

(13) LEGAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

*Committee Chair: Cyndy Byrd*  
*List of Committee Members: George Eigenhauser, Shelly Perkins*

---

...

2. *Discuss and present a motion to address Regional Wins in the 2020-2021 show season.*

**Background:** *Several questions have been brought by Pam Dela Bar as follow:*

*Regional Wins are defined, specified, etc., in Article XXXVI of our Show Rules. Nowhere does it state in this article if regions must have Regional Wins, or conversely, can regions opt out of the Regional Wins? When the National Win (and further on Breed Win) was cancelled for this show season, it was done by the entire board – setting aside a show rule has, in the past, been enacted by the entire board, as granted by the powers given to the board in the CFA Constitution.*

- a. *Can individual regions “opt out” of Region Wins for a show season (specifically this one)?*
- b. *If one region wants to continue with Regional Wins for this show season, do all regions have to also?*
- c. *Since Article XXXVI is part of the Show Rules, can regions make decisions on Regional Wins, or, as part of the Show Rules, must this be a board decision?*

**Byrd:** The next item is a question brought up by Pam DelaBar regarding the regional awards, regional wins. You see there Pam’s questions which I think are good ones, and my suggestion that we discuss this and determine whether we are going to have regional awards this year, leave it to the regions or say no regional awards. **DelaBar:** Actually Cyndy, we had submitted this to be talked about. I think it’s first thing on the agenda for tomorrow morning. **Byrd:** Yes, there are two. **DelaBar:** Mine was broader than the second one. **Byrd:** My suggestion would be that we deal with it here and cover all of the regions, rather than individual regions by themselves. **DelaBar:** Mine was the broad one, to do all regions. **Byrd:** I am happy to withdraw. **DelaBar:** I will acquiesce to your recommendation. **Newkirk:** OK, so we’re going to merge item 22 with this current motion that we’re considering. Do I have that correct? **Byrd:** I believe so. **Newkirk:** OK. So, since we’re changing the order of business, I’ll need a motion which will require 2/3 vote to move business item 22 to be discussed now. **DelaBar:** I will move that we change to be able to discuss item 22 now. **Eigenhauser:** George seconds. **Newkirk:** Thank you George. So, the motion is to suspend the rules. It requires 2/3 vote to move order of business 22 to be discussed currently with the Legal Advisory Committee item #2. Is there any discussion? Is there any objection to suspending the rules and moving business item 22 to discuss today? I see no one raising their hands to object, so by unanimous consent the rules are suspended. Business item 22 is moved to current status of discussion. [Transcript goes to item 22]

**Newkirk:** Cyndy Byrd, anything else on the Legal Advisory Committee? **Byrd:** That concludes us. **Newkirk:** Thank you so much Cyndy for your good work. I love this Legal Advisory Committee that I appointed. You did a great job, and so does everybody serving on the Committee.

*The relevant CFA Constitution and Show Rules articles are listed below:*

**CFA CONSTITUTION**  
**ARTICLE XIII — RULES AND STANDARDS**

*The Executive Board shall from time to time establish “Show Rules” and “Show Standards” under which shows licensed by this Association are to be held and shall determine the basis on which Championships, Grand Championships, Premierships, Grand Premierships, and other awards established by it for this Association shall be made.*

*Delegates to the Annual Meeting of the Association may change such Show Rules of the Association as have general applicability (but not those affecting specifically any color, breed, or division) by a two-thirds (2/3) affirmative vote, provided that any such proposed Show Rules changes are noticed by the Association’s Central Office to all member clubs in good standing at least 45 days prior to the Annual Meeting. Proposed changes not included in such notice, or included but amended prior to adoption, or adopted by a vote of less than two-thirds (2/3), shall be advisory only. Show Rule changes so adopted shall be effective on May 1 of the year following adoption, unless a different effective date is specifically stated in the adopted change.*

*Once a breed is accepted for championship status, it cannot have its registration status rescinded, placed back in A.O.V. status or moved to provisional status without 2/3 Breed Council approval.*

*A CFA-sponsored Awards Program which shall include scoring procedures, policies and awards shall be listed as an official part of the CFA Show Rules. Awards will be based on points accumulated throughout the show season subject to the rules and limitations set forth in the program. No other method of determining the winning cats shall be permitted.*

**CFA SHOW RULES**

*May 1, 2020 - April 30, 2021*

Article XXXVI  
NATIONAL/REGIONAL/DIVISIONAL  
AWARDS PROGRAM

**1. Scoring Procedures/Policies & Awards**

**2. INTRODUCTION**

*The Cat Fanciers’ Association, Inc. provides a program through which pedigreed cats/kittens and household pets compete for awards on a national/regional/divisional level. All eligible cats competing in CFA sanctioned shows throughout the world are automatically included in the program.*

*Awards are based on points accumulated throughout the show season subject to the rules and limitations set forth below. Points are based on official counts of cats competing and wins achieved at each show. . .*

### **Regional Awards**

*The awards presented each year in regions 1-9 are:*

**Best-25<sup>th</sup> Best Cat\***

**Best-25<sup>th</sup> Best Kitten\***

**Best-25<sup>th</sup> Best Cat in Premiership\***

*\*The title of “Regional Winner (RW)” is limited to cats receiving the above awards.*

**Best-10<sup>th</sup> Best Household Pet\*\***

*\*\*The title of Household Pet Regional Winner (HRW)\*\* is limited to cats receiving the above awards. A minimum of 100 points is required to win these awards.*

**Best of Breed/Division; Second Best of Breed/Division; Third Best of Breed/Division.**

**Best of Color; Second Best of Color**

*Breed/division and color awards are awarded to only the Championship classes for the National and Regional awards.*

*Note: Regional/Divisional/Hawaii Agility Awards are at the discretion of the Regional Director, but will go no further than 10 deep and any cat earning the award must earn a minimum of 150 agility points for such award.*

*Respectfully Submitted,  
Cyndy Byrd, Chair*

### **(22) REGIONAL AWARDS FOR 2020-2021 SHOW SEASON.**

#### **(a) *Region 9 Motion.***

*Article XXXVI in the current CFA Show Rules authorizes Regional Awards. The title of “Regional Winner” (RW) is a permanent title and is part of the history of the cat that earns such title. The “RW” title is annotated on pedigrees and other official documents for the cat, and its progeny, as appropriate. A cat that has earned the “RW” title is assumed to have achieved a high level of accomplishment in the shows, as evidenced by the accumulation of a high number of “points”. The CFA Attorney has stated only the CFA Board of Directors has the authority to change the provisions for Regional Wins, as stated in Article XXXVI of the CFA Show Rules.*

*We have the potential of seven (7) months left in the current show season for shows; most regions do not expect to begin to conduct shows until January 2021 which would then cut down the show season to four (4) months. Some areas are blocked from attending functions in other areas. Some areas cannot have over 10 people at any one function. Accessibility to shows can change weekly, planning shows can be difficult at best.*

*Given the drastically reduced show season, the inequitable ability of exhibitors to even attend shows, and the ever changing rules and regulations in our regions (and even portions of regions) in order to conduct shows:*

**Action Item:** *Move the following:*

1. *Regional Awards, for all regions, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021.*

[Continuation of Legal Advisory Committee report] **Newkirk:** Cyndy? **Byrd:** I don't have 22 in front of me. That would be Pam. Would you like to take it, Pam? **DelaBar:** Let me get it in front of me. **Byrd:** We'll have a race. **Newkirk:** There's Pam DelaBar's. Pam Moser, did you submit an item for tomorrow's business item? **Moser:** Yes I did, but I'm waiting to see if this passes. I will withdraw mine. **Newkirk:** Oh, OK. Alright, so Pam DelaBar, would you like to present yours? **DelaBar:** OK. This arose after having discussions with several of the other regional directors. I did ask for the legal definition of how we deal with Article XXXVI out of the show rules. Since the board had to vote on the national wins and the breed wins being rescinded for this particular show season, the regional wins are also under that same article. I don't know how many of you got to read this, but the attorney did come back and give her ruling, as did Cyndy and the Legal Advisory Committee. Basically, given the drastically reduced show season, the inequitable ability of exhibitors to even attend shows, and the ever changing rules and regulations in our regions (and even portions of regions) in order to conduct shows, I have moved two motions; the first one being, *Regional Awards, for all regions, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021.* **Newkirk:** OK, let's have a second on the first motion. **Anger:** Rachel seconds. **Newkirk:** Thank you Rachel. Is there discussion on regional awards for all regions being suspended for the current show season? **DelaBar:** Darrell, I just wanted to make a couple other things. The RW shows up on our pedigrees, it shows up on awards, it shows up in publications. We don't have parity with this title. It's supposed to be CFA wide or a DW in some cases, but in Regions 1-9 there's no parity. People have no chance, so an RW can be 200 points in one region and maybe 50 in another, because we don't have minimum points for regional wins.

**Newkirk:** Pam, should we put regional/divisional awards? **DelaBar:** I can't speak to divisional awards. **Newkirk:** They are comparable. A divisional award is for an area that's not a region, so they are comparable awards. **DelaBar:** I would entertain that amendment. **Newkirk:** I can't make the amendment. I'm just asking. **DelaBar:** I would entertain amending this to read, *Regional and Divisional Awards, for all regions and areas, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021.* **Newkirk:** Rachel, are you OK with that change? **Anger:** Yes, I will second that amendment. **Newkirk:** I just want us to be consistent across the system.

**Currle:** I like the first amendment much better. I think it should be left up to the regions. I think you are just going to end up with a grand fest. I can use the same argument as other areas not being opened up. I think it's too early to make this determination. I think it all comes down to cats defeated. We need to give people an incentive to come to the show, so once you get your two grands that you had in one litter or two litters, now you're never going to attend a show because there's no other award to reach for. To me, that's going to hurt our entries – maybe not right away as we all thirst for shows, but later on in the show season is where I'm worried about. If it has a negative effect on any of our clubs, I'm not going to be supportive of it. To opt out, that to me sounds like a better opportunity.

