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Secretary’s Note: The Officers and Board of Directors of the Cat Fanciers’ Association, Inc. met on Thursday, June 30, 2016, at the Red Rock Resort and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada. President Mark Hannon called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. with the following members present:
Mr. Mark Hannon (President)
Mr. Richard Kallmeyer (Vice President)
Barbara J. Schreck, J.D., C.P.A. (Treasurer)
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Carla Bizzell, C.P.A. (Director-at-Large)
Roger Brown, DVM (Director-at-Large)
George Eigenhauser, Esq. (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Carol Krzanowski (Director-at-Large)
Mr. Richard Mastin (Director-at-Large)
Mr. Darrell Newkirk (Director-at-Large)
Mrs. Annette Wilson (Director-at-Large)

Also Present:

Edward L. Raymond, Jr., Esq., CFA Legal Counsel
Teresa Barry, Executive Director
Verna Dobbins, Director of CFA Services
Shino Wiley, Japanese Interpreter

Absent:

Secretary’s Note: For the ease of the reader, some items were discussed at different times but were included with their particular agenda.
MEETING CALLED TO ORDER.

Hannon: I would like to welcome everybody to the Southwest Region and to Las Vegas. Our thanks to the Central Office staff and the local Region 5 people that worked on this event. I thank them for what they have done to make this such a success, and I hope everybody has a good time while they are here. I want to mention that this is the last week that Ed Raymond will be with us, and I want to thank Ed. He started in 2010, so he has been with us for 6 years. He has done what I thought was a terrific job and I am sorry to see him go. [applause] This is also the last meeting for Barb since she’s not running for re-election, and I want to thank Barb for what she has done for the past two years for us. There may be others leaving, depending on the election results, and we’ll see about that later.

Hannon: Terri handed me a ticket for the Winn Symposium tonight that they gave us. If anybody is interested in having it. Alright, Jean [Dugger], you want to pick this up, or pass it around to her?

Hannon: Rachel has in here that Carla has been with us for five years. My plan is to make that presentation at tomorrow’s delegate meeting, where we have a greater audience. It’s something that we used to do Saturday night at the banquet, but because of all the additional awards, we have tried to cut some of the things out of the banquet, such as the JA Spotlight award will also be handed out at the delegate meeting, rather than Saturday night.

Board Member Service Award

5 years
Carla Bizzell
The Credentials Committee will meet on Thursday, June 30, 2016, at 9:30 a.m., following approval of the membership by the Board of Directors. At this meeting we will discuss any problems relating to seating of the club delegates. We will meet again on Friday, July 1, 2016, at 7 a.m. to open/count the ballots for the CFA Officers and Regional Directors election.

Our 2016 membership includes the following persons:

Eve Russell, chairperson

Region 1: Jill Archibald and Marilyn Conde
Region 2: Erin Cutch, Ann Segrest and Kendall Smith
Region 3: Cheryl Peck and Chris Willingham
Region 4: Norman Auspitz and Bruce Russell
Region 5: Nancy Dodds and Hilary Helmrich
Region 6: Jim Dinesen and Nancy Petersen
Region 7: Donna Andrews, Yvonne Griffin and Graham Stevens

C.O.: Michelle Fergason

Respectfully submitted,
Eve Russell, Credentials Chair

**Hannon:** The first order of business is the approval of the inspectors of election. You have received the list that Eve has pulled together for our approval. Is there a motion?

**Eigenhauser:** I move we approve. **Schreck:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**
(3) **ADDITIONS/CORRECTIONS TO THE MINUTES.**

None.

