Note:~~ **c.** If after licensing and communication to the exhibitors and judges, the club determines they would like to have spectators, the club is required to advise the exhibitors and the judges promptly.

~~5~~ **9. Vendors must also follow retail guidelines for the area.**

10. Show managers are responsible for enforcement of all CFA mandatory COVID-19 requirements; local, county, state, national regulations; and event facility regulations on the day(s) of the show.

CFA Recommended COVID-19 General Practices

1. Avoid congregating to the extent possible.

2. Wash hands as frequently as possible. ~~Have disinfectant in bathroom facilities for people to use.~~

3. Hand sanitizer should be available at every entrance to the site and at multiple locations within the site.

4. ~~It is recommended that individuals~~ **Individuals over the age of two** not fully vaccinated **are encouraged to** wear a mask.

CFA Recommended COVID-19 Event Practices

1. ~~Show Set-Up.~~ The size and layout of a show hall will determine the opportunities available to clubs.

~~a~~ **2.** ~~Consider setting~~ **Set** up cages with doors in the front and back (if available), and have cats placed in cages from the back of the ring.

~~b~~ **3.** Clerks and stewards should sanitize their hands frequently.

~~2~~ **4. Benching.** Design the layout of **spaces in the** benching areas ~~and spaces~~ to comply with the guidelines in place at the time.

~~The licensed club, its show managers, club officers, and show committee members are required to follow CFA mandatory COVID-19 requirements, local, county, state, national, and event facility regulations. Where standards differ, the club must adhere to the more restrictive standard.~~

~~Show managers are responsible for enforcement of all CFA mandatory COVID-19 requirements, local, county, state, national, and event facility regulations on the day(s) of the show.~~

How to Protect Yourself and Others **Additional Information to Protect Yourself and Others**

CDC - <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html>

WHO - <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public>

For questions ~~or additional suggestions~~, please contact Central Office **Allene Tartaglia at: atartaglia@cfa.org.**

These “CFA MINIMUM COVID-19 Requirements **and Recommendations**” will be periodically updated. Please check **Check the** CFA website for the most up-to-date version.

(~~608~~/2021)

11. PROPOSALS REGARDING BOARD MEETINGS.

(a) Attendance at Board Meetings.

Withdrawn.

(b) Agenda Items.

Committee reports should be limited to the day-long meetings in February, June, and October unless there is something time-sensitive that cannot wait. The board can make exceptions for the Judging Program and others they believe need to be dealt with at all six board meetings. The Tuesday night meetings in April, August, and December should be limited in agenda content to avoid long meetings. History has shown that the board makes poor decisions when they are tired and evening meetings that last longer than a couple hours (combined open and closed sessions) tend to be unproductive.

Action Item: *That committee reports be limited to the three day-long board meetings in February, June, and October. Exceptions will only be made for the evening board meetings in April, August, and December when there are time-sensitive matters that cannot wait for the day-long meetings. For emergency meetings, the agenda will be limited to only the emergency issue(s) that caused the meeting to be called.*

Newkirk: Let's go on to #12(b), Agenda Items. Mark Hannon. **Hannon:** I have a motion before the board to hopefully limit the amount of time we spend on these Tuesday night board meetings. They tend to go on and on and on, so my motion is [reads]. **Anger:** Rachel seconds. **Newkirk:** Thank you Rachel. For your information Mark, that's pretty much what I said at the last meeting when I changed the schedule for the meetings. **Hannon:** But we went through all sorts of things tonight with committees that we shouldn't have had to go through. **Newkirk:** OK. **Krzanowski:** I want to know, are Club Applications considered time sensitive? If they are not, I need to know now so that I can direct clubs as to when the next deadlines will be. **Newkirk:** Those would be the three 2-day meetings and the other three scheduled meetings. I don't have a problem with Club Applications. Mark, do you have a problem with that? **Hannon:** No. **Morgan:** I actually think Club Applications are one of the things that I was thinking of when Mark brought this up. I don't see why Club Applications are all that time sensitive in terms of us not being able to consider them, much like we don't consider actual brand new applicants to the Judging Program. If we're really going to have time to go through and look at the applications, then perhaps they should only come up in the main meetings. **Moser:** Melanie said what I was going to say. I totally agree. **Newkirk:** Anyone else? **Anger:** If the board feels that way about Clubs, I would like to at least grandfather those that Carol has in the pipeline right now so that this would come into play after our October meeting. **Newkirk:** Why don't we vote on Mark's motion, and then Pam and Melanie can make a motion that Clubs only be brought up at the three meetings. **Calhoun:** Assuming that you would still want a Treasurer's Report to be brief, as it was brief this round, or not? I just want clarification. **Newkirk:** Mark? **Hannon:** I don't understand why you can't just email it to us. **Calhoun:** I can certainly do that. I can put it as just a report. There are quite often things that I just want people to be cognizant of. Typically the Treasurer's Report only takes 5 minutes. **Newkirk:** I think it's important for the membership to know what the finances are doing, too. **Hannon:** What we should do is vote on the motion and