**Perkins:** Darrell, before you move on, I just had a procedural issue. Are you debating two separate amendments at the same time? **Newkirk:** No, we're debating her #1, *Regional and Divisional Awards, for all regions and areas, will be suspended* for the current show season, basically. **Perkins:** OK. I didn't understand Kenny's comment that he liked the other amendment

better. **Newkirk:** There was a mention earlier about certain regions opting out on their own volition, but that's not what the motion is that we're debating. **Perkins:** Thank you. **Newkirk:** Thank you Shelly for making that clarification.

**Roy:** I have some very mixed feelings about this. I'm the one that originally brought it to all the regional directors. At least in our region, all the shows that are going to be in our region are going to be in the southern part of the region. We are not going to have anything from the northern part, and who knows when the Canadians will ever get out of Canada. I just wonder if we can let it go until December and see if things change, and then make a decision then. That's all. **Colilla:** I did a survey for my region. More than 2/3 said just forego this show season with regional awards. **Newkirk:** Thank you John for that information. **Dunham:** I did a survey in our region. It was overwhelming support to continue with regional awards. We are one of the few regions that have multiple shows scheduled, and there is an absolute incentive to give them a reason to participate in the shows if they can, and regional awards is one way to do that. So, I will not support this amendment to suspend them all. **Moser:** I had a regional meeting and we discussed this. The issue here is that our first show, if we have it, would be February – like I said, if we have it. Then the other ones are kind of waiting, but there's only 2-3 more shows that could be even possible. The majority of those are in California, which as of right now you can't have any inside gatherings. So, for our region, it doesn't make any sense. Are we supposed to give regional awards out to cats that make 50 points? It doesn't make any sense for our region, so that's why I put in the other one in case this fails, because our region overwhelmingly said no, they do not want regional awards this year. **Morgan:** I feel like I am stepping on the toes of the regional directors, who certainly know what their regions need and want. However, I do want to make the point that now is the time to make this decision. It's not fair for us to have people start to enter shows under one set of expectations, and then start to change them mid-stream if we can help it. We really haven't had a lot of shows start back up again. With Cotton States coming up, etc., for us to leave it as it is and then decide in December and then say we're taking it away I think would be a bad idea. The only other thing I would bring up is – I fully support this, I think it makes a lot of sense – would be the question of split season kittens. Some of those kittens actually have made some significant points and might be an exception to the moratorium. **Anger:** As someone who mostly just showed cats to grand them, I have a couple regional wins that I worked really hard for. If that same award was given to somebody that got 50 points, that would devalue my award. I think if there is some kind of compromise where we gave a regional award with an asterisk or a COVID regional award or something indicating that this is a separate award that can't be compared to previous or hopefully future regional awards. On the other hand, if I was showing a cat right now and I granded the cat, Kenny is exactly right – what's my incentive to continue? A rosette that has no point value to it? There's got to be some sort of other option besides regional win or no regional win. **Eigenhauser:** First of all, I agree with Melanie that we really need to decide now. The longer we let people show without making a decision, the more harm we potentially do downstream. But, I want to remind everybody, there are two motions here and we're simply taking them in order. The first motion is to get rid of the current official regional/divisional awards in the show rules. The second follow-up motion is to allow the regions to establish their own system of recognition in one manner or another. I think that addresses a lot of the concerns people have made about providing an incentive. The regions can provide a system for giving awards and recognition; they just can't put the RW on the end of the name.

**Newkirk:** Are we ready for the question? I'm going to call for the vote. All those in favor of the amended motion – actually it's not amended, we changed it, so this is an original main motion. That is item #1, *Regional and Divisional Awards, for all regions, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021*. **Perkins:** Are you voting to amend? **Newkirk:** No, no. She changed the motion. **Perkins:** So this is not a pre-noticed motion? **Newkirk:** Well, all it's doing is including the divisional awards, which are equivalent to non-region regional awards. **Perkins:** From my perspective, you should be voting to amend the motion. **Newkirk:** OK, alright. I'll take your advice. We did change it. It wasn't pre-noticed correctly so it will take a 2/3 vote to amend this to add *Divisional* between *Regional* and *Awards*. So, if you're in favor of that amendment, please vote yes.

**Newkirk** called the motion [for the amendment]. **Motion Carried.** Dunham, Currle and Anger voting no.

**Newkirk:** Rachel, will you please tell me the vote? **Anger:** 13 yes, 3 no. **Newkirk:** That's about 75%, so the amendment passes. Now we are going to vote on the amended main motion, which now states, *Regional and Divisional Awards*. So, if you're in favor of the amended motion, please raise your hands.

**Newkirk** called the main motion [as amended]. **Motion Carried.** Dunham, Currle and Anger voting no.

**Newkirk:** That motion only needs a simple majority, which it received. [discussion goes to second motion in original report]

[from after the afternoon break, regarding **split season kittens**] **Newkirk:** OK, it's 12:50, 3:50 on the east coast. I'll call the meeting back to order. Sharon Roy needs to address something about the awards. Sharon, you are recognized. **Roy:** One of the things that we forgot when we were discussing awards and suspending regional awards were split season kittens from last year. Many of those kittens were honestly shown from February to mid-March. At least in my region, I have a couple that have over 1,000 points. Do we still award those? Do we need to vote to award those, or is that going to be a regional decision? **Newkirk:** I'll entertain a motion. **Roy:** Then I will make a motion, if that's OK, to award regional awards to kittens up to, say, as low as kittens that have at least 250 points. **DelaBar:** I'll second. **Newkirk:** We have a motion and a second. Sharon Roy made the motion, Pam DelaBar made the second. Sharon, just for the record, would you restate your motion? **Roy:** My motion is to award kitten wins for split season kittens shown last year, the number to be determined by a minimum of 250 points. **Morgan:** I support this. I'm looking and in Region 1 Sharon is right. Her #1 kitten was not eligible – would have won a regional win last year had it been scored under last year, but it's a split season kitten that has over 1,100 points. I think looking in Region 9, I think the same situation. Their #1 kitten has over 520 points, which I think was well in their regional contention for last year. I haven't gone any further in the regions. Those are just the first two I opened up, but I can support this. **Anger:** Just a simple suggestion to the motion. Can we add the word "regional kitten win"? That's not in there, it was just "kitten win." It's assumed, but I would like to make it clear. **Roy:** Yes, I accept that change, Rachel. That's a good change, thank you.

**Eigenhauser:** I have some concerns about this. It really seems strange that we're not going to give out regional awards for kittens shown this season, but we might be giving out regional awards for kittens shown last season that timed out this show season. It's going to be an interesting regional awards show when there's only kittens. Another thing to be concerned about is, those kittens that were shown at the tail end of last season and then timed out in this season would normally be subject to competition all this season, from May 1 through the end of the year, by other kittens and they're not going to have that competition. So, essentially, it's not a level playing field. They're walking out onto a field where there's no competition. I don't think it's fair for the people that earned kitten awards in normal seasons, to create a special exception for this season where the kittens did not, in fact, compete with as many kittens or for as long a period of time. **Currle:** I agree with what George had just stated. I was going to say the very same thing. If we're going to create a special competitive class for kittens that have already been shown from last year, I think at the very least we should continue kitten awards for this year within our regions. **P. Moser:** I think this would be very confusing, and so I have to agree with George. Since this is a motion off the floor, this requires 2/3 vote to pass. Am I correct? **Newkirk:** That's correct. **P. Moser:** Thank you. **Newkirk:** Any other discussion on this motion? All those in favor of the motion, please raise your hand.

**Newkirk** called the motion. **Motion Failed.** Roy, DelaBar, Morgan, Hayata, Calhoun, Byrd, Anger and Krzanowski voting yes.

**Anger:** I have 8 to 8. **Eigenhauser:** Darrell, it has to be 2/3 so you don't have to break the tie. **Newkirk:** That's correct. Thank you George. I get caught up sometimes in this. So, the motion fails. It did not obtain a 2/3 vote.

**Currle:** Can I take it a step further, as far as addressing the split season kittens and put them in competition for this show season only, that we do have available regional award wins for kittens only. **Newkirk:** Put that in the form of a motion, Kenny. **Currle:** I make a motion that we allow regional awards to be given to kittens for this present show season, which will include those split season kittens. **Morgan:** Melanie seconds. **Newkirk:** So, this also is a non-pre-noticed motion and it will also require 2/3 vote to pass. Is there any discussion? Who made the second? **Currle:** Melanie. **Newkirk:** Melanie, that's correct. Thank you. **DelaBar:** I guess I'm trying to wrap my head around this. We have split season kittens that ended the end of April, that could have gone on May, June, July, but have aged out. How can they continue on? I'm trying to wrap my head around what you said, Kenny, on how we award this. If we are having problems having shows, how are we going to award kittens and how does this address a split season kitten? **Currle:** The anticipation, I'm assuming, by taking away the ability or having a region make its own decision about awarding regional points, because of obvious reasons – restricted shows – I guess the feeling is that we're not going to have enough rings available. I understand the situation that you have over in Europe, but I know that my region – off the top of my head – has already got 4 shows in their planning stages. Right now, we're penalizing kittens who have already been shown and will be cut short, who have a tremendous amount of points. So, if we're going to have a restricted amount of points available, I think that to give them something to go forward. We've already taken away an incentive for grands once they grand, to a certain extent, to attend shows. Kittens have a certain age group. At least we give our exhibitors something to look forward to, depending on how many shows that we're able to have. My region is not like any other region or other regions. We could very well be able to have these shows, depending

upon the future. I'm not saying it's going to come to fruition, but to approve this, to at least allow our kittens to be scored, we can have more and more people bring their kittens out, perhaps, and at least show on that competitive level throughout the end of this year. **Newkirk:** So Kenny, you're saying you want to ignore the premiership cats and the championship cats from getting regional wins, but it's OK for kittens? **Currle:** No. I don't want to ignore any of them. I voted against not having regional awards. I wanted to have regional awards opted, but that was never voted upon. That was withdrawn. I'm in support of the option for a region to have that, because again I don't want to hurt our clubs. **Eigenhauser:** I just want to remind everyone that we've already passed a motion that the regions can give out their own recognition to any of the competitive categories this year if they choose to do so. So, we're not taking away the right of the regions to give awards to kittens, we're simply taking away the RW.