**RATIFICATION OF ON-LINE MOTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Moved/Seconded</th>
<th>Motion</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Anger Schreck 01/28/16</td>
<td>Due to a family situation of one of its contracted judges causing him to cancel the show, grant the Hong Kong and Macao Cat Club emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Pun (SH)/Hamayasu (LH) to Hamayasu (LH/SH) at its 4 AB; 2 SSP; 2 SP; 8 HHP show (225 entry limit) in Serdang, Malaysia on February 13/14, 2016 (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Dugger did not vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 01/29/16</td>
<td>Due to the health situation of one of its contracted judges causing her to cancel the show, grant the Hawkeye State Cat Club emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Ellyn Honey to Brian Pearson at its one-day, 6 ring show (225 entry limit) in Altoona, Iowa on February 13, 2016 (Region 6).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Anger Krzanowski 02/01/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 for the Swedish Cat Paws to allow the use of up to 4 guest judges at its 10-ring show to be held on January 7/8, 2017 in Stockholm, Sweden (Region 9).</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Dugger did not vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Anger Wilson 02/04/16</td>
<td>Grant the Wildcatters Cat Club permission to hold a 3X3 show on Sunday March 20th, 2016 in Cleburne, TX.</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Dugger voting no. Anger, Schreck, Colilla, Bizzell and Eigenhauser abstained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Anger Krzanowski 02/10/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 and allow the Ozark Cat Fanciers permission to change its show license from 5 AB/1 SP to 4 AB/2 SP at its show on March 19, 2016 in Cleburne, Texas (Region 3).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Kallmeyer Dugger 02/11/16</td>
<td>That we make it optional for the national awards to have the highest scoring line added to their award.</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Schreck voting no. Moser abstained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Bizzell Anger 02/15/16</td>
<td>Unless otherwise stipulated in the Ballot Item or directed by the Board, all Breed Council Ballot Items approved by the Board will become effective the first day of the next show season. In addition, any new breed accepted for Registration may begin Registration immediately (or as soon as Central Office is prepared to do so) and Exhibition in the Miscellaneous Class is effective the first day of the next show season.</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Dugger did not vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moved/Seconded</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Anger Calhoun 02/18/16</td>
<td>That the CFA International Show financial information and other financial information provided by the CFA Treasurer as part of the February reports, satisfies the request for detail as previously requested by the board.</td>
<td><strong>Motion Carried.</strong> Fellerman, Moser, McCullough, Kuta, Dugger, Newkirk and Eigenhauser voting no. Schreck, Maeda and Mastin abstained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Anger Mastin 02/22/16</td>
<td>Grant Grand Champion status to 4 Championship cats at the Kuwait K-cats show on February 5-6, 2016, that were erroneously transferred to GC status on the 2nd day of the show by the Master Clerk without the owner’s permission.</td>
<td><strong>Motion Carried.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Anger Mastin 02/23/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 for the Chatte Noir club to allow the use of an additional guest judge at its 6-ring, one day show (180 entry limit) to be held on March 5/6, 2016 in Moscow, Russia (Region 9).</td>
<td><strong>Motion Carried.</strong> Kuta abstained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Kallmeyer Anger 03/01/16</td>
<td>That one ID rep be chosen by clubs in China and one ID rep by ID-other clubs, to be fair.</td>
<td><strong>Motion Carried.</strong> Maeda and McCullough abstained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 03/01/16</td>
<td>Due to the health situation of one of its contracted judges causing him to cancel the show, grant the Feline Forum/Maine Coon Cat Club emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Walter Hutzler (AB) to Russell Webb (AB), and to change the judging assignment from Russell Webb (LH/SH) to John Adelhoch (LH/SH) at their one-day, 6 ring show (225 entry limit) in Wilmington, Delaware on March 5, 2016 (Region 1).</td>
<td><strong>Motion Carried.</strong> Calhoun did not vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 03/02/16</td>
<td>Due to the health situation of one of its contracted judges causing her to cancel the show, grant the Indonesia Royal Feline club emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Jody Garrison (AB) to Allan Raymond (AB) at its one-day, 6 ring show (225 entry limit) in Jakarta, Indonesia on March 19, 2016 (International Division).</td>
<td><strong>Motion Carried.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Anger Wilson 03/04/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 6.14.b. and allow the Tonks West club permission to change its advertised fee structure from $60/first entry, $55/second entry, $50/third and subsequent entry to $57/first entry, $52/second entry, $47/third and subsequent entry at its one day, 225 entry show on April 16, 2016 in Ontario, California (Region 5).</td>
<td><strong>Motion Carried.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moved/Seconded</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Anger Mastin 03/07/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 for the Cat-H-Art club to allow the use of up to 50% guest judges at its 8-ring show to be held on April 23/24, 2016 in Carcassonne, France (Region 9).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 03/08/16</td>
<td>Due to the family situation of one of its contracted judges causing her to cancel the show, grant the North China American SH Fancier club emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Yaeko Takano to Dmitriy Gubenko at its 10 ring back-to-back show (225 entry limit) in Shanghai, China on March 12/13, 2016 (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Wilson Newkirk 03/14/16</td>
<td>That awards unclaimed at the Annual be shipped at the owner’s expense.</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 03/14/16</td>
<td>Due to the health situation of one of its contracted judges causing him to cancel the show, grant the Mo-Kan Cat Club emergency permission to change the judging assignment from James Thompson to Kathy Black at its one-day, 6 ring show (225 entry limit) in Overland Park, Kansas, on March 12, 2016 (Region 6).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Anger Mastin 03/16/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 and allow the China International Pedigree Cat Fanciers to hold a 6 AB/2 SP/1 LH show on March 26/27, 2016 in Shanghai, China (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Calhoun did not vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Anger Kallmeyer 03/17/16</td>
<td>Grant Cat-H-Art permission to hold an in-conjunction show with the Cercle Felin de l'Est, a WCF/LOOF club, on April 29/30, 2016 in Carcassonne, France (Region 9), and on May 21/22, 2016 in Andorra (Region 9), on the condition that the club be informed they should comply with the Guidelines (and enclose a copy with our approval).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 03/17/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 and allow the Nishi Nihon Cat Club permission to change its show license from 6 AB/2 SP to 5 AB/3 SP, and to change the judging assignment from K. Takano (AB) to Makoto Murofushi (LH) and Kit Fung (SH) at its show on March 19/20, 2016 in Osaka, Japan (Region 8).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Anger Mastin 03/18/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 and allow the Felines Asia Exotic Club and the Pearl River Cat Club permission to change their show license to add Household Pets at their shows on April 9/10, 2016 in Foshan, China (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>Due to travel problems of one of its contracted judges causing him to cancel the show, grant the Indonesia Royal Feline club permission to</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moved/Seconded</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/22/16</td>
<td>an emergency exception to Show Rule 4.04 to change the judging assignment from Counasse (AB)/Grebneva (LH/SH) to Grebneva (AB), an exception to Show Rule 4.07.a.2. leaving the LH/SH ring open, at its one-day, 6 ring show (225 entry limit) in Jakarta, Indonesia March 19, 2016 (International Division).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 03/23/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 and allow the United Feline Odyssey club permission to change its show license from a 150 entry limit to a 151 entry limit at its show on March 26/27, 2016 in Hong Kong (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 03/24/16</td>
<td>Due to travel difficulties caused by social unrest in Belgium, grant an exception to Show Rules 2.33 and 3.12, if necessary, and allow Allan Raymond and Edward Maeda to replace Peter Vanwonderghem and Yanina Vanwonderghem at the Feline Fanciers Society of Singapore’s 4 ring, one day show (150 entry limit) to be held on Friday, March 25, 2016 (International Division); and further allow Allan Raymond and Edward Maeda to judge their originally schedule show in Hong Kong on Saturday/Sunday, March 26/27, 2016 (International Division). Motion Carried.</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 03/28/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to 3.02.d. and allow Dmitriy Gubenko permission to guest judge an additional show over the current limit of 7 shows per show season at the Great West China Cat Fanciers show on April 9/10, 2016 in Wuxi, China (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 03/29/16</td>
<td>Due to the family situation of one of its contracted judges causing her to cancel the show, grant the Tianjin Feiming Cat Club emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Yaeko Takano to Dmitriy Gubenko at its 10 ring back-to-back show (225 entry limit) in Chengdu, China on April 2/3, 2016 (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Anger Wilson 03/30/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 and allow the Great West China Cat Fanciers the use of an additional SH specialty guest judge and an additional AB guest judge at its 10-ring show to be held on April 9/10, 2016 in Wuxi, China (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Executive Committee 03/30/16</td>
<td>Due to a visa problem of one of its contracted judges causing her to cancel the show, grant the German Catwalk emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Olga Gnatevich to Olga Grebneva at its two-day 225 entry limit show in Eching, Germany on April 16/17, 2016 (Region 9).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.02.d. and allow Rod U’Ren permission to guest judge an additional show over the current limit of 7 shows per show season at the Great West China Cat</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved/Seconded</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/31/16</td>
<td>Fanciers show on April 9/10, 2016 in Wuxi, China (International Division).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Executive Committee 04/04/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.02.d. and allow Cheryl U’Ren permission to guest judge an additional show for the same club over the current limit of 2 shows per show season at the Great West China Cat Fanciers show on April 9/10, 2016 in Wuxi, China (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. McCullough Colilla 04/05/16</td>
<td>Any unclaimed award(s) will be sent, upon request; shipping and handling charges must be paid to Central Office prior to sending. Any lost items at a CFA sponsored event will be sent, upon request; shipping and handling charges must be paid to Central Office prior to sending.</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Anger Wilson 04/08/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04.c. for the Cat Fanciers of Finland to waive the show processing fee and charge a show license fee of $100.00 for its 2-ring, 100 entry show to be held on July 10, 2016 in Viiiala, Finland (Region 9).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Executive Committee 04/08/16</td>
<td>Due to work issues of one of its contracted judges causing her to cancel the show, grant the German Catwalk emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Rachel Anger to Jo Ann Miksa-Blackwell at its two-day 225 entry limit show in Eching, Germany on April 16/17, 2016 (Region 9).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Executive Committee 04/09/16</td>
<td>Allow the Felines Asia Exotic Club to switch Pam DelaBar to judge on Saturday and Allan Raymond to judge on Sunday at its show in Foshan, China April 10/11, 2016.</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Anger Mastin 04/13/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 for the Edelweiss Cat Club to allow the use of up to 50% guest judges at its 6-ring one-day show to be held the weekend of September 24/25, 2016 in Moscow, Russia (Region 9).</td>
<td>Motion Carried. McCullough and Moser voting no. Kuta abstained. Bizzell did not vote.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Anger Kallmeyer 04/14/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 and allow the Cat-H-Art club permission to change its show license from (1) a 450 entry limit two-day show to a 225 entry limit back-to-back show; and (2) to change the format from 1 LH-SH/7 AB (Premiership) and 3 AB &amp; 4 SSP (Kittens/Championship) to 1 LH-SH/7 AB (Kittens/Premiership) and 3 AB &amp; 4 SSP (Championship) at its show to be held on April 23/24, 2016 in Carcassonne, France (Region 9).</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Bizzell did not vote.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Executive Committee 04/14/16</td>
<td>Due to the health situation of one of its contracted judges causing her to cancel the show, grant the Great West China Cat Fanciers emergency permission to change the judging assignment from (1) Ellyn Honey (AB) to Carol Fogarty (AB);</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved/Seconded</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and (2) from Carol Fogarty (LH/SH) to Suki Lee (LH) and Nicholas Pun (SH) at its two-day, 10 ring show (250 entry limit) in Chengdu, China on April 16/17, 2016 (International Division).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Executive Committee 04/18/16</td>
<td>Due to a family situation of one of its contracted judges causing her to cancel the show, grant the Felines Asia Exotic Club emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Becky Orlando (AB) to Nadejda Rumyantseva (AB) 4 AB/2 SP show (225 entry limit) in Foshan China on April 23, 2016 (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Kallmeyer Anger 04/18/16</td>