then, assuming the motion passes, we can discuss what we think should be exceptions.

Eigenhauser: I think we should revisit the idea of having a consent agenda so people like Kathy can put in their Treasurer's Report but it's not listed as something that we're going to spend a lot of time on. That would kind of split the baby – allow it to be brought up in a timely manner and get the information out like she wants, but not necessarily consume a lot of board time.

Newkirk: Couldn't it be listed as *For Information Only* and then Kathy can take questions if she needs them. Let's vote on Mark's motion. All those in favor please raise your hand.

Newkirk called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Newkirk: The yes votes are Mark Hannon, Howard Webster, Annette Wilson, Pam Moser, John Colilla, Rachel Anger, Steve McCullough, Pam DelaBar, George Eigenhauser, Melanie Morgan, Kathy Calhoun, Hayata-san, Rich Mastin, Kenny Currle, Carol Krzanowski. If you will take your hands down, the no votes please raise your hand. Any abstentions? Rachel, no no's and no abstentions. **Anger:** I'm missing Cathy Dunham. **Dunham:** I was a yes, Rachel. **Newkirk:** Thank you Cathy. **Anger:** I'm still missing one vote. These are going through so fast that I don't have time to check them off the list. **Newkirk:** I'm sorry. **Anger:** I think I'm missing Sharon Roy. **Newkirk:** I didn't call her. **Eigenhauser:** I don't see her anymore. **Anger:** I don't either. OK, so that would be 16 yes, zero no, zero abstention, 1 did not vote. **Newkirk:** OK, so the motion is agreed to.

Newkirk: Pam, Melanie, whichever one of you wants to make the motion. **Moser:** Are you going to do it Melanie? **Morgan:** No, you had better. I don't know how long I'll be connected. I'll second it. **Moser:** The New Clubs also only be brought up in February, June and October meetings. **Newkirk:** OK, and Melanie seconds. Is there any discussion on this? **Mastin:** Will the new clubs be notified what the expectation is when this is brought forward to the board three times a year? **Krzanowski:** When they first contact me and submit their information for review, I will advise them. I always do advise them when the next deadline is, so they will be told definitely. **Newkirk:** Carol, do you have any pending applications now? **Krzanowski:** I have a couple for October and that's it for now. **Newkirk:** That's fine. After that, if this passes, then you can notify them it will just be every four months. **Anger:** I was going to ask for an effective date. Can we include that just for clarity? **Moser:** I would say effective the October meeting, from there going forward. I mean, we should take the ones that Carol has for October, but then after that every four months. **Newkirk:** So Carol, any applications you get you'll notify them that – have you sent a notice out for anything after October yet? **Krzanowski:** No, I have not at this point. What I usually do is, when I send reminders to them to submit their revisions or whatever, I will let them know the deadline, I will remind them of the deadline and let them know when the next deadline is. So, I will just make that next deadline a normal board meeting. **Newkirk:** OK great. Pam DelaBar can put this in her meeting notes, also. Any other discussion? **Calhoun:** I don't think we, at least in my mind, have made a decision on the Treasurer's Report. You know, as I sit here and think about it, I think it's really important that we keep our eye on the financials. **Newkirk:** Kathy, can we take care of this motion, then we'll go back and pick that up? **Calhoun:** Oh, sorry, sorry. OK. **Newkirk:** That's OK, just to keep things clean. Let's call for the vote. All those in favor of limiting Club Applications to the three major 2-day meetings, raise your hands.