**Mastin:** I just want some clarification from Kenny, because I am interpreting this two different ways. One, I'm wondering if Kenny is trying to bring back his motion for the kittens for this entire year, or if he is asking us to score the kittens at the end of this year to be considered split season kittens for next year. **Currle:** I'm specifically asking for this show season, in addition to the split season kittens that have already obtained their points up until the last show, which was March 12<sup>th</sup> of this year. **Mastin:** I have a very big concern with that. We just got done voting on not awarding regional wins for this year. Now we're re-opening it to award regional wins for kittens, so I have a problem with that. **Newkirk:** I think Shelly has her hand up and I'm probably sure that's what she is going to say, that we can't do this without a reconsideration. So Shelly, would you like to make a ruling here? **Perkins:** That is why I raised my hand. I thought you needed a reconsideration because you had already voted on that. I'm also concerned about the fairness aspect, because you have kittens that if you open up the regional awards to kittens now for this year, it only is going to hit those kittens that are in the sweet spot for when there are shows that actually get all four months to show. If they only have four months, you're going to have some kittens that only were in the kitten class for a month signing in and trying to get awards against kittens that got to show for four months. That's why we have the split season kittens, so that there's a level playing field across the board. I'm concerned about fairness. I think there's going to be some kind of outcry about fairness that some kittens got to show for a month and some kittens got to show for all four months if you do this. **Morgan:** I know it's in Robert's Rules, but I think I voted yes on the regional question, so I think I can bring up a reconsideration. Is that correct? **Newkirk:** Yes, if you voted in favor of it. Let Rachel check the yesses to make sure. **Morgan:** While she is doing that, I just want to point out to all of you. I'm looking right now at the Region 1 ePoints. We have a kitten there who showed for 40 rings and has 1,130 points. It truly earned the title of RW. Giving a special regional award is not the same as getting the title of RW, which it legitimately earned. In any season and almost any region it would have earned that title, and it has already earned those points. There has to be some sort of way that we can address situations like that. That's not fair to that cat's owner. **Newkirk:** Which motion are you asking to be reconsidered, Melanie? **Morgan:** Kenny's, to bring up whatever Kenny's motion was. What was that, Kenny? To award regional wins? **Currle:** I wanted to reinstate the kitten scoring for next show season. **Newkirk:** So, you're reconsidering the motion that we don't have any regional wins this year. **Morgan:** For kittens. Or, I guess for the whole thing, because that's what we voted on. **Newkirk:** That's what we voted on. It wasn't kittens, premiers and championship, it was all regional/divisional wins. **Morgan:** Understood, OK. **Newkirk:** Is that correct, Rachel? Can you go back and tell us what that motion was? **Anger:** What I was looking up was that Melanie did vote yes for that. **Newkirk:** Alright, so I need a



second for reconsideration. Rachel, would you read us the motion so we know what we're reconsidering. **Anger:** That will take me a second. *Move the following: Regional and Divisional Awards, for all regions and areas, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021.*

**Newkirk:** OK, so the motion is open for reconsideration. Pam, I think that was your motion. You're recognized. **DelaBar:** I'm still trying to understand what Melanie and Kenny are talking about. The kitten that she says has 1,100 points up in Region 1 had to earn those before the second week of March. Therefore, its regional win actually would have been last year, even though it's split season, because it earned no points and can earn no more points towards a kitten win this show season. **Anger:** It has aged out. We still need to vote on the motion for reconsideration. **Newkirk:** Thank you Rachel. All those in favor of a reconsideration, Melanie and – **Anger:** Kenny. **Newkirk:** Kenny seconded. Shelly, do you have a procedural question? No, OK. All those in favor of the reconsideration, please raise your hand.

**Newkirk** called the motion [for reconsideration]. **Motion Carried.** Roy, Anger, Colilla, Currle, Hayata, Krzanowski, Morgan and Byrd voting yes. Calhoun abstained.

**Newkirk:** OK, so I have Melanie Morgan, Rachel Anger, Sharon Roy, Kenny Currle, Carol Krzanowski, Cyndy Byrd, Yukiko Hayata. That's six yesses. **Newkirk:** All those opposed to the reconsideration. Sharon Roy, Hayata you voted yes. Are you a yes or a no? **Hayata:** Yes. **Newkirk:** OK, you're a yes so take your hand down please. The no votes for reconsideration are George Eigenhauser, Pam Moser, Pam DelaBar, Steve McCullough, Rich Mastin, Cathy Dunham, John Colilla, Brian Moser. **P. Moser:** Darrell, doesn't that have to pass by 2/3? **Newkirk:** Reconsideration is a majority vote. **P. Moser:** Oh, it is? OK. **Anger:** Is Kathy still on the call? I don't have a vote for Kathy. I have John Colilla as a yes and a no. **Calhoun:** I'm waiting for abstain. **Newkirk:** Alright, those who want to abstain raise your hand. Steve, Brian and Kathy Calhoun. **Anger:** Steve voted no, Brian is abstaining. **B. Moser:** No, I'm not. I'm not abstaining. I put my hand down, sorry. **Anger:** OK, so what is your vote Brian? **B. Moser:** It's no. **Newkirk:** Kathy Calhoun, you're an abstain? **Calhoun:** I'm an abstain. **Anger:** How did Kenny vote? **Currle:** Yes. **Anger:** Does this require a majority or 2/3 again? **Newkirk:** A reconsideration requires a majority vote. **Anger:** Well, we have 7 yes, 7 no, 1 abstain. That can't be.

**Cao:** I had my hand up but I never got a chance to make my input. **Newkirk:** Alright Gavin, we're voting. **Cao:** Basically, China is kind of different. We already have shows lined up and we have two that are scheduled right now for October and November. We're expecting quite a bit more after, so your situation is quite different for our division. When I was first hearing about this motion, I thought it was only for regional awards, so if this is for divisional awards, we also need to think about China. If we take away all the divisional wins for China, then what are people showing for, just grands? **Newkirk:** That's the problem we have here, too, Gavin.

**Newkirk:** There's one person hasn't voted then. **Anger:** The yesses I have, Anger, Colilla, Currle, Hayata, Krzanowski, Morgan, Byrd. That's seven. I have eight no's and one abstain. **Newkirk:** So the reconsideration fails. Are we done with the scoring issue? **Perkins:** Rachel, I missed that. I thought it was the other way around. Do you mind reading off the yesses and no's and the abstain for the record? **Anger:** Sure, and if I have something wrong, please let me know. The yesses are Anger, Colilla, Currle, Hayata, Krzanowski, Morgan, Byrd. My no

votes are Mastin, Roy, Pam Moser, McCullough, Dunham, DelaBar, Eigenhauser, Brian Moser. One abstention, which was Calhoun. Everybody cool with that? **Roy:** No. I was a yes not a no. **Anger:** That makes it 8 yes, 7 no, 1 abstain. **Perkins:** Thank you. **Newkirk:** Thank you Shelly for bringing that to our attention, so the consideration passes.

**Newkirk:** I need somebody to make the motion. **Morgan:** Kenny, the motion was, again? **Newkirk:** Rachel, will you read the motion? It basically was regional/divisional awards will not be entertained for the 2020-2021 show season. **Morgan:** So the new motion will be, for the 2020-2021 show season, we will consider regional/divisional awards for kittens only.

**Newkirk:** You understand this is not a pre-noticed motion, so it will take 2/3 vote to pass.

**Morgan:** Correct. **Newkirk:** Everybody understand that? I need a second to Melanie's motion.

**Perkins:** Melanie, before we get a second, can you restate that motion? **Morgan:** For the 2020-2021 show season, we will award regional/divisional awards for kittens only. **Perkins:** Darrell, as a procedural matter, if we are reconsidering the motion that's actually on the floor, which was

*Regional and Divisional Awards, for all regions and areas, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021*, then it has to speak that. **Newkirk:** We have to have an amendment to whatever that

motion was. Thank you Allene for bringing that up. So, our amended motion on #1 here was, *Regional and Divisional Awards, for all regions and areas, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021*. So, that's what the motion is, alright? We're reconsidering that motion. So Melanie,

you need to amend this motion to state what you want to accomplish. **Morgan:** I vote to amend this motion to suspend championship and premiership, which would then by definition leave

kittens, correct? **Newkirk:** That's correct. **Anger:** May I make a simple suggestion?

**Eigenhauser:** And Household Pets and Agility. **Anger:** Just add the words, *With the exception*

*of kittens*. **Newkirk:** That's a good idea. **Morgan:** I like that. **Anger:** Thank you. And then leave

the rest the same. *With the exception of kittens, Regional and Divisional Awards, for all regions and areas, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021*. **Dunham:** Since we're reconsidering

this, what about Grands of Distinction and DMs? Are we suspending those, as well, or are we awarding them because the cats that are currently getting shown could, in fact, gain those awards

this season? **Newkirk:** I don't think GoD would be excluded. What we're excluding is RW and

DW. Is that your intent, Pam, of your motion? **DelaBar:** That is correct. **Dunham:** OK, thank

you. **Currle:** I was just going to ask Pam Moser if she would consider bringing her version back

up about the regions opting in or opting out of this. **Newkirk:** Let's take care of this. If this

doesn't pass then that won't matter. **Currle:** Can I continue? I have another issue. **Perkins:** In order to do that, just procedurally if Melanie made this motion now, someone needs to second it

before you have debate. **Currle:** I thought it was seconded. I apologize. **Newkirk:** Are you

seconding Melanie's motion, Kenny? **Currle:** I'll go ahead and second with the right to speak.