<td>Amend the following show rules: (1) Rule 4.04 to add a subsection d. as follows: d. If requesting a license with less than 90 days left to the date of the show, a copy of the show flyer for the show must be included with the show license package (see Rule 5.04). (2) Rule 5.04 to add the following wording: The show secretary must file a copy of the show flyer with the Central Office as soon as printed within 7 days of license approval for shows licensed at least 90 days prior to the date of the show. For shows licensed less than 90 days to the date of the show, the show flyer must be included in the license application package (see Rule 4.04). (3) Article XXXVI, Show Points, Official Show Count, Item 3 to change the definition of show counts as follows: 3. A cat/kitten/household pet handled by a judge in one ring is counted as competing in all rings. must be handled in 60 percent of the rings conducted at the show, rounded up to the next whole number of rings, to be counted as competing in all rings. This is shown in the following table:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of rings</td>
<td>Rings present for cat to be in count</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at Show</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3 rings held</td>
<td>2 rings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5 rings held</td>
<td>3 rings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 rings held</td>
<td>4 rings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8 rings held</td>
<td>5 rings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10 rings held</td>
<td>6 rings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats/kittens/household pets not present in 60 percent of the rings will not be counted as competing at the show for determining the official count, however, any awards won by these cats in any ring will still be credited to that cats record.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Anger Kallmeyer</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04 and allow the Cat Fanciers Society of Indonesia permission to change its show</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved/Seconded</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/21/16</td>
<td>license from a two-day show to a back-to-back show on April 23/24, 2016, in Bandung, Indonesia (International Division).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. DelaBar Newkirk 04/27/16</td>
<td>Rescind the portion of the prior motion dealing with Article XXXVI, Show Points, Official Show Count, Item 3 (returning it to read as follows: 3. A cat/kitten/household pet handled by a judge in one ring is counted as competing in all rings.).</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Moser voting no. Eigenhauser abstained.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. Kallmeyer Wilson 04/22/16</td>
<td>Approve a joint show sponsored by Nutrena with the CFA club Cat Fanciers of Korea and a TICA club, The Korea Bengal Cat Society on May 22, 2016 in Korea.</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Wilson voting no. Calhoun did not vote.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. Executive Committee 04/29/16</td>
<td>Due to the health situation of one of its contracted judges causing him to cancel the show, grant the Gasparilla Feline Friends emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Wayne Trevathan to Bob Goltzer at its one-day, 6 ring show (225 entry limit) in Melbourne, Florida on April 9, 2016 (Region 7).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. Eigenhauser Anger 05/02/16</td>
<td>Adopt the 60% rule for show counts used when scoring Regional/National points, effective May 1, 2017.</td>
<td>Motion Failed. Eigenhauser and Moser voting yes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. Executive Committee 05/06/16</td>
<td>Grant the UK Cat Fanciers an exception to Show Rule 9.08.n requiring separate judging rings for each judge, and allow them to have 3 shared rings for the 6 judges.</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. Anger McCullough 05/09/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04.c. for the Cleopella Cat Fanciers and charge a reduced show license fee of $100.00 for its 1 AB, 2 AB/SS-CH show (100 entry limit) to be held on June 18, 2016 in Tallinn, Estonia (Region 9).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48. Anger Wilson 05/13/16</td>
<td>Due to the inability of a kitten to satisfy the required one in-region exhibition because the exhibitor’s judge-partner officiated at every in-region show during the kitten’s show career, grant an exception to Article XXXVI – NATIONAL/REGIONAL/DIVISIONAL AWARDS PROGRAM, Scoring Procedures/Policies &amp; Awards, Subsection SCORING and allow the kitten to receive a regional award in its region of residence.</td>
<td>Motion Failed. Anger, Fellerman and Calhoun voting yes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49. Anger Mastin 05/16/16</td>
<td>For its show on September 3/4, 2016 in Andorra (Region 9), grant Cat-H-Art permission to hold an in-conjunction show with the Cercle Felin de l'Est, a WCF/LOOF club, on the condition that the club be informed they should comply with the Guidelines (and enclose a copy with our approval).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. Anger Mastin</td>
<td>For its show on September 3/4, 2016 in Andorra (Region 9), grant an exception to Show Rule 3.13 for the Cat-H-Art club to</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Anger, Moser,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved/Seconded</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>05/23/16</strong></td>
<td>allow the use of up to 50% guest judges.</td>
<td>McCullough and Kuta voting no.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>51.</strong> Anger Kallmeyer 06/03/16</td>
<td>Due to the retirement of one of its contracted judges causing him to cancel the show, grant the North Atlantic Region emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Walter Hutzler to Lorraine Rivard at its one-day, 6 ring show (225 entry limit) in Stamford, Connecticut on June 11, 2016 (Region 1), with regret.</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>52.</strong> Anger Schreck 06/03/16</td>
<td>Due to the health situation of one of its contracted judges causing her to be delayed or possibly cancel the show, grant the Xijing Cat Club an emergency exception to Show Rule 4.04 to change her judging assignment from Saturday to Sunday (and an as-yet-unnamed judge to change from Sunday to Saturday), OR an exception to Show Rule 4.07.b. leaving the LH/SH ring open, at its two-day, 10 ring back-to-back show (225 entry limit) in Xi'an China on June 4/5, 2016 (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>53.</strong> Anger Wilson 06/06/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.04.c. for the Cat Fanciers of Finland and charge a reduced show license fee of $100.00 for its 2 ring show (100 entry limit) to be held on October 15, 2016 in Kerava, Finland (Region 9).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>54.</strong> Executive Committee 06/09/16</td>
<td>Due to an injury causing her to cancel the show, grant the Southern Region emergency permission to substitute Melanie Morgan (AB) in place of Jan Stevens at the Southern Regional Awards Show on June 11/12, 2016 in Duluth, Georgia (Region 7).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>55.</strong> Executive Committee 06/09/16</td>
<td>Due to the health situation of one of its contracted judges causing her to cancel the show, grant the Liao Ning Cat Fanciers Club emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Nadedja Rumyantseva to Douglas Myers at its two-day, 10 ring back-to-back show (225 entry limit) in Shenyang, China on June 11/12, 2016 (International Division).</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>56.</strong> Kallmeyer Anger 06/13/16</td>
<td>Grant an exception to Show Rule 4.07 to remove the specialty ring requirement in Thailand due to the disparity of LH/SH cats at Thailand shows.</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Schreck, Moser, Fellerman, McCullough, Kuta, Calhoun, Dugger and Wilson voting no.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>57.</strong> Executive Committee 06/13/16</td>
<td>Due to the work situation of one of its contracted judges causing him to cancel the show, grant the Gulf Shore Regional Awards Show emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Jeff Janzen to Liz Watson at its two-day, 10 ring back-to-back show (225 entry limit) in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma on</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Calhoun did not vote.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved/Seconded</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Vote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 18/19, 2016 (Region 3).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>58.</strong> Executive Committee 06/14/16</td>
<td>Due to a family situation of one of its contracted judges causing her to cancel the show, grant the Gulf Shore Regional Awards Show emergency permission to change the judging assignment from Marsha Ammons to Jeff Janzen at its two-day, 10 ring back-to-back show (225 entry limit) in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma on June 18/19, 2016 (Region 3).</td>
<td><strong>Motion Carried.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>59.</strong> Anger Mastin 06/17/16</td>
<td>Due to show hall issues, grant the Great Lakes Regional Awards Show an exception to the provision in Show Rule 2.32: &quot;&lt;Clubs that do not hold a show for two (2) consecutive years on their traditional date will lose the distinction of having a traditional date weekend.&gt;&quot; and allow them to retain their traditional date of the second weekend in June until 2018, at which time the T-date of the second weekend in June will resume.</td>
<td><strong>Motion Carried.</strong> Colilla abstained.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hannon:** Rachel? **Anger:** We have had a very busy time with our online motions. As you all know, we have had practically a daily motion to deal with. We have 59 motions that we dealt with online. At this time, I make a motion that we ratify those. **Krzanowski:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Anger:** Thank you.
### RATIFICATION OF TELECONFERENCE MOTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moved/Seconded</th>
<th>Motion</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>From April 12, 2016 Teleconference</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.</strong> Eigenhauser</td>
<td>Accept the Protest Committee’s recommendations on the protests not in dispute.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson Anger</td>
<td><strong>2.</strong> Wilson Anger</td>
<td>Grant an indefinite leave of absence to Michie Shinmoto, effective immediately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.</strong> Wilson Anger</td>
<td>Advance Marilee Griswold to 2nd Specialty Apprentice Longhair status.</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.</strong> Wilson Anger</td>
<td>Advance Kit Fung to 1st Specialty Approved Shorthair status.</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson Anger</td>
<td><strong>5.</strong> Wilson Anger</td>
<td>Advance Koji Kanise to 2nd Specialty Approved Longhair/Approval Pending Allbreed status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6.</strong> Wilson Anger</td>
<td>Advance Danny Tai to 1st Specialty Approved Longhair status.</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson Anger</td>
<td><strong>7.</strong> Wilson Anger</td>
<td>Advance Jennifer Reding to 1st Specialty Apprentice Shorthair status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson Anger</td>
<td><strong>8.</strong> Wilson</td>
<td>Grant the request of retired judge Kim Everett-Hirsch to officiate a best of the best ring for the Portland Cat Club and Pearl Cat Fanciers co-joint show in Tokyo, Japan on December 24-25, 2016. The assignment would be to judge the BOB ring on Sunday, December 25, 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Session</td>
<td><strong>9.</strong> Executive Session</td>
<td>The following judges were elevated to the status of Judge Emeritus: Jody Garrison; Willa Rogers Hawk; Donna Jean Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schreck</td>
<td><strong>10.</strong> Schreck</td>
<td>Approve the Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>11.</strong> Eigenhauser Mastin</td>
<td>Grant a $10,000 donation for Winn in the 2015-2016 fiscal year.</td>
<td>Motion Carried. Newkirk abstained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>12.</strong> Kallmeyer Newkirk</td>
<td>Edit Show Rule 4.04 to provide that no show license will be granted with less than 15 days remaining prior to the opening day of the show.</td>
<td>Motion Carried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Moved/Seconded</td>
<td>Motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Kallmeyer Newkirk</td>
<td>Add a new Show Rule 5.07 specifying when and where a flyer/announcement must be provided for licensed shows in China.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>DelaBar Calhoun</td>
<td>Reconsider the previous motion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Krzanowski Newkirk</td>
<td>Approve the acceptance of JAPAN SINGAPURA CAT CLUB, Region 8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Krzanowski Anger</td>
<td>Approve the acceptance of WEST LAKE CAT CLUB, International Division (China).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Krzanowski</td>
<td>Approve development of an online clerking school as outlined in the proposal, as presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Mastin Anger</td>
<td>(1) Grant approval to sign contract with Suburban Collection Showplace in Novi Michigan for CFA’s 2016 International Show for November 17, 18, 19 &amp; 20; and (2) to further explore two year deal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>DelaBar Newkirk</td>
<td>That CFA has the lead on all of the planning, including the show production, with assistance from local clubs within Region 5, to conduct the World Cat Congress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Eigenhauser Colilla</td>
<td>Grant permission for the Cat Club of the Palm Beaches to have a 9th ring with a TICA judge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Anger Eigenhauser</td>
<td>Amend Section 3 of the Rules for Registration, as presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Executive Session</td>
<td>Reconsider the February 2016 motion regarding suspension of WCF pedigrees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved/Seconded</td>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>Vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Session</td>
<td>Suspend accepting registration by WCF pedigrees coming from Ukraine and Russia.</td>
<td>Motion Failed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Anger:** We also have 24 motions that took place during our teleconference in April. I make a motion that those are ratified. **Eigenhauser:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Anger:** As you already know, it is hard to hear in this room. We have very high ceilings, so all the sound is going to straight up. If you can remember, when you are speaking, to speak loudly so that one of the recorders will pick you up.