Newkirk called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

Newkirk: We have Mark Hannon, Rachel Anger, Rich Mastin, Howard Webster, Steve McCullough, John Colilla, Annette Wilson, Melanie Morgan, Pam Moser, Carol Krzanowski, Sharon Roy, George Eigenhauser, Hayata-san, Pam DelaBar, Kathy Calhoun, Cathy Dunham and Kenny Currie. If you will take your hands down please. Thank you, and the no votes if you will raise your hands please. I see no no votes. Any abstentions? No abstentions. Rachel, you can announce the vote. **Anger:** That was 17 yes, zero no, zero abstentions. **Newkirk:** So, that passes by the 2/3 required, since it was not pre-noticed.

Newkirk: Kathy, you are recognized. **Calhoun:** As far as the Treasurer's Report, if the board feels like a limited version of the report in a "reports" category would be sufficient, something that would just show the bottom line and maybe registrations, that could be done. My concern is that if we don't at least – we're in a little bit of a different period because we didn't have the cost of an annual, we don't have the cost of an international show, but when we do those interim months are important. Potentially, this year I could do a limited version on the three Tuesday meetings, but I would like once we get back into a full, robust year that you have a better picture. **DelaBar:** This is one of what I consider the core reports that we need to look at as a board and also have the constituents see, as well. If it's in the reports at our meetings, be they the three major meetings or the other Zoom meetings that we have scheduled, Treasury needs to be there. It's one of our biggest operating reports that we have. **Mastin:** Very beneficial to the board to understand six times a year where the board is operating from a financial standpoint. It would be great if Kathy could just do a down and dirty report that lets us know if we're profitable, unprofitable, how we're performing compared to last year, how we're performing compared to budget. It is extremely important for the board to know that as we conduct business. As the year goes on, we're always going to have things presented to us throughout the year and we're going to have one come up in closed session tonight. We're also going to have – actually, we've got a couple coming up in closed session tonight. We also have more coming up in October. So, it would be a shame to see that the board does not want to see a brief financial report with or without action items, just so that we're aware of our financial position. **Hannon:** I don't have any issue with putting it in the minutes or in the compiled reports, I just don't think we need to spend time with Kathy verbalizing anything unless we have a specific question. Let's provide the report to the board and it will be in the minutes. If Pam DelaBar thinks it would be appropriate, she can mention the bottom line in her notes. **Eigenhauser:** I distinguish between Treasurer's Reports that are simply announcing financial information to the board and to the fancy, and those reports that have action items. As Rich mentioned, we're constantly tweaking the budget. I don't want to be in a position where the only reason we're having a December meeting is that there's a Treasurer's Report with no action items in it. That makes no sense to me. We can put out as many Treasurer's Reports as there are days in the year. **Calhoun:** No we can't. [laughter] No we can't. **Eigenhauser:** In theory, we can put out more Treasurer's Reports than there are board meetings and we could certainly communicate that to the fancy, so I don't think keeping Treasurer's Reports to the minimum. Primarily big reports and primarily when there are action items prevents us from releasing financials between the three major board meetings or even between the six semi-major board meetings, and then simply announcing it to the fancy on CFA News or mailing it to the clubs or whatever else we are going to do. So, if we're going to do reports that are simply reports, I don't want a report with no action items on it to trigger a meeting when we wouldn't have otherwise held one. There are other ways to get the information to the board without a physical meeting. **Calhoun:** Darrell, I actually think this is really important. The Treasurer's Report is under 5 minutes. I typically will pick out two or three

things, and we drop to the Bottom Line. I want this board to pay attention to it – not that you wouldn't, but I don't want things to be a surprise when it comes up. So, can we just agree to leave it as is, keep it under 5 minutes I guarantee. If we're not going to have a meeting, of course we wouldn't have a meeting just to do that. The Treasurer's Report rarely has an action item. It's a report, but I just don't think we should take our eye off the ball on that. I can keep it very brief. **Newkirk:** Alright, does anyone want to make a motion to limit the Treasurer's Report to the three main meetings? So, the Treasurer's Reports will be included in our six meetings.

Newkirk: Anybody else? OK Mark, do you have anything else? **Hannon:** No, that's all I've got. **Newkirk:** OK, thank you sir.