**Newkirk:** Let's just make sure we're all on the same page here. Melanie made the motion with

Rachel's correction to the wording to simplify it, and Kenny has seconded it. Rachel, will you

please read to us so we all know what we're voting on here or debating? **Anger:** Sure. It will now read, *With the exception of kittens, Regional and Divisional Awards, for all regions will be*

*suspended for Show Season 2020-2021*. **Newkirk:** Don't you need divisions behind regions?

**Anger:** I said that, didn't I? Oh, I see, it's – **Newkirk:** *Regional and Divisional Awards, for all*

*regions/divisions*. Shelly, are we good? **Perkins:** We have a first and a second, so debate is open.

**Newkirk:** This is an amendment to a non-pre-noticed – that was pre-noticed but the amendment has not. **DelaBar:** I still want clarification on what year the kitten that got 1,100+ points is going to be awarded. It had enough points for me to be a regional winner for last year.

Is that what you're trying to bring in to this year, Melanie? **Morgan:** If it was a split season kitten, even if it had enough points to have – and I don't know this kitten so I can't tell you if it had enough points to have earned an award last year, it would not have been awarded those points if it split the two seasons. So, it apparently – and Sharon, you can probably speak to this better than I, because I'm looking at 1 – earned 1,130 points and got to 40 rings, but it still would have just aged out probably right around the first weekend of May, which means that it could not have been awarded for last season and was not awarded for last season – I'm assuming it wasn't. Therefore, it's only option to have picked up the award it had pretty much already close to have earned would be in this current season, which will not happen. **P. Moser:** I need clarification on a couple points. How much does this have to pass by? **Newkirk:** Shelly? **P. Moser:** Is this 2/3 or majority? **Newkirk:** Pam's report was pre-noticed. **P. Moser:** Right, but Melanie's motion was not. **Newkirk:** That's correct. **Perkins:** I'm looking up an amendment to the main motion right now in Robert's Rules. I stopped literally what I was doing. I'm clarifying that. **P. Moser:** OK. In the meantime, my other question is, if this passes I want to have the right to go back and not withdraw my motion. **Newkirk:** OK. **P. Moser:** That's only fair. **Newkirk:** I know. You're right. I'm trying to find what requires 2/3 here. **Perkins:** It requires a majority vote, from what I'm reading. **Newkirk:** It was a pre-noticed motion. Pre-noticed motions can be amended with a majority vote. That's my understanding. Do you agree with that, Shelly? **Perkins:** Yes, I agree that once it is seconded and the amendment passes, then it requires only a majority vote for adoption and a majority vote to accept the amendment.

**Cao:** I just would like to speak again for my region, which is China. Basically, COVID-19 is pretty much gone here. We can put on shows as normal. The only thing that we're trying to do is trying to get the NGO filings going. Everybody is working really hard about it. So, Ning Zhi, one of the persons who is working on it, in order to make progress there are two shows lined up and we are expecting much more to come. Right now we're only in October. Normally in China we don't put on shows until September, so we probably missed one or one and a half months, so that's it. We still have the rest of the season, so I don't think it is fair for the people here, if we can put on shows. We can put on maybe even more than one show every week starting in November or in that time frame. To take away all the divisional wins from our division or region, that would be very discouraging for all the people here, including people who are putting on shows, as well as all the exhibitors who are looking forward to the CFA shows and try to show their kittens and what-not. **Newkirk:** Melanie, you and Kenny made this motion. Do you want to strike out the division awards and division, taking into consideration what Gavin has said? They are apparently having no restrictions there. It will hurt our business model in China if we don't allow them to have divisional wins. **Currle:** I voted against changing it at all. Is that procedural problem for me, at least giving a second? **Newkirk:** No. **Currle:** OK. The other thing is, I understand that, as I said before, other areas may not be able to do that, but we're taking away the right of any area that may or may not open up, including China. It will have an adverse effect, because again you're taking incentive away from the exhibitor, you're reducing the amount of incentives for people to even go to a show once they attain a certain level. I just think it's wrong to take this away from the clubs, who have the ability. Yeah, we all agree it's not going to be a normal year. It hasn't been a normal year – it's been a horrible year – but the less we change things, I think the better off we're going to be. We're not doing national breed wins or national wins this year. I think that was plenty, but to take away everything else, including the Agility awards, premiership – can you imagine how small premiership class is going to be? It's

unbelievable. This first show we have back in our region, they have top 15 in every category. I'm sure that people will not be happy if we take everything away from them.

**Krzanowski:** I just wanted to clarify the issue of the split season kittens and when those awards would be credited. I'm reading from the show rules: *For a kitten award, the kitten will be credited with the points from its highest 40 individual rings earned as a kitten to be credited in the show year in which its last full weekend of kitten eligibility falls.* So, it's when the kitten turns 8 months, not prior. Even if the kitten is not shown in the second part of the split season, it still cannot get an award in the first part. It would have to be in the second part. **Newkirk:** Thank you for clarifying that, Carol. **Calhoun:** OK, there doesn't seem to be a really good answer here, to this situation, but is it a possibility – and I know this is inconsistent with what Carol just said, but say the kitten that – let's use the example of the kitten that got 1,100 points or 1,000 points in 2019-2020. Give it a regional win in 2019-2020 because it wasn't possible for it to get any more points the next season because there were no shows. That would alleviate the need to open up everything in 2021 just for kittens. A split season kitten can't get any more points in that year. So, it might mean that in all fairness, if you had top 25 it might mean for 2019-2020, it might mean that you may have more than 25, because you don't want to take away anything from anybody. You just want to add to it. I'm not sure if that's an option. **Roy:** I don't think what Kathy had to say would work because we've already posted all the wins for 2019-2020 and given a lot of regions have already given out awards. **Morgan:** I actually like Kathy's idea. I don't know procedurally how we get it done. Yes, you may have already awarded them, but I would think that probably, much like we're talking about for the annual that you're going to give some recognition to people when we do start things back up, and so those cats could be added in and at least they would have the titles that they so justly earned. Again, Darrell, procedurally I don't know how to get this done, but really what I'm trying to accomplish is, any cat that would have been eligible under the points that would have made a regional last year, so any split season kitten that had earned at least those points or more, at least give the title that they would have earned. So, I don't know how to make that happen but Kathy's idea seems a little closer to it. We could award them for this season at the same time, I don't know, but there has to be a way to fix this. This just isn't right for those kittens that actually went out there and held their own. **Tartaglia:** If we take the split season kittens and we score them for 2019-2020, what will that do to kittens that have already placed? Are we going to be knocking kittens down, so that somebody who was 20<sup>th</sup>, now another kitten comes in and could change the placement? I think that can happen. We haven't looked at it, but that's certainly a possibility. **Newkirk:** We did add additional placements last year, if you will remember, due to the shut-down of the shows. **Tartaglia:** It's just that it's changing placements for kittens that have already placed. **Newkirk:** I understand. The only thing you can do is say that we will give one – I don't know how many there are that would be affected by this, but you could say, if in Region 1 it's only that one kitten, we can amend it to say or we can go back and say we're going to give 26 awards for that year, and any split season kitten will be at the end of the line, so they would be 26<sup>th</sup> best but they would get that Regional Win title. That's the only way I see that you could fix it. **DelaBar:** I was basically going to say, it's the title people want, not particularly the placement. Melanie, you obviously have a better handle on the points in my region, because I wasn't director for last show season so I don't know how the points fell. Be that as it may, if there was a kitten in my region that had more points than whatever kitten ended up being 25<sup>th</sup>, then it should be recognized but it can be an additional award, not throw another [inaudible]. **Calhoun:** OK, so what about this? Using the kitten example that has 1,000 points or 1,100 or whatever. Let's say if we didn't

change anything, that kitten would have been #4 in the way the kittens line up for awards. Could you not just have two #4? I don't want to take anything away from a kitten. I don't want to bump anybody down. I don't know as I really want to put that kitten that got that many points at the end. Couldn't you say, you had two that came in at whatever number it was? I know it's kind of out there, but at least it gives every kitten that earned that many points an award. **Roy:** I really like that idea that Kathy just presented. If the points are close enough, wherever it would fit in it would be the same number as the number of the kitten that got the award. So, we might have two #2's and two #10's and two #15's but it would resolve the situation. **Byrd:** I just quickly looked through the ePoints and for split season kittens that received over 500 points, there are four – one in Region 1, one in Region 5, one in Region 7 and one in Region 9 – so I don't think it's a huge deal. I like the idea of just repeating the placement.

**Eigenhauser:** This solves one of the problems that has been raised, dealing with the split season kittens. The other problem that has been raised is, some of the parts of the ID are open already and want to have awards. So, there's a lot of moving parts to trying to fix this motion on the fly. Maybe the thing to do is table it until tomorrow so we can get some suggestions about what to do about, for example, ID awards, what to do about split season kittens. Otherwise, I think we're trying to fix too many problems at once and it's just getting more and more confusing. **Newkirk:** I agree. Can I have a motion to table? **Eigenhauser:** I'll move to table. **Morgan:** I will second. **Newkirk:** Is there any discussion on tabling this and bringing it back for Unfinished Business tomorrow as a Special Order? **P. Moser:** I don't know what you guys are doing, but it's totally confusing. I withdrew my motion because we passed this. Now, I don't know what you guys are going to do, but I want my motion back up. **Newkirk:** Let's see what they come up with tomorrow, Pam, and then if they pass something that you don't like, then you can bring your motion up then. **P. Moser:** OK. **Newkirk:** Just remind me. **P. Moser:** Don't worry. **Newkirk:** I know, I know. You never forget. Can we move on now? Please take into consideration, Melanie and Kenny and Pam and whoever else is going to be in on coming up with whatever motion you want to do here – the divisional wins – because as Gavin stated, China is open, they're going to have three shows and it's really not fair to cut them out when they don't have the COVID issues that we are still having here in the U.S. and across Europe and in Japan. **Eigenhauser:** I don't think we voted on the motion to table. **Newkirk:** You're right, George. Everybody in favor of tabling this motion, raise your hand.