**Hannon:** A concern I have is that the Executive Committee is charged with approving requests for changes. There are a tremendous number of those. Usually, they deal with – a judge has cancelled and they need to hire a replacement judge, and we have to approve that at the Executive Committee level if it’s 30 days or less prior to the show. I want us to give some thought to changing that show rule. I don’t know what purpose it serves. We have never said, “no, you can’t have that judge.” For the August board meeting, let’s give some thought to that. If we decide we want to do that, we can give direction to Monte for the October board meeting.
Committee Chair: Annette Wilson – General Communication and Oversight; File Administrator
List of Committee Members: Becky Orlando, Tracy Petty – Guest Judge Administration
Rachel Anger – Ombudsman; Mentor Program Administrator; File Administrator (Region 9); prepares Board Report
Melanie Morgan, Jan Stevens, Aki Tamura-Kametani – File Administrators
Larry Adkison, Beth Holly – Application Administrator (inquiries, queries, follow ups, counseling)
Pat Jacobberger – Chair, Judges’ Education subcommittee (Breed Awareness and Orientation School)

Hannon: Judging Program. Wilson: Good morning everybody. We have a couple of action items, but first I want to note that we did add another member to our Committee – Tracy Petty, who is assisting Becky Orlando with the guest judging approvals. Becky’s husband has been quite ill for the past few months, so Tracy volunteered and we happily took her on.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Judges’ Workshop:

The Judges’ Workshop is scheduled for Thursday, June 30, 2016, from 6:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. Breeds to be covered are British Shorthair, Turkish Angora, Turkish Van and the Bengal. The location will be announced. CFA judges will be provided with a certificate of attendance for their continuing education credits. As always, board members and judges from other associations are welcome to attend.

Judges’ Test:

The bi-annual test was administered. All judges passed the test.

Service Awards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Judges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Li Lui Chung, Neil Quigley, Karen Godwin, Jacqui Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Carla Bizzell, Kathy Black, Hisako Komato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ed Davis, Darrell Newkirk, Wakako Nagayama, Ed Yurchick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Norman Auspitz, Mary Auth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Jody Garrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Wain Harding, Robert Salisbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Loretta Baugh, Willa Hawke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Retirement Request: Approved Allbreed judge Walter Hutzler has submitted a retirement request, effective May 31, 2016. Walter says, “The cat fancy has been an integral part of my life for nearly fifty years. I have enjoyed each and every moment that the cats, the exhibitors, the spectators and I have shared. For me, it has been both a learning and a teaching experience. It is truly something that no one can take away from me.”

Walter began his judging career in 1971, after showing some well-known Siamese such as GRC Hutzler Miss Demeanor and GRC Hutzler Blue Beard.

Walter will move from Greenwich Village to Colorado with his beloved Labradoodle Barney to live with family.

Action Item: Accept Walter Hutzler’s retirement request from the Judging Program with regret, effective May 31, 2016.

Wilson: The first action item is to accept Walter Hutzler’s retirement request from the Judging Program with regret, effective May 31, 2016. Krzanowski: Second.

Hannon called the motion. Motion Carried.

Request for Leave of Absence: Megumi Yamashita has requested a medical leave of absence from June 22 to August 27, which was granted by the Judging Program Chair. Megumi has cancelled her shows in July and early August and hopes to be able to judge a show in Japan on August 28th.

Action Item: Ratify the medical leave of absence for Megumi Yamashita effective immediately, through August 27, 2016.

Wilson: Our second action item is to ratify the medical leave of absence for Megumi Yamashita, effective immediately, through August 27, 2016. I have an update on that. Her surgery was successful. She expects to have a release from her doctor on July 13th. Because she had surgery fairly quickly, I told her that was fine to take the time off. I cancelled her shows appropriately. I am so moving. Krzanowski: Second.

Hannon called the motion. Motion Carried.

International/Guest Judging Assignments: Permission has been granted for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Judge</th>
<th>Assn</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>City/Country</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anger, Rachel</td>
<td>CCA</td>
<td>Ottawa Valley CC</td>
<td>Ottawa, CN</td>
<td>10/30/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baugh, Loretta</td>
<td>CCA</td>
<td>National CC Show</td>
<td>Mississauga, CN</td>
<td>09/11/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung, Chloe</td>
<td>Catz Inc.</td>
<td>Nine Lives AB CC</td>
<td>Hamilton, NZ</td>
<td>05/14/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currle, Ken</td>
<td>CCA</td>
<td>National CC Show</td>
<td>Mississauga, CN</td>
<td>09/11/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DelaBar, Pam</td>
<td>FIFe</td>
<td>Suomen Rotukissyhistys</td>
<td>Lahti, Finland</td>
<td>01/21/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DelaBar, Pam</td>
<td>FIFe</td>
<td>FIFe Int. Cat show</td>
<td>Ljubljana, Slovenia</td>
<td>03/18/17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Non-CFA Judges requesting permission to guest judge CFA shows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Judge</th>
<th>Assn</th>
<th>CFA Show</th>
<th>City/Country</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comte, Sylvie</td>
<td>LOOF</td>
<td>Cat-H-Art</td>
<td>Andorra, France</td>
<td>09/03/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Du Plessis, Kaai</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>CF Thailand</td>
<td>Nonthaburi, Thailand</td>
<td>07/30/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Du Plessis, Kaai</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>Dutch Purrpuss</td>
<td>Cuijk, Netherlands</td>
<td>11/05/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grebneva, Olga</td>
<td>RUI</td>
<td>44 Gatti CC</td>
<td>Rome, Italy</td>
<td>10/01/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grebneva, Olga</td>
<td>RUI</td>
<td>Garden State CC</td>
<td>Somerset, NJ</td>
<td>07/16/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gubenko, Dmitriy</td>
<td>RUI</td>
<td>China Southern CC</td>
<td>Taiyuan, China</td>
<td>06/12/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gubenko, Dmitriy</td>
<td>RUI</td>
<td>Felines Asia Exotic CC</td>
<td>Foshan, China</td>
<td>07/23/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamalainen, Satu</td>
<td>FIFe</td>
<td>Cleopella CF of Estonia</td>
<td>Tallinn Estonia</td>
<td>06/18/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamalainen, Satu</td>
<td>FIFe</td>
<td>UFO</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>10/22/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansson, John</td>
<td>GCCF</td>
<td>Dutch Purrpuss</td>
<td>Cuijk, Netherlands</td>
<td>11/05/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemaigre, Marie-Claude</td>
<td>WCF</td>
<td>Cat H Arts</td>
<td>Andorra, France</td>
<td>09/03/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podprugina, Eleana</td>
<td>RUI</td>
<td>Felines Asia Exotic CC</td>
<td>Foshan, China</td>
<td>07/23/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumyahtseva, Nadejda</td>
<td>WCA</td>
<td>Pearl River CC</td>
<td>Ashan, China</td>
<td>07/10/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slizhevskaya, Tatiana</td>
<td>RUI</td>
<td>Felinus Int. CC</td>
<td>GroteBrogel, Belgium</td>
<td>09/10/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Notice of Application: The following individuals have been pre-noticed for application to the CFA Judging Program and are scheduled to be presented to the Board in October 2016 for acceptance:

- Frank Duker (Shorthair – 1st Specialty)
- Dmitriy Gubenko (Approval Pending Allbreed)
- Teo Vargas (Longhair – 1st Specialty)

Wilson: We have pre-notice of application. Question, Rachel. You have two on here. Is there a third? Anger: Yes, they are all on the updated version. Wilson: For some reason, maybe I downloaded them wrong. Anyway, we have three applicants pre-noticed for October. Frank Duker, Shorthair first specialty; Teo Vargas, Longhair first specialty; and Dmitriy Gubenko, who is a guest judge that has applied to come over. He would be approval pending allbreed is what he applied for. Those are just a pre-notice. You will see the notice in the Online Almanac. DelaBar: I did want to say that, with all the exceptions I have asked for in the past two years for Region 9 judges, all three of these applicants are from Region 9. Hannon: So noted.

Hannon: OK, so now do you want to go into Executive Session? Wilson: That’s all I have for open session. Hannon: We’re going to ask our visitors to leave. Once we finish with the executive session of the Judging Program Report we will be doing Protests in executive session, so it’s probably going to be a while. When we return, the next item on the agenda is the report from the insurance company, which will be open session, depending on the timing. We told him 11:15, right? Mastin: Yes. Hannon: So, if we open up prior to that, we will go on to
something else if he’s not here yet. As everyone can see, Allene [Tartaglia] is back with us. [applause]

**Acceptance:** The following individuals are presented to the Board for acceptance:

**Accept as Trainee:**

- Amanda Cheng (Shorthair – 2nd Specialty) 18 yes; 2 abstain (Moser, McCullough)
- Kit Fung (Longhair – 2nd Specialty) 20 yes
- Danny Tai (Shorthair – 2nd Specialty) 20 yes
- Toshihiko Tsuchiya (Longhair – 2nd Specialty) 20 yes
- Mihoko Yabumoto (Shorthair – 2nd Specialty) 20 yes

**Advancements:** The following individuals are presented to the Board for advancement:

**Advance to Apprentice:**

- Suki Lee (Shorthair – 2nd Specialty) 20 yes

**Advance to Approval Pending Specialty:**

- Wendy Heidt (Longhair – 1st Specialty) 20 yes

**Advance to Approved Specialty:**

- Amanda Cheng (Longhair – 1st Specialty) 17 yes; 1 no (Moser); 2 abstain (McCullough, Fellerman)
- Doreann Nasin (Shorthair – 2nd Specialty) 20 yes
- Nicholas Pun (Shorthair – 1st Specialty) 20 yes
- Neil Quigley (Longhair – 2nd Specialty) 20 yes

**Advance to Approval Pending Allbreed:**

- Doreann Nasin 20 yes
- Neil Quigley 20 yes

**Advance to Approved Allbreed:**

- Teresa Sweeney 20 yes

Respectfully Submitted,
Annette Wilson, Chair
PROTEST COMMITTEE.

Protest Committee Chair George Eigenhauser gave the Protest Committee report containing recommendations for disposition of pending matters (see item #63).

Committee Chair: George J. Eigenhauser, Jr.
Committee Members: Dick Kallmeyer, Betsy Arnold, Norman Auspitz, Joel Chaney and Pam Huggins; Animal Welfare: Linda Berg; Asian ID liaison: Sara Tsui; European ID liaison: Pauli Huhtaniemi; Japan liaison: Kayoko Koizumi; Judging liaison: Jan Stevens; Legal Counsel: Ed Raymond

Brief Summation/Current Happenings of Committee:

The Protest Committee met telephonically on June 8, 2016. Participating were George Eigenhauser, Dick Kallmeyer, Betsy Arnold, Norm Auspitz, Joel Chaney, Pam Huggins, Linda Berg and Pauli Huhtaniemi (participating live in the conference).

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Ongoing protest investigations and recommendations.

Respectfully Submitted,

George J. Eigenhauser, Jr.
Protest Committee Chairman
Scott D. Allen, AAI, Chairman and CEO of Whitaker Myers Insurance Group, presented an overview of the insurance coverages recommended for CFA.
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Hannon: The next item on our agenda is an insurance update. We have Scott Allen here from our insurance company, to give us a little update on how we’re doing or what we want to be doing. Mastin: I just want to make a comment that Scott’s report is in FileVista, so if you pull up FileVista you can follow along with what Scott is going to review. Allen: Thank you, Mark and Rich. There are a lot of familiar faces here. For those of you whose faces are not as familiar or mine isn’t familiar, I’m Scott Allen with Whittaker Myers Group in Wooster, Ohio, just here to review like we have been doing now for a good 15 or so years, every other year, to update the board. This presentation will conclude in about 44 minutes. I’m just kidding. I’m well aware of how some of you are on the edge of your seat, and there’s only about two of those. The rest of you, maybe not so much, but that’s alright. If you found this in FileVista, there are 2 or 3 documents, depending on how they merged. I’ll make a disclaimer before I get too far into this. I’m getting over bronchitis, and so I sound terrible. At any given second, I can launch into one of my coughing fits. I’ll pray that does not happen, but if it does I hope you will forgive me. I’m looking at a document that’s only two pages. The very first page looks something like this.
Allen: This is a thumbnail, if you will, of what we have. It lists coverages and the different exposures – the chief exposures to potential loss – that seem to drive those costs and how those exposures have changed from 2015 to 2016, either in the case of the Alliance building and the limit on that, indexing that up for inflation with respect to its replacement cost. That’s something we do on your behalf, or that’s something we didn’t do but the exposure changed that you did that you’re responsible for, such as a change in the number of foreign shows or the number of judges traveling or the number of members – those sorts of exposure changes. So, it shows what those changes are for 2016. Some have gone up and some have gone down.
Cat Fanciers’ Association  
Premium Comparison – By Policy  
July 1, 2016 Renewals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Package</td>
<td>$44,821</td>
<td>$45,749</td>
<td>$43,989</td>
<td>$41,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Automobile</td>
<td>$353</td>
<td>$369</td>
<td>$369</td>
<td>$369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers Comp/Employers Liability</td>
<td>$706</td>
<td>$760</td>
<td>$813</td>
<td>$720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbrella Liability</td>
<td>$11,503</td>
<td>$11,770</td>
<td>$11,305</td>
<td>$11,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Property &amp; Liability</td>
<td>$10,780</td>
<td>$11,160</td>
<td>$10,665</td>
<td>$10,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media &amp; Internet Liability</td>
<td>$3,388</td>
<td>$3,558</td>
<td>$3,558</td>
<td>$3,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Liability</td>
<td>$6,004</td>
<td>$6,485</td>
<td>$5,861</td>
<td>$5,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Accident</td>
<td>$2,750</td>
<td>$3,250</td>
<td>$3,070</td>
<td>$3,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber Liability</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$1,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUB TOTAL</td>
<td>$80,305</td>
<td>$83,101</td>
<td>$79,630</td>
<td>$78,594</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Alliance Building Policy | Incl. in Pkg. | Incl. in Pkg. | Incl. in Pkg. | Incl. in Pkg. |