12. SPECIAL SCORING RULES PREVIOUSLY ESTABLISHED FOR CURRENT SEASON.

The board voted to make some changes regarding scoring in the current show season as a result of COVID restrictions. It was announced that the board would re-visit this issue at the October board meeting when we would have a better idea regarding the impact of the restrictions on our shows. I have been asked to bring this up at the August board meeting rather than wait until October. I personally am not in favor of making changes to scoring mid-season. When we did this in the past we got complaints. If, however, we are going to make changes, I think it create fewer issues if we do it early rather than half-way through the season. At this point it looks like things are opening up in the United States, perhaps faster than the board anticipated. There are shows in the USA almost every weekend, often multiple shows. We see clubs announcing “save the date” for numerous upcoming shows. Again, I am bringing this up because I was asked to.

Here is my understanding of changes made for this season:

Score Top 50 rings (it was 100 rings pre-pandemic)

National win minimum for championship is 2,000 points (was 4,300 pre-pandemic)

National win minimum for kittens is 700 points (was 1,500 pre-pandemic)

National win minimum for premiership is 1,000 points (was 2,200 pre-pandemic)

National win minimum for household pets is 500 points (was 1,100 pre-pandemic)

National win minimum for agility is 150 points (same?)

National breed/division win is 100 points (was 200 pre-pandemic)

Regional Top 25 win is 200 points (change?)

Regional HHP win is 50 points (was 100 pre-pandemic)

Grand of Distinction requires 15 finals this season, more matter if AB or Spec (was 30 finals with 20 being AB pre-pandemic)

Withdrawn.

Unfinished Business and General Orders

Newkirk: Let's move on to Unfinished Business and General Orders.

13. UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Newkirk: Is there any Unfinished Business we need to discuss?

14. **OTHER COMMITTEES.**

Newkirk: I daresay Other Committees.

15. NEW BUSINESS.

Newkirk: Any New Business that needs to be brought up? **Hannon:** I spent the last year as a member of the audience rather than a member of the board. My experience has been, it's very difficult to follow these meetings when you're in the audience and you don't have the benefit of seeing the committee reports. For a period of time Rachel used to put out a sanitized version of the combined reports. It was just the open session stuff. That was provided a couple days prior to the meeting so that people that are attending the meeting have an opportunity to read the reports and know what we're talking about. I mean, this past week we put out the agenda which was not very explanatory. People wanted to know what I was talking about in the one about the scoring for the show season. My suggestion under New Business is that we ask the Secretary to provide combined reports for the audience. **DelaBar:** That's adding to the Secretary's job which we've already given her several jobs and reports that she already has to write, in addition to providing the minutes. [unidentified conversation] **Newkirk:** Do you want to apologize to Pam, Steve? **McCullough:** I sure do. Sorry about that. **Newkirk:** Alright, go ahead Pam. **DelaBar:** Thanks. As far as getting a combined report like we get right now, look at the changes we just had right before this meeting and during the meeting. I don't know how much we can do, except for regional directors and directors-at-large to put out, "hey, this is basically what we're talking about" and doing it in a sanitized version ourselves to our constituents. Let us answer to our constituents instead of adding something else to Rachel. Of course, she is probably going to come on and say, "oh, that would be easy to do" but anyway. **Newkirk:** She is smiling. I think you might be right. **DelaBar:** I mean really, especially if things went out as they originally appeared. Then we have this withdrawn and that withdrawn, and this one is not appropriate in open session, etc. Coming up with something and giving out what will mislead our people, I have to go back to look – by all means, write your representative to let them know how you feel about changing the scoring system when none of us were even thinking about changing the scoring system for this show season. Let's get a handle on this. **Newkirk:** The membership sure thought we were going to do it, I can tell you that. **DelaBar:** Where in the hell did they get the idea, you know? **Newkirk:** Mark Hannon is taking credit for it. **Anger:** Yes, that is what I'm going to say. I would be glad to take that back up again. You know, we can give it a try and see what our constituents think. I can name a few people I know that would like that who are fans of reading the minutes in general, but my concern is as Pam mentioned that, for instance, the one report we had names in it which we don't generally do. I can do a little more thorough job of reviewing before this goes up if the authors of the reports can keep those same things in mind. **Newkirk:** They can just redact the names. OK good. Alright, are you OK with that, Mark? Cool.

Newkirk: Anybody else got anything before we adjourn? No?

* * * * *

Meeting adjourned at 12:39 a.m., Eastern Time.

Respectfully submitted,
Rachel Anger, CFA Secretary