**Newkirk** called the motion. **Motion [to table] Carried.** P. Moser abstained.

**Newkirk:** Everyone is yes, Pam Moser is abstaining. You got that, Rachel? **Anger:** I do. **Perkins:** Darrell, I also think that you have to concede that Pam's motion, she withdrew her withdraw, which means it's still on today's agenda. **Newkirk:** It's actually for tomorrow. **Perkins:** Oh, it's already for tomorrow? **Newkirk:** We moved it to today, but we'll have to reinstate it tomorrow, if it needs to be reinstated. **Perkins:** OK.

\* \* \* \* \*

[from Sunday] **Newkirk:** OK Rachel, I think our next order of business is dealing with the tabled motion for regional wins. **Anger:** #22. **Newkirk:** Sort of, yeah. **Anger:** Originally #22. **Newkirk:** Yeah, originally #22. So Melanie? **Morgan:** Yes. We had two issues that we were looking at that we wanted to address. We did not disagree with the motion that was passed

about all the regional wins, but we were concerned about the split season kittens that might have really earned the title of RW already, and then our International Division Advisor brought up the fact that China is actually opening up, so we were concerned about divisions. It was easy to address the division issue, because in Pam's first motion she purposely did not mention divisions, which then by definition allows them to have their regional wins. So, the proposed motion now reads:

1. *Regional Awards, for all regions, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021 with the exception of split season kittens from the 2019-2020 season who have earned enough points to meet or exceed the points earned by the 25th Best Kitten in the 2019-2020 season. The split season kittens will be awarded the RW title in their region for the 2019-2020 season. The split season kittens will be given the placement based off where the points earned would place them in the 2019-2020 rankings. There will be no change in ranking for 2019-2020 kittens.*

**Morgan:** There are only three kittens that are affected by this, by the way. I think I sent those all to the board list earlier. I think it was Regions 1, 9 and 5. **Newkirk:** We got that, thank you Melanie for sending those out. This basically, we reconsidered the motion that was passed, so this is a different motion or an amended motion. I will consider this as an amendment. It's actually replacing it, but let's just treat it as an amendment. I need a motion. **Calhoun:** Kathy moves. **Eigenhauser:** George seconds. **Newkirk:** Thank you, and thank you George. So, it's open for debate.

**Currle:** I'm totally against any restrictions. Having said that, our regions – yeah, you made China I guess a special exception in the International Divisions, but again you are hurting our clubs. Not allowing them to have the option to at least hold a competition to allow our cats after they grand to continue to be shown potentially is going to be a big loss of income for shows that can go on in different areas of the United States and the other regions. I understand the reasoning why this was brought up by the Region 9 Director. It's a totally different ball game over there in Europe. I know that we have four shows planned in my region. Let me go ahead and just tell you, I had a conversation with Cotton States last night after we tabled this. They have told me flat out that they have some out-of-state people that are waiting for a decision on this. If we don't allow at least the very option for each region to decide on their own whether or not they want to hold regional awards, they will pull their entries. I am talking about more than kittens. They have 8 grands entered now, as far as I recall. There may be more than that, but what is the incentive for grand champions to go to a show? It makes no sense to me. Quite frankly, I'm kind of offended that the board as a whole – no offense to anybody personally – I would rather ask my clubs what they want to do, rather than have the board decide for them. We have already taken away national wins, national breed wins. I fully support that, given the crisis that we're in, but we are into a period of time that we may open up, but let the regions decide. Let our clubs decide. The last time I checked the constitution, the clubs are our members. I want my club to decide. I don't want to board to cut our nose off to spite our face. It makes no sense to me. I want our clubs to decide that. I want my region clubs to decide that. They may decide not to do it, but it's going to be lost income. People, once they grand those cats, what is their incentive to come back to the next show? **Newkirk:** Thank you, Kenny. Like Kenny, I also got emails from Cotton States last night. I also got emails from big Houston. They are very concerned about this. **Anger:** I agree with most of the things Kenny said. In fact, I had them on

my list to speak on. I am going to vote no for this, even though if we're stuck with no regional awards I do support this. But, yesterday I spoke about being against regional awards and in favor of a third option, which we don't have, so I voted no for this. I'm going to vote no for it again, because just like the issue that we had about changing the qualifying rings [in the Show Rules report], I think this is a decision our constituents should be making. Everything that I am hearing from them is, even if it's a reduced award it is going to be understood this was a special show season. People want something to work towards. I'm still voting no. I'm keeping my no vote intact. Even though I support this [split season kitten] change, it will be a no vote unilaterally for doing away with regional awards. Thank you.

**Eigenhauser:** I just want to remind everybody that there were two motions that we passed on this subject yesterday. We reconsidered one of them. The first motion yesterday, the one we are reconsidering, was whether to do away with the regional awards. The second motion we passed yesterday, which we did not vote to reconsider, is that in lieu of regional awards the regions could do their own individual recognition, if they choose to do so. As far as I'm concerned, that motion is still intact so if this motion passes, it will not bar the regions from holding some sort of awards or recognition for cats in the region, if they choose to do so. The only question is, do they get an RW after their name or not? Because of the extraordinary circumstances we're under, the choice is really an RW with an asterisk or no RW at all. In this instance, I think it would be better for the purpose of protecting the sensibilities of people who have earned RWs in other seasons, that rather than an RW with an asterisk, let the regions do their own awards like we voted yesterday, but not attach the RW title to it. **DelaBar:** The reason this is at the board, Kenny, is because it's in the show rules. Only the board can change the show rules during a show season. The constitution gives that ability to the board, to make and enforce these show rules. The clubs cannot vote to unilaterally say, yes, we're going to do regional awards and get the RW or not. That's why I originally brought this to the attorney, to see what was going to happen. There's no parity among the regions. As a matter of fact, your region and Region 6 have the highest rates of COVID in the United States. Your highest state is Georgia, where you are going to be having the Cotton States show, right there in the Atlanta area. That is my concern, is to make sure that we have a cat fancy in May to come back to, to be careful with our people. I guess I'm sort of gob smacked. I thought we used to do this to compare our breeding programs with other people's breeding programs when we went to shows. I also thought we did this for fun. I have gone to FIFe shows or have carried cats for judging. I am not allowed to have my name on a cat in FIFe. If I did, I would be brought up against the disciplinary commission and barred forever being in that association, because I happen to be a CFA judge. Be that as it may, it's fun. I think we need to rethink our motivation behind what we're doing with these awards. I'm concerned about no parity. I'm concerned about dumbing down the title. I guess that's it, before I go any further. **Perkins:** Earlier – I'm weighing in only because George stated that there was a second motion that had been passed, but his paraphrase of that motion I don't think matched exactly what the motion was that was passed. The second motion that was passed was, *Individual regions/divisions may establish a separate system of recognition for their regions for 2020-2021; however, there will be no permanent titles resulting from these special awards.* I wanted to point out that if you allowed regional awards to continue at the discretion of the region, that doesn't stop the regions who choose not to have them from having special recognition, so there is symbiosis between the second motion that was already passed, and then whatever you choose to do with this first motion that's under reconsideration. **Newkirk:** Thank you Shelly. **B. Moser:** I'm kind of feeding off what Pam said. I'm all for regional awards and

I'm all for national awards and everything, but this year is totally different. I don't know how many kittens are entered at Cotton States, but how many of those kittens are going for regional awards? A lot of people go to shows to hopefully make a final here and there. It seems like all the time we are always looking for the people to get awards. They want regional awards and national awards. The majority of the people don't get regional and national awards. I honor those people that work that hard and get those awards. I have nothing against them, but the truth of the matter is that there's very few people – if you talk about kittens, championship and premiership – that are actually out there trying to get a regional or national award.

**Curre:** I understand your concerns, Pam, but again, the board has the responsibility to change show rules, but I'm bringing it up on behalf of my clubs. As I said, you're looking for parity. How is life parity? We cannot create that. We need to be able to service our people, and our people really need to be support in this. We have already heard from several clubs that have concerns. You're going to cut their potential income off because they can't even be recognized. We can set minimums, which could also serve as an incentive for clubs to have shows. There are events that are going on. The last time I saw, major league baseball is having their games right now to determine a world series winner, but we can't have anything. All these other organizations, they are sending out national wins. We have already taken away the national wins, now you want to take away the next level and just become a grand champion factory? To me, that's really going to hurt our clubs. I really don't want to experiment to make sure that I'm right or I'm wrong. I think we need to leave it in the hands of each of the regions, regardless of the rules as far as scoring is concerned. We have already decided beforehand that we were going to allow regional wins to come up. Now we have people that are going to pull their cats from a show that has already been established and already filled. I think that's the wrong thing to do.

**Roy:** Last night after we had finished, I had several emails and text messages from people in my region that were really upset that we were eliminating any regional award, so my question is more for Shelly. Can we, as a board, set a point minimum just for this year, so that all regions are on the same baseline? **Perkins:** I'm sorry, can you restate the question for me? **Roy:** Can we as a board set a minimum point limit for this year, to award regional wins that would make it equal amongst all the regions? **Newkirk:** System wide. **Roy:** System wide, thank you. **Perkins:** As I already briefed the board, the board is in charge of the show rules, and the show rules set minimums for all different kinds of things. So, I don't see that as a concern. The board can do that, as long as it's a show rule. That's my position. **Newkirk:** Thank you Shelly.