**TOTAL COST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2013-14</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$80,305</td>
<td>$83,101</td>
<td>$79,630</td>
<td>$78,594</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Annual Decrease: 1.013%**

**Allen:** The very next page, which is the second of two pages, it shows the premium summary over the last 4 years, including the renewal cost. What you’re seeing here is, you’re seeing incredible stability; in fact, an eking down of rates, because even your cost – which as your exposure changes, go up; even though the rate may go down, the cost may not, if you understand that concept – just an incredible stability here. We take a little credit for that. We try to keep our thumb on these carriers. In this case, Chubb has most of it, but it’s a good time in the industry and we have had success making them appreciate their CFA relationship at Chubb. So, really good stability from a cost perspective.
Allen: What I’m really here to do is not talk about cost; what I’m really here to do is talk about coverage, so now we are looking at the big document that’s entitled Insurance Summary. It first discusses property insurance, and it talks about where we are with our blanket building and contents coverage in Alliance, Ohio – almost $2.8 million, some earthquake, some business income coverage, and then there are all sorts of other coverages together in a couple of big basket endorsements. I just list with check marks and bullet points what some of those things are.
Allen: On the next page, there’s some EDP, or electronic data processing, coverage. The key for us to have this is that we need this form so that we can cover our computerized stuff. We can do that with the property stuff we just talked about, but we have this so we have coverage for reconstruction of data and coverage for software. So, that’s what this is about. We have a little tiny, insignificant coverage, but it could be somewhat significant if we had a loss. The floater coverage, or the inland marine coverage, covers club cages and equipment, and that sort of thing.
It is very specifically listed there on a small table. The commercial crime coverage is $50,000 for employee dishonesty and forgery. That’s just protecting CFA, should we have an act of infidelity in terms of stealing from the organization. It also includes – and this has been key – we have some examples of using this; that is, we have the policy endorsed to include club members, so our member clubs are also protected by this. That means that if the treasurer of one of those organizations steals from the organization – we’ve had at least one loss like that – we’re still protected.
Allen: The next page talks about arguably the most important piece of what we have, which is the commercial general liability. This is the casualty coverage. This is, somebody is complaining CFA is responsible for bodily injury or property damage, even for liable/slander, false arrest, invasion of privacy, weird things like that. We might even have a lawsuit, it could be that formal. Who is paying for the defense for that? Who is going to protect CFA? If there’s a judgment, who is going to pay that? So, that’s what this is about. The description of some of
these extensions that are listed are quite, quite broad. We’ve got subsidiaries listed, we’ve got partnerships listed, we have directors, managers, members, officers, partners – all these things. I won’t read these all to you. It’s $1 million, but that’s supported by an umbrella of $4 million. So, this is actually a $5 million casualty/liability program.

**EMPLOYER’S LIABILITY COVERAGE (OHIO STOP GAP)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Bodily Injury By Accident – Each Accident Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Bodily Injury By Disease – Each Employee Limit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Covered*: Defense costs associated with Ohio employees’ claims for compensatory damages for work-related injury or disease not compensable under State Worker’s Compensation laws.

**WORKERS COMPENSATION & EMPLOYERS LIABILITY POLICY**

**WORKERS COMPENSATION & EMPLOYER’S LIABILITY COVERAGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statutory</td>
<td>Workers Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td>Employer’s Liability – Each Accident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td>Employer’s Liability – Disease-Policy Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td>Employer’s Liability – Disease-Each Employee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Covered*: Employee exposure in New Jersey, Florida, and any other state if needed

**Allen**: The same is true of the companion employer’s liability coverage, which happens in the State of Ohio. We’re only one of only two workers’ comp monopolistic states where you don’t buy your workers’ comp from your insurance agent, you buy it from the state. So, we provide employer’s liability there, but then we do have also a workers’ comp policy because we need to
have that conventional workers’ comp coverage for the states of New Jersey and Florida, for reasons you all understand, so we have that, too.

**COMMERCIAL AUTO POLICY**

**COMMERCIAL AUTO COVERAGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>Combined Single Limit - Bodily Injury and Property Damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>Underinsured/Uninsured Motorists Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>Hired Auto Liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>Non-Owned Auto Liability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hired Car Physical Damage Coverage:

| $500 | Comprehensive Deductible |
| $500 | Collision Deductible     |

Rental Reimbursement: $15/Day, 30 days

**COMMERCIAL AUTOMOBILE SCHEDULE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VIN #</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Vehicle Make</th>
<th>Vehicle Model</th>
<th>Serial Number</th>
<th>Liab</th>
<th>UM</th>
<th>UIM</th>
<th>Specified Causes of Loss or Comprehensive</th>
<th>Collision Deductible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>White Continental Cargo Trailer (Owned by Genesis Cat Club)</td>
<td>4X4T5EZ22N023559</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>[ ] Specified Causes</td>
<td>$500 Ded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Allen:** We have automobile coverage, because we have a cargo trailer. We also have – and this is really important for this organization. It would be for any business, but it’s really important for this organization, and that is hired auto liability a/k/a rental cars and non-owned liability a/k/a your personal autos when you are using them on CFA business. You never know when it’s CFA
business until the accident happens and discovery starts, and then all of a sudden you find out, “hey, we’re going to deem that to be CFA business.” So, when that happens, you’ve got your own personal auto insurance to protect you, right? But when CFA is brought into a complaint like that, we want CFA protected, so that’s what this does. We even have, when you are at the rental desk and they are asking about that expensive collision/damage waiver for CFA staff, we’ve even got the hired car physical damage comp and collision for a rental car with a $500 deductible.

### UMBRELLA LIABILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 4,000,000</td>
<td>Umbrella Coverage Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 4,000,000</td>
<td>Excess Coverage Other Aggregate Limit (as applicable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 4,000,000</td>
<td>Each Occurrence Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ Not Included</td>
<td>Products Completed Operations Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ Retained Limit</td>
<td>Advertising Injury &amp; Personal Injury Aggregate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Covers:** Designed as a broader coverage document than underlying policies; this policy is principally designed as excess coverage over the following primary policies:

- General Liability: Commercial Package
- Employee Benefits: Commercial Package
- Auto Liability: Commercial Auto
- Workers Compensation: Workers Compensation Policy (NJ)
- General Liability: International Liability
- Auto Liability: International Liability
- Employer’s Liability: International Liability

**Allen:** The next page is umbrella, which is that excess of $4 million I told you about, so that sits over top of everything we’ve discussed, including – this is a little out of order – the international liability, which is on the subsequent page. That’s $1 million, so the umbrella sits over that, too. Again, $5 million across the board. We have international liability so that if a claim that happens abroad – outside of the United States, Canada, Puerto Rico or U.S. territories – if a claim happens there and is brought in a foreign venue, we will have protection. We have
worldwide coverage, but not if the suit is brought in a foreign venue. This kind of seals up that gap, if you want to think of it that way. So, we have that.

---

**EXPORTERS PORTFOLIO PACKAGE**

**INTERNATIONAL COVERAGE**

International Territory includes: Outside the United States and Canada claims or suits for occurrences outside the United States and Canada.

**INTERNATIONAL GENERAL LIABILITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Each Occurrence Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Advertising Injury and Personal Injury Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Products/Completed Operations Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>General Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Damage to Premises Rented to You Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>Medical Expenses Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Employee Benefits Aggregate Limit (Claims Made)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 250,000</td>
<td>Employment Related Practices Aggregate Limit (Claims Made)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 20,000</td>
<td>Products Withdrawal Expense Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>Products Withdrawal Each Defect Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>Participation Share</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Liability Rating Base:**

Number of Foreign Shows: 169  
Number of Overseas Trips: 96

**Covers:** The Cat Fancier Association, Clubs, and the members of those clubs when acting within the scope of official CFA business.

---

**Allen:** Part of that international policy is called an exporter’s package. Those of you looking at FileVista see *Exporters Package*. It suits us well for that foreign liability to be provided inside this exporters package, because for literally next to nothing – we are already
paying for the liability I just described that we need – we get a lot of throw-in’s, which will be very, very helpful for us.