**Calhoun:** I wonder if we can split the – see, there's two decisions here in this motion, and maybe that's where it's adding complexity. Can we just have a motion that addresses the split season kittens for 2019-2020? Those kittens cannot enter any more points. They would have aged out before shows started the next season, so for those kittens that have qualified for a regional win based on the points that they were awarded in 2019-2020, can they be awarded placement and a regular regional win title for the 2019-2020 season? That addresses the split kittens, and have a separate discussion about what we do relative to regional awards for 2020-2021. **Newkirk:** Kathy, you make a valid point. What we can do is, this is basically a reconsideration of not having national [*sic*, regional] wins and then a motion about awarding split season kittens. So, the parliamentary procedure to do that is to divide the question into two separate issues. So, if somebody wants to make that motion, we will have what is under reconsideration as a separate motion. This motion would be a separate motion. Shelly, am I



correct we can divide the question? **Perkins:** You can. You did say national awards. It's regional awards that we're talking about. **Newkirk:** Yeah, OK sorry. So, I need a motion to divide the question. **Calhoun:** May I make the motion? **Newkirk:** Yes. **Calhoun:** I make the motion. **Mastin:** Rich will second.

**Newkirk:** So, the motion up for debate is to divide the question. If you've got a comment to make about dividing the question, keep your hand up; otherwise, take your hand down. **P. Moser:** I'm confused. We brought back this motion because of the split season kittens, but there was never any reconsideration on the motion for doing away with the regional wins. We had already voted that we weren't going to have regional wins. **Newkirk:** That's under consideration and this was brought up as an amendment. We're actually splitting it and dividing it. **McCullough:** The other one was an amendment, too. **Newkirk:** Steve, it was a reconsideration. **McCullough:** Oh, sorry. **Newkirk:** So that motion is still on the table, OK? This basically was amending not having that. It's still on the table. This is just superseding it because we treated this as an amendment. Now, Kathy wants to split it into two questions. The parliamentary rule is to divide the question, so we make it two voting issues.<sup>1</sup> **P. Moser:** I'm sorry, I just don't understand it. I mean, did we vote yesterday to do away, to reconsider not having regional awards period? **Newkirk:** It was reconsidered and then tabled. It's still an active issue. Once we get this issue resolved, then we will go back and do the reconsideration on whether we're going to do away with regional awards or not. **P. Moser:** Because the way I heard it yesterday was, is that we were going to come back and that Kenny had wanted to reconsider because he wanted all kitten wins to be done and to have the split season kittens, so there was never anything about all of them. I'm just confused. I'm sorry, I'm not trying to be difficult, I'm confused. **Newkirk:** I understand, and here is the problem. When people start adding in issues that aren't amendments to motions and then everybody gets confused. The motion that we passed was that we would not allow regional or divisional wins, OK? That was reconsidered, then all this discussion came in about it only applies to kittens or whatever. Nothing was resolved and that's why it was sent to Melanie to bring back a proposal, OK? That was tabled. The reconsider was tabled, so that motion is still active. We consider this as an amendment. Kathy thinks it should be voted on in two things, so the correct procedure is to divide the question. This will be handled as a motion and we'll dispose of it, then we will go back and do the reconsideration on what we're going to do with regional wins. I don't know how to explain it any better than that. **P. Moser:** That's fine, thank you. **Newkirk:** So, we need to vote on dividing the question. If you are in favor of dividing the question, please raise your hand. **Currle:** Darrell, did you open this for discussion? **Newkirk:** Kenny, I just called the motion to divide the question. That's what we're voting on. Once that's done, then we will open up discussion on this issue on the screen. **Currle:** Thank you. **Newkirk:** So if you're in favor of dividing the question, please raise your hand.

**Newkirk** called the motion [to divide the question]. **Motion Carried.** P. Moser abstained.

**Newkirk:** So, the yes votes are Kenny Currle, Melanie Morgan, Pam DelaBar, Rich Mastin, Cyndy Byrd, Cathy Dunham, George Eigenhauser, Rachel Anger, Sharon Roy, Carol

---

<sup>1</sup> A motion for division of a question is used to split a motion into separate motions which are debated and voted on separately.

Krzanowski, Steve McCullough, Kathy Calhoun, Brian Moser, John Colilla, Hayata-san. If you're opposed to dividing the question, please raise your hand. I see no no votes. Abstentions? **P. Moser:** Pam. **Newkirk:** OK, Pam is an abstention. Kenny, I didn't see you or Melanie vote. **Currle:** I voted yes to divide the question. **Newkirk:** OK, well your hand was not up on the screen that I have. **Currle:** It was, you just missed it. **Morgan:** Mine was up. **Newkirk:** Rachel, will you announce the vote on the motion to divide the question? **Anger:** 15 yes, 1 abstention. **Newkirk:** OK, thank you so much. So, the question is divided.

**Newkirk:** So, we will handle this portion first; that is, whether we're going to award the split season kittens a regional title for the 2019-2020 show season. **Morgan:** Help me Darrell. This whole procedure stuff confuses me. So, when I brought this up yesterday, I didn't want to even bring up the whole regional thing that we had already voted on, so I just wanted to make sure that those three regional kittens got what they had earned. Is there something procedurally that I should have done? I don't know why we're even reconsidering. **Newkirk:** Because we passed the motion, alright? **Morgan:** I just want to make sure that I fix it. I feel like, yeah. **Newkirk:** You didn't do anything wrong. What you did was correct. You brought back basically an amendment to a tabled motion that we reconsidered, and so right now regional wins stand because we have not disposed of that motion. So, right now we are considering, are we going to give those three kittens a regional win title on the 2019-2020 show season? That's what this motion is all about. So you didn't do anything wrong. **Currle:** I never made a motion just for clarification that kittens should be scored, it was in a discussion. Now, let me just say this. I don't think we should touch regional awards at all. If anything, may perhaps an amendment to make it optional for people, or regions and areas, as to whether or not they can have regional awards. That way, we've changed nothing at this point. Now, this is exactly going to impact our regions and our areas worldwide. So, I understand why we're splitting this out and what have you, but again you are creating a – I understand it's not an even playing field, but it's just as harmful to those areas that can open up. **Newkirk:** But Kenny, all we're talking about right now is, are we going to give these three kittens a regional win title? That's what the debate should be. That's what's germane for this debate. Are we going to award these three kittens a regional win title, OK? That's what we're debating here. **Anger:** Just for clarity, without confusing that issue, can you state what the second part of the motion will be, just so we're all sure. **Newkirk:** Well, it's two questions. **Anger:** Yes, the second question then. **Newkirk:** The second question, we will go back to the motion that we tabled. We passed the motion that no regional or division win titles for 2020-2021 would be awarded. That was the motion. It was reconsidered. Several options were brought up – Kenny's, about giving the kittens thing, but nothing was amended. **Anger:** Understood, understood, but – **Newkirk:** That was tabled after we reconsidered it. **Anger:** Understood. I just wanted to be 100% clear that everyone understands the two parts of this. **Newkirk:** Yes, that's correct. Right now, our debate is on those three kittens that are split season kittens. Should they be given a regional win title for 2019-2020?

**Calhoun:** Just for simplification, and I don't know if this is correct, but if you look at the action item that's on the screen and you delete everything until you get to "split" – *split season kittens from the 2019-2020 season* – that's the essence of what we're voting on, right? **Newkirk:** That's correct. **Calhoun:** That's it. **Newkirk:** Those three kittens. That's all this motion is. **Calhoun:** Thank you. **Eigenhauser:** Here's my problem. If we vote on the split season kittens first and say we're going to score them in 2019-2020, and then the motion to eliminate regional awards for 2020-2021 fails, shouldn't the split season kittens have been scored in this show

season? **Newkirk:** No, that's not what they said when this – **Eigenhauser:** I'm just saying, from my standpoint, if we're going to give those kittens an award, I would prefer the award be in this show season, rather than in the previous show season, but if we don't do regional awards for this show season, then I would be amenable to giving it to them in the previous show season. That's my conundrum is, I'm being asked to vote on what year to put the kittens in before we decide whether we're having regional awards this year or not. If we're having regional awards this year, I would vote to put them in this year. If we're not having regional awards this year, I would vote to put them in last year. So, I have a real hard time voting on what I see as the second part of the motion first. **Newkirk:** So, you're OK with giving a kitten a regional win in a year where they didn't score one point? **Eigenhauser:** Because that's what our show rules normally say. When you're eligible for entry, that's when your eligibility ends. **Newkirk:** And we're amending the show rules by all of these motions. **Eigenhauser:** Right. I'm just saying, from my way of thinking, if the split season kittens are going to get an award, my preference would be the current show season. My second choice would be the previous show season. **Newkirk:** Here's what you can do, George. If we vote and this passes, and we go back and do the original motion, you can do a reconsideration on this and we can move them to the show season you think they should be competing in. Is that agreeable? **Eigenhauser:** It is what it is. **Calhoun:** I can understand what George is saying, but the number of points that those kittens were able to accumulate in 2019-2020 would clearly – just so you all know – if we do regional awards in 2020-2021, those kittens are likely to be best in their region because they had a huge advantage because of the year they were able to compete in. I get it that that's how the rule is, I get it with the split season kittens – that's the way the rule is – but just for us to have that point of view that those kittens accumulated a lot of points and they are likely to be best in their regions. **Newkirk:** Allene, would you bring Monte Phillips in, because he is the show rules guru. He made a comment in the chat and I would like for him to address the board. **Tartaglia:** OK. He is in. **Newkirk:** Thank you, Monte. Can you address this? You made a note in the chat. **Phillips:** The first point I wanted to make – and I think George has already made this, too – we're talking about awards that would be given to kittens in the 2019-2020 show season, not the current show season, if we pass what you're talking about right now. I also agree that George wanted to reconsider and move them to this season if we decide to keep regional wins. That would make more sense, too. **Newkirk:** Anything else, Monte? **Phillips:** Not right now. **Newkirk:** OK, thank you. Just stay on, just in case we need you again. **Krzanowski:** I have to agree with George. I think the order of these two motions should be reversed. We need to first decide, are we doing regional awards this year or not, and then based on that decision we determine what to do with the split season kittens. **Newkirk:** OK then, somebody make a motion to table this and we will take up the first order of the question. **Anger:** Rachel moves to table this. **Krzanowski:** Second. **Newkirk:** So, this motion is to be tabled and Allene, can you put up the first motion that we're reconsidering that was passed yesterday? One of two that Pam DelaBar presented. **Anger:** Do we need to vote on the tabling? **Eigenhauser:** Wait. While she is looking it up, can we vote on the motion to table? **Newkirk:** Yes, thank you George. Is there anybody opposed to tabling this motion? Seeing no objections, the motion is tabled. We will take up the first part of the divided question and then we'll take from the table this motion, after the other one is disposed of.

**The motion [to table] is ratified by unanimous consent.**

**Newkirk:** So, the action item – Rachel, can you go back? Because we amended this motion before we passed it. **Anger:** Back to yesterday? **Newkirk:** Yes. **Anger:** Can you make

the screen a little bit bigger or move up the action item, Allene? **Tartaglia:** Which action item do you want? **Anger:** #1. **Newkirk:** We want 1. At the bottom of the screen is 1. That's the one we're talking about. **Anger:** Yesterday's would have been: *Regional and Divisional Awards, for all regions and divisions, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021.* **Newkirk:** Hang on Allene. This is Melanie's motion. I want yesterday's motion. **Tartaglia:** OK, I've got it right here. **Newkirk:** There were two motions, 1 and 2, from Pam. **Tartaglia:** Let me just get that one. Hold on one second. **Phillips:** It should be item #22. There you go. **Anger:** *Regional and Divisional Awards, for all regions and divisions, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021.* **Newkirk:** So that was passed, and then we had a successful motion to reconsider. We couldn't come to a final resolution, so it was tabled. So, part 2 of the question is what Melanie presented that we started off with. So now, this is open for debate. **Eigenhauser:** I think we've gotten enough feedback from China that we should take *Divisional* out and just make it the regional awards. **Newkirk:** OK, so you are making that an amendment? **Eigenhauser:** Yes, sir. **Newkirk:** OK. George has moved that we strike *Divisional*. **Morgan:** Melanie will second.

**Newkirk:** Any discussion on George's amendment? Is there any objection to striking *Divisional* after regional? Hearing no objection, *Divisional* is stricken from the motion. So Rachel, will you now read what the amended motion is? **Anger:** I think we're back to the original motion. **Eigenhauser:** I think you're right. **Anger:** *Regional Awards, for all regions, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021.* I will make my comment. Please vote no, so that these guys can have something this show season. **Newkirk:** OK, thank you Rachel. **Phillips:** Just a point, even if you vote yes, they have something this show season, because regions can have their own awards. **Newkirk:** But not official. **Phillips:** They just wouldn't carry the RW title. **P. Moser:** I think that Pam DelaBar made some excellent comments. I totally agree with those. The comparison of the cat fancy to the NBA or any of the professional sports is, I mean, they test daily for COVID. There's no comparison there. **Dunham:** I totally agree with Kenny Curre on this. Our clubs have the right to weigh in on this. The poll that I did in our region was overwhelming, that they wanted the RW title as something to work towards. I received as many texts and emails last night as I'm sure the other regional directors did. They are just not in favor of doing away with this, so I will vote no on this. **Curre:** I'll keep this brief. I would like to allow us to continue with regional awards as an option and set a point minimum where we can have shows and I think that we need not to lose sight of who elected us to office. I want to give them the option. **DelaBar:** We don't have parity among our regions. We don't have parity within our regions. How can we expect to give regional awards when we do not have the ability to access the shows in our own regions? That is what originally brought this all to my attention, is that there are several regions that also include Canada, there's no crossing the borders. Region 3 actually includes Mexico, and we know how that war goes. It doesn't even have the basic elements of any parity whatsoever. That is my concern and I think that it's an empty RW if you get it when we have such uneven access to get to our shows. **Curre:** Again, I understand Ms. DelaBar's concern, but I'm a much different region than Europe. Again, I think that if we leave it alone or at least create an option for regions, she can do what she wants. I would like to allow my clubs to decide on what they want. I don't want any other regional director or any other board member – not that I don't respect your opinions on this issue – I would rather have my clubs within my region make that decision. **Anger:** Even in the best of times, we have never had a level playing field. It depends on where you live. If you live within a mile of a border, that's going to have a great impact on your ability to get a regional win. These are COVID times, so we are tying people's arms and legs behind their backs and still expecting them to show, so I think

the people who are going out of their way to show during these very difficult times should deserve something. We have taken away national awards. That would have been completely unfair, but let's give them something to work for. **Roy:** I have to say that I have very mixed feelings, because I have a whole, large area of my region that can't show. However, I also know that I have a large area that can show and can easily go to Region 4 and Region 7, so I kind of have to support what Kenny said, even though it goes against my personal grain. **Mastin:** This question is for Shelly. Does the board have the right to give the regions the option, or is it one or the other? **Perkins:** Give me 30 seconds to look up the research that I sent the board, because I just want to look at one of the wordings on that. I'm pretty sure that the board can give the option to the regions. Nothing that I recall from my research said that any awards have to be 100% uniform. In fact they're not because the regional awards are based on the points secured in that region, but I am going to glance at my work and I'll get back to you. **DelaBar:** This is not about Region 9, this is about CFA. This is about Regions 1-7. Region 8, which has had a show with 50-some odd cats. We need to have some integrity to our awards. That's when I see the reduction of more and more qualifications to get these permanent awards, that is my concern and it's a CFA concern. What can exhibitors go for, if they can't go for a breed win, a national win or a regional win? They can go for grand champions, grand premiers, grands of distinction, distinguished merit. I think those are very important awards and should not be awards, but this is not just a Region 9 question. This is a CFA question. I'm going to have shows. I'm going to have three in the next eight weeks, but this is a highly concern that we're going to be putting people in danger. **Calhoun:** Wasn't part of the rationale of not having national wins his year due to the parity issue that we weren't going to have shows broadly, and so folks were disadvantaged, depending on where they live. So, we decided not to do national wins. I just need some clarification here on this regional win discussion. You can go – say if someone went to one of the big shows, they can use all of those points so long as it's in Regions 1-7 in their region, and accumulate a lot of points. What happens if there's no show in their region? **Currle:** That's why we have minimums. **Calhoun:** I thought you had to show within your region. Is that going away, that you have to show within your region? **Newkirk:** I believe that's part of the show rules. **Phillips:** Two things. First of all, a cat can earn points in any region, so that's OK. I believe – I'm going back to look real quick to see if you already did that. **Newkirk:** Somebody just put in the chat that we waived that. **Phillips:** Yes. *Regional/Divisional Awards Scoring – Exhibiting in the region of final assignment shall be waived.* You've already passed that. **Newkirk:** Alright, thank you. **Calhoun:** Does that apply to next year, too, or do we have to address that? **Newkirk:** This current season, right Monte? **Phillips:** Correct. **Newkirk:** The current season.

**Newkirk:** Shelly, you wanted to address Rich's question? **Perkins:** I do. When I looked and was asked to brief this issue, I looked at the constitution first, which said that the show rules and show standards would be set by the board. Then I looked at the show rules. The show rules specifically say that the cats are going to be able to compete on a national, regional and divisional level. So, the current show rules expect that the cats will be able to compete on a regional level, and so when I'm looking at your current show rules, it seems to me that it lends credence that a cat should be able to compete regionally, whatever is happening in that region, and it isn't against other cats, per se. Those regional awards are designed to be specific to that region. Then I looked further and I see that the regional awards are at the discretion, that some regional awards are in your show rules already at the discretion of the region, such as agility awards. It could be that the region says, "we're not even going to give agility awards." That creates an unfair situation, you might presume, on agility awards because not all regions are

offering them, but the point is that the regions can do that. So, I think that you can specifically – I think the question was, can the region have the right to determine whether or not to give regional awards. I think the answer is yes, because a precedent has been set in the agility awards. So, the regional award, when that title goes onto a certificate, is saying what happened with them in their region, and so to me it dovetails nicely to simply say that the only show rule you would have changed to give the regions the ability to give their own regional awards is the same note that you have already in the show rules that says, *Regional/divisional/Hawaii agility awards are at the discretion of the regional director, but will go no more than 10 deep in any cat earning the award*, etc., is simply to add another sentence to that that says that all regional awards are at the discretion of the regional director for this show season. That is how you would perhaps get to the place where people are trying to get. In any event, there is precedent to leave awards up to the region itself. This is about the region. The regional awards are specific to that region. That's my comments about what I see. **Newkirk:** Rich, does that answer your question? **Mastin:** Yes it does, but it may lead me to some other questions that may be of concern, so I just need a little time to think through this and get some responses from Shelly and others, maybe Monte and George. So, if I'm from Region 4 and our region is not going to recognize regional wins and I decide to go to Regions 1 and 6 and 7, and I have enough points to receive a regional award and all three of those regions did agree to have regional awards, where do I claim my regional win? **Eigenhauser:** Your region of residence. **Mastin:** OK, but my region of residence is not going to accept regional wins. **Eigenhauser:** Then you're going to get a lot of phony transfers and co-owners. **Phillips:** Welcome to region shopping. You'll need a neutral owner in Region 6 or whatever region, and then you'll claim it there. **Mastin:** I'm fine with that. I'm not fine with all the transfers and that, I'm fine knowing in advance that if I'm from Region 4 or whatever region – could be Region 2, Region 9 – that made a determination they are not going to recognize regional wins in advance before I begin my show season. What I want to avoid is any liability issues that CFA may have from people out there showing saying, "hey, nobody told me that I wasn't going to earn my regional win, when it says right in the constitution that my cat is going to be scored for a regional win." So, if that's the direction this is going to go – and I sense it could come down to giving the regions the right, based on what Kenny was saying and I believe that's what Pam Moser's upcoming motion may be – we need to make this extremely clear on what each region is going to do well in advance. **Perkins:** The constitution does not state that regional awards are going to be given. The constitution says that *the Executive Board shall, from time to time, establish show rules and show standards*, and then it says *the CFA sponsored awards program will include procedures, policies and awards to be listed as part of those show rules*. That's all the constitution says. It doesn't say that there is going to be a regional award. The show rules, which is completely under the purview of this board, is what sets forth are we going to have regional awards or not, do the regions get to have the right to make the decision whether to have them or not, so it's all contained within the show rules themselves. That's my only comment. **Newkirk:** I think that we did away with national wins. Why would it be any different to disallow regional wins? It's an award. It's in the show rules, and the board has the right to amend those show rules. Is that correct? **Perkins:** That's correct. There's nothing stopping you from making that decision, if that's what the board wants. **Newkirk:** Sure, I understand that. **Eigenhauser:** I just want to agree with something that Rich mentioned in passing; that is, if we do decide to make it optional for the regions this year, I would want that election to be as early as possible so people know when they're showing whether there are going to be awards or not. I don't want people making the decision in April. **Newkirk:** Thank you

George. I think we just about beat this to death. Anyone have any closing statements before we vote on this motion?