INTERNATIONAL AUTO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Bodily Injury/Property Damage Owned, Hired Non-Owned Autos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>Auto Medical Payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 2,500</td>
<td>Hired &amp; Non-Owned Autos Physical Damage - Each Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>Hired &amp; Non-Owned Autos Physical Damage - Aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 0</td>
<td>Threshold Amount Benefit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Coverage is excess/DIC over local compulsory insurance for owned, hired and non-owned vehicles.*

INTERNATIONAL VOLUNTARY WORKER’S COMPENSATION

*This coverage is intended to be primary*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Limit of Liability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Voluntary WC</td>
<td>State of Hire Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Executive Employees</td>
<td>Country of Origin Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other International Employees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer's Liability Bodily Injury by Accident</td>
<td>$1,000,000 each accident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily Injury by Disease</td>
<td>$1,000,000 policy limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily Injury by Disease</td>
<td>$1,000,000 ea. employee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repatriation Expense</td>
<td>$ 250,000 ea. employee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 500,000 Aggregate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Employer’s Liability does not apply in the U.K. or Ireland except for employees while traveling to the U.K. or Ireland on a temporary basis.

**Coverage Extensions Include:**
- Primary Worker’s Compensation Coverage for International Executive Employees and Other International Employees
- 24 hour trip coverage incepting when the insured’s employee leaves the U.S. or Canada and terminating upon return to the U.S. or Canada
- Bodily Injury by Accident or Disease while temporarily employed in the U.S.
- Insurance for employees employed outside the U.S. and Canada while traveling in the U.S. and Canada
- Endemic Disease is covered as an occupational disease
- Travel assistance services by MEDEX for no additional premium when International Workers Compensation is provided
- War Hazard is not excluded
- Repatriation for spouse and dependents

Allen: Those other things come with this exporters package – things like international auto, international voluntary workers’ comp –
### INTERNATIONAL CRIME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>5,000 Employee Theft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>5,000 Depositor's Forgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,000 Deductible for Dishonesty and Forgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KIDNAP/RANSOM AND EXTORTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>100,000 Extortion, Delivery and Expense Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>500 Deductible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coverage Territory is Outside the United States of America. Coverage includes the reward payment leading to the arrest and conviction of the person or group responsible for the ransom or extortion payment provided that the amount is agreed upon in advance by the Company. Also includes reasonable fees and expenses of independent consultants retained by the insured.

### INTERNATIONAL PROPERTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 100,000</td>
<td>Personal Property including while in transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td>Accounts Receivable including while in transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td>EDP Processing Equipment including while in transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td>Electronic Data including while in transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>Communication Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>Mobile Communication Property ($3,500 deductible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
<td>Money and Securities Off Premises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td>Fine Arts including while in transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 25,000</td>
<td>Valuable Papers including while in transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 2,500,000</td>
<td>Neighbor's and Tenants Liability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RC Valuation, No Coinsurance, $1,000 deductible

### Global Medical Assistance Services

The program provides assistance to employees who travel on business outside their home country. In this program, EUROP Assistance will coordinate medical evacuations and repatriations.

---

Allen: – international crime, some kidnap and ransom coverage – kidnap, ransom and extortion is only $100,000 but it could come in handy. I love the reaction I get when I talk about that. Lots of good jokes there. International property, and then this cool thing, and that has had different names over the years, but in the last few years it is called EUROP – no “e” – EUROP assist. This is basically those cards that we get that provide what I call concierge service.
Access the Portal
URL: www.chubb.com/travelhelp/fwvc
Group ID: N2CHUFWV
Activation Code: 130502
Call +1 (866) 611-1204 from US and Canada. From other locations, call Collect +1 (240) 330-1580

Medical Assistance Services include:
✓ Medical provider search & referrals to help find hospitals and doctors in given locale
✓ Medical monitoring of treatment
✓ Facilitation of medical payment
✓ Coordination of medication

Medical Evacuation and Repatriation Services include:
✓ Emergency medical evacuations and medically-necessary repatriation
✓ Coordination of transportation to join a hospitalized family member
✓ Dependent children/traveling companion assistance

Travel Risk Intelligence Portal Services Include:
✓ Country and city risk ratings and profiles
✓ Health, medical, safety and security reports per locale
✓ Mitigation tips and consulate contacts
✓ Information on business conduct, transportation, holidays, currency exchange rates
✓ News and real-time security alerts
✓ General travel tips
✓ Useful tools to help minimize the inconveniences associated with international travel
to support employees in an emergency, such as drug and medical team translation

EUROP Assistance identification cards are sent annually to CFA Central Office. The
cards list the telephone access numbers for the worldwide EUROP Assistance Network.

Coordinators are available 24 hours-a-day, every day of the year. EUROP Assistance
is available worldwide to help with any type of illness or injury, regardless of the
severity.

While EUROP Assistance does not cover medical bills, they will coordinate all billing
and insurance verifications, including providing guarantees of payment.

Allen: We’re talking about medical assistance for things like medical monitoring and
treatment, facilitation of medical payments, coordination of medication, medical provider search
and referrals to help find hospitals and doctors for given specialties. We’re talking about the area
of medical evac and repatriation of remains. That’s not pleasant to talk about. We’re talking about
travel risk intelligence portal services, which you can log in and get country and city risk ratings
and profiles in advance of a trip, health, medical, safety and security reports per locale,
mitigation tips and consulate contacts, news and real-time security alerts, travel tips and things like that. So, you get the idea. This is used by some of you, and it’s really appreciated. Many others don’t choose to use it, either because you just don’t want to hassle with it or you aren’t aware of it. So, we have this and it’s very valuable. \textbf{DelaBar}: We had a situation where we had an exhibitor take seriously ill, was hospitalized in Germany for two months and died. Would that have covered her? \textbf{Allen}: Don’t know, not a claims adjuster. I don’t know. What I always say about things like that is, where we think we might have protection, we turn it in and we see. Let the claims department do their job. That’s a good segue, because whether or not – this is assistance here we are talking about. What I just mentioned is really not coverage, but it’s really a package of services that you receive.

\textbf{Mastin}: Can we go back to Pam’s question for a minute, because Ed just mentioned something to me. The coverage that you’re referring to is for the CFA judges and individuals putting on the events, correct? \textbf{Allen}: I was just going to go to the travel and accident coverage. It’s the very next thing. That really applies to her question most directly, which is really what you just referred to, set up for the judges. This exporter’s package is tacked onto those cards for the EUROP assist – the concierge package of services – that’s for anybody to use. \textbf{Mastin}: Including our exhibitors? \textbf{Hannon}: Somebody just attending the show gets sick? That was what she asked. \textbf{Allen}: No, not for the exhibitors. \textbf{DelaBar}: Let me take that further. She was also considered a member of the club that was hosting the show. \textbf{Allen}: Well, there you go. That’s definitely something for an adjuster to dig into. Just to be clear, we were talking about the concierge services, the package of services, which is a way broader list of who gets to use that.
Allen: There’s also the multimedia liability to cover the *Yearbook, Cat Talk* magazine, the CFA website, newsletter and CFA News. Eigenhauser: I want to ask about the multimedia liability. You specifically mentioned two of our websites, but we have quite a few others. We have breed council websites, we have regional websites. Do those need to be listed, or is there some language that includes them, as well? Allen: We should be listing those, because the application is that way. It lists [cfa.org](http://cfa.org) and [catscenterstage.org](http://catscenterstage.org), it lists the Cat Fanciers’ Yearbook,
Cat Talk Magazine (published every 2 months), CFA Newsletter (monthly email) and CFA News. That’s what’s listed there. Eigenhauser: I think we need to update the application then to make sure it includes the regional websites and the breed council websites, and any other websites CFA controls or owns. Hannon: Maybe. We may want to discuss that. I don’t know that CFA should be paying for insurance to cover those. Eigenhauser: If they get sued, they are part of CFA. Mastin: There’s another item that Ed and I were just chatting about that I want to touch base with Scott on. We can take it offline when we do it, but I can review that with Scott. We’ll find out if there’s any restrictions or costs associated to it
Allen: The very next thing if you are following is travel and accident coverage. CFA chooses to buy that for their judges. *All active judges, directors, officers, directors at large, legal counsel and public relations directors of CFA.* That’s who gets to use this policy. That is where we have had a lot of claims paid for injuries that have occurred during the course of traveling. It was this one where, last year, a year ago, was added new the identity theft services for business travelers. So, there’s some identity theft services protection for a case worker identity fraud
resolution kit and those sorts of things. It is not new this year, but it’s relatively new. [discussion goes to previous item]