**Newkirk:** Rachel, will you please read the motion again. I want everybody to fully understand what we're voting for here. **Anger:** I did have one closing comment. The motion on its face doesn't talk about it being discretionary. If we decide to do that, that would be a different motion. So, we're voting on exactly what it says: *Regional Awards, for all regions, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021.* **Newkirk:** Did you have an additional comment to make? **Anger:** That was it, that we're not voting on the discretionary nature of that rule, we're just voting on its face right now. **Newkirk:** Alright, let's vote on this. All those in favor of the motion that the Secretary just read to us, please raise your hand. This will suspend all regional awards for this current show season if you vote yes for this. I want you to understand what you're voting for. **P. Moser:** Oh, I'm sorry. This will suspend, did you say? **Newkirk:** That's what the motion is, Pam. *Regional Awards, for all regions, will be suspended* basically for the current show season. There will be no regional wins if this motion passes. OK, everybody clear? **P. Moser:** Thank you. **Newkirk:** You're welcome.

**Newkirk** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Currle, Anger, Dunham, Krzanowski, Byrd and Mastin voting no. Roy abstained.

**Newkirk:** The yes votes are George Eigenhauser, Brian Moser, Pam DelaBar, Melanie Morgan, John Colilla, Kathy Calhoun, Pam Moser, Yukiko Hayata and Steve McCullough. All those opposed to the motion, please raise your hand. **Calhoun:** Darrell, I'm not a no. My hand was up and it was by error. **Newkirk:** Identify yourself. **Calhoun:** Kathy Calhoun. **Newkirk:** So, are you a no vote then? **Calhoun:** No, I'm voting for no regional awards. **Newkirk:** So, you are voting for the motion, which will suspend. **Calhoun:** I was a yes. **Newkirk:** OK, so you are a yes vote. You got that noted, Rachel? **Anger:** I do. **Newkirk:** So, the no votes, Kenny Currle, Rachel Anger, Cathy Dunham, Carol Krzanowski, Cyndy Byrd and Rich Mastin. Will you give us the vote, Rachel? **Anger:** Do you need to ask for abstentions? **Newkirk:** Oh yeah abstentions, sorry. **Anger:** I do not have a vote from Sharon Roy. **Newkirk:** Sharon, are you an abstention? **Roy:** I'm an abstention. I raised my hand for abstention. **Newkirk:** Can you report the vote? **Anger:** 9 yes, 6 no, 1 abstention. **Newkirk:** OK, so the motion is ratified. There will be no regional awards for the 2020-2021 season.

**Newkirk:** So, we need to take from the table Melanie's motion about the split season kittens. Can you bring that up, Allene? **Tartaglia:** Yes, hold on one second.

1. *Regional Awards, for all regions, will be suspended for Show Season 2020-2021 with the exception of split season kittens from the 2019-2020 season who have earned enough points to meet or exceed the points earned by the 25th Best Kitten in the 2019-2020 season. The split season kittens will be awarded the RW title in their region for the 2019-2020 season. The split season kittens will be given the placement based off where the points earned would place them in the 2019-2020 rankings. There will be no change in ranking for 2019-2020 kittens.*

**Newkirk:** This is part 2 of the divided question, and this is basically what George wanted clarified. He wanted the first motion. There are no regional wins, so the cat cannot get a regional

win for this show season. So, if you're going to award a split season kitten from last year over through this year, then this motion is the only motion in order to do that. **Eigenhauser:** I support the current motion. My only concern was, which season would be a better place to put them. If it's not this season, then I'm in support of giving the awards in the prior season. **Newkirk:** Thank you for bringing that to our attention so we could switch those parts of the divided question. Is there any other discussion? Is there any objection to the adoption of awarding these three kittens a 2019-2020 regional placing, according to what's on the screen? Seeing no objection, the motion is ratified. Those three kittens, Allene and Central Office will take care of that? **Tartaglia:** Yes, we will take care of that. **Newkirk:** Thank you very much.

**The primary motion as amended is ratified by unanimous consent.**

**Newkirk:** OK, Pam Moser, I think you want to bring up something? **P. Moser:** I withdraw it now because there's no regional awards. **Newkirk:** Rachel, do we have any other unfinished business or special items that we needed to bring up? **Anger:** Does Gavin or the ID Committee have something they wanted to bring up on this topic? **Newkirk:** There will be divisional wins, because that was taken out of the motion.

2. *Individual regions/divisions may establish a separate system of recognition for their regions for 2020-2021; however, there will be no permanent titles resulting from these special awards.*

**Newkirk:** Pam, are you ready to present your second motion? **DelaBar:** Yes. [reads] This is what I was planning for Region 9. **Newkirk:** So, you can give trophies and all kinds of stuff. **DelaBar:** Oh yeah. **Newkirk:** They just would not get an RW recognition, is that correct? **DelaBar:** Correct. **Krzanowski:** Carol seconds. **Anger:** This is something I can support. I was looking for something more CFA-wide and official, but this will be a compromise. I can support this. **Calhoun:** My question is, how will the scoring be tracked? Is this something that will be tracked by the regional director to assign somebody to track this within their region, or is this going to fall on Central Office? **DelaBar:** I would say it's going to fall on Central Office, just to do the same reports we always get anyway. **Newkirk:** So, no additional scoring by Central Office will be required. You will get the normal format awards [*sic*, reports] where the cats have been scored. **DelaBar:** Right. **Newkirk:** And those awards, whatever you come up with, will be based on those points earned. **DelaBar:** Based on those points or however we want to do it. In my case, by country. **Newkirk:** Kathy Calhoun, does that answer your question? **Calhoun:** It does, thank you.

**Eigenhauser:** I would like to move to amend this to include divisions, as well. **Newkirk:** That's correct. Thank you George for picking up on that. **Eigenhauser:** I didn't pick up, it was on the chat. **Newkirk:** Oh, was it? Thank you Bob Zenda. So, the amendment is to state, *Individual regions/divisions*. That will take care of it? **DelaBar:** Correct. **Newkirk:** OK. Alright, this was not pre-noticed, so it will require 2/3 vote to amend this since it was not pre-noticed. Is there any discussion on the amendment? We're voting on the amendment, so we're not voting on the main motion, just the amendment to include */divisions* after *regions*. **Perkins:** Darrell, who seconded that motion? **Newkirk:** George made it. Carol, did you second it? **Krzanowski:** I would be happy to. I didn't but I will. **Newkirk:** OK, I missed you saying it. Thank you Shelly for pointing that out. The amendment was made by George and seconded by Carol Krzanowski.



Is there any discussion on the amendment? I see no hands being raised. Is there any objection to the amendment? By unanimous consent, the amendment is adopted.

**The primary amendment is ratified by unanimous consent.**

**Newkirk:** Now we have an amended main motion, which states: *Individual regions/divisions may establish a separate system of recognition for their regions for 2020-2021; however, there will be no permanent titles resulting from these special awards.* Is there any discussion on that amended main motion? I see no hands up. Is there any objection to the amended main motion? **Currle:** I would like to abstain on this. **Newkirk:** OK Kenny, that's fine. So, I'll call for the vote on this. All those in favor.

**Newkirk** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Currle abstained.

**Newkirk:** So, it's 15 yes and 1 abstain. Is that correct, Rachel? **Anger:** That is correct, thank you. **Newkirk:** Thank you so much.

*Respectfully submitted,  
Pam DelaBar, Regional Director  
CFA Region 9*

**(b) Region 2 Motion.**

**Action Item:** *Suspend Regional Awards for Region 2 for the 2020-21 show season.*

**Rationale:** *Region 2 will possibly have their first show in February, which is a maximum of three months of shows. With so few potential shows and an aging exhibitor base in Region 2, it does not make sense to make awards to so few. In addition, most of the shows in the last quarter are in California, as of now inside gatherings are prohibited by the state. I don't see that getting much better due to the flu season that will be upon us at that time.*

**Newkirk:** Pam, do you have anything else? **DelaBar:** No, but I think Pam Moser and I can sleep in a little later tomorrow morning. **Newkirk:** Pam Moser, are you OK with everything? **P. Moser:** Yes, but I have to, because I was on #22, I have got to – well, I don't have to, but I will be withdrawing my motion. **Newkirk:** OK, so business item 22 has been dispensed with. Your portion will be withdrawn. **P. Moser:** Correct. **Newkirk:** OK Rachel, you'll make note of that in the minutes? **Anger:** So noted. **Newkirk:** Thank you so much. I appreciate that.