Allen: The travel and accident coverage that we were talking about, principal sum of $25,000. It maxes out at $250,000 for any one accident – that’s $25,000 per individual. It includes medical evacuation and repatriation, primary accident medical expenses, psychological therapy, rehab and lots of other things. As I said, within the last 24 months, identity theft services for business travelers was added. Anybody interested in details about that, we have details. Newkirk: So, if I’m overseas judging and I become critically ill, the medical evac would be paid to get me back to the States to be treated? Allen: Yes, up to $25,000.
Allen: The next item on the list is just like the travel/accident. It’s a separate and distinct animal from everything we discussed before that. We have a management liability contract, and that’s definitely separate and distinct. It is liability, but it’s got its own limits and it’s not for bodily injury or property damage, because you are already all protected for that, even if you are a director or officer. The management liability contract is like a two-pronged fork. It’s directors and officers liability, and it’s employment practices liability. The directors and officers limit is

### MANAGEMENT LIABILITY POLICY

**DIRECTORS & OFFICERS LIABILITY AND ENTITY LIABILITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>Directors &amp; Officers Liability Maximum Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Sublimit for all Excess Benefit Transaction Excise Tax</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Retention Amounts:**
- NIL - Insuring Clause 1 (Insured Persons Loss)
- $5,000 - Insuring Clause 2 (Insured Entity Loss from claims made against Insured Person)
- $5,000 - Insuring Clause 3 (Insured Entity Loss from claims made against Insured Entity)

Pending or Prior Litigation Date: 7/1/98
Defense Expenses Included in the Limit of Liability

### EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES LIABILITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Employment Practices Liability Maximum Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Sublimit for all Third Party Claims each Policy Year under Insuring Clause 2 (Third Party Liability)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Retention Amounts:**
- $15,000 for Insuring Clause 1 (Employment Practices Liability)
- $15,000 for Insuring Clause 2 (Third Party Liability Coverage)

Pending or Prior Litigation Dates:
- EPL Insuring Clause 1 – Employment Practices Liability 7/1/98
- EPL Insuring Clause 2 – Third Party Liability Coverage 7/1/98

Defense Expenses Included in the Limit of Liability
$2 million and employment practices is $500,000. The directors and officers liability, as many of you are familiar with, literally you can think of it as decision-making coverage for the board. These aren’t for bodily injury and property damage allegations, they are for other things. We have a claim going on right now. Usually – my words, not the policy words – usually someone has either suffered or expects that they will suffer some sort of financial lost or reputational loss because of some action or decision of yours and they want you for it. That’s why you need directors and officers coverage. It’s a $5,000 deductible. That’s for the reimbursement for the entity coverage, not for the individual coverage – that’s zero deductible.

**Allen:** The employment practices, of course, is different, but it’s also part of the management liability contract. That’s when an employee of CFA brings a complaint for an EEOC type of thing. It could be sexual harassment, wrongful termination, failure to hire, discrimination, things of this nature. So, we have employment practices coverage. That is a $15,000 deductible. We have a retroactive date to that when we first purchased it. That goes back to July 1, 1998. So, allegations for things that started or happened before that date would not be covered. That goes back quite a ways, so the more time between us and 1998, the more value this has. Any questions about directors and officers liability or employment practices liability?

Everybody is either paying attention or asleep.

**Eigenhauser:** Let me ask a question. Why is a dispute between two clubs being put under directors and officers liability? Clubs are separate entities from CFA. They are not directors or officers of CFA, but you have them listed on the claims. **Allen:** Because it’s not a commercial general liability claim until it has been determined, probably with a reservation of rights letter. Until it is determined that there ain’t no coverage, that’s where they are going to find protection, so we are looking to provide a way to provide you with a defense. Pardon my French there, “ain’t no coverage.” They look for a way to provide you with a defense, if at all possible. The way this works is, if there is one part of one count in a complaint where it looks like there might be coverage, you’re going to get the benefit of that. You’re at least provided that defense under a reservation of rights letter. Right, Ed? **Raymond:** Yes. **Allen:** Ed, when’s your last day? **Raymond:** Tomorrow.
Allen: The next thing we have added this year. We actually had savings and we used part of that. It was important coverage, so the good news is that we didn’t have to spend extra money. We still spent less this year overall. We picked up some cyber liability coverage. In cyber security, there’s a lot of ways to say this. Cyber is an easy way – just the word “cyber” you know you’re in the right neighborhood – but cyber or cyber security is not all cookie cutter. It has a lot of different heads to it. Essentially, we were able to pick this up. We’re going with USLI – United

### CYBER LIABILITY POLICY

#### CYBER LIABILITY AND DATA SECURITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Coverage Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Data Breach/Security Breach Liability Each Claim Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 2,500</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 250,000</td>
<td>Data Breach Expense Limit Each Claim Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 250,000</td>
<td>Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 2,500</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 250,000</td>
<td>Website Liability Each Claim Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 250,000</td>
<td>Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 2,500</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 50,000</td>
<td>Identity Theft Each Claim Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 50,000</td>
<td>Aggregate Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 0</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maximum Limit of Liability available for all Claims or requests for expense payment under all coverages parts combined is $1,000,000

Retroactive Date for all coverage: Inception Date of Policy (7/1/2016)
States Liability Insurance Company or one of their member carriers – so it’s not with Chubb, but this is a company where we were able to find a good value at a very good price, but here’s the kind of thing that we’re getting. We’re getting, Part A is data breach, security breach, defense of regulatory proceedings, and fines and penalties. So, we get all that in coverage Part A. There’s a $1 million limit with a $2,500 deductible. Coverage Part B, which is $250,000 limit, things like data breach expense, cyber extortion threat expense – so, these are expenses that you are incurring, we reimburse you for those – business interruption expense and data restoration. Coverage Part C, which is another $250,000 limit, is website liability, covering claims for liable, slander, plagiarism, misappropriation of ideas, infringement and that sort of thing. So, there’s probably some overlap with that – but we’re not paying any extra for that – with our media policy that we have. Not everybody has one of those policies, so that is why this was in here. Coverage Part D, identity theft. This covers credit card, credit monitoring and other personal expenses incurred by board members, owners or partners in resolving identity theft issues. Again, now there’s some overlap with that and the identity theft only coverage that was added to our exporter’s package a year ago. Again, we didn’t go in there to get that, although we usually like to see that. You happen to have that identity theft coverage as a little add-on to the international, but we came in here to get the rest of these cyber coverages. So, it’s a real good value. We’re not paying a lot for that and we’re still spending less overall than we did a year ago.

Cat Fanciers’ Association, Inc.  
Premium Exhibit – By Policy  
July 1, 2016 Renewals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Description</th>
<th>2015-2016 Premium</th>
<th>2016-2017 Premium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Package</td>
<td>$43,989.00</td>
<td>$41,753.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Automobile</td>
<td>$369.00</td>
<td>$369.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers Compensation/Employers Liability</td>
<td>$813.00</td>
<td>$720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbrella Liability</td>
<td>$11,305.00</td>
<td>$11,418.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Property &amp; Liability</td>
<td>$10,665.00</td>
<td>$10,635.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media &amp; Internet Liability</td>
<td>$3,558.00</td>
<td>$3,558.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Liability</td>
<td>$5,861.00</td>
<td>$5,861.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Accident</td>
<td>$3,070.00</td>
<td>$3,070.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber Liability</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$1,160.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPOSURE CHANGE/SPECIAL OPTIONS:  
1. Alliance Building Limit from $2,450,000 to $2,464,000  
2. Alliance Building Personal Property Limit from $252,000 to $255,000  
3. Number of CFA Members from 2,600 to 2,510  
4. Number of Domestic Shows from 197 to 294  
5. Ohio Pools from $810,000 to $825,000  
6. Foreign Shows from 130 to 180  
7. Judges Traveling from 27 to 29  
8. Domestic Trips from 50 to 58  
9. Media & Internet Liability quota subject to receipt of legal and detailed application along with total revenue figure  
10. Terrorism Coverage included in Property, General Liability, Workers Compensation and Umbrella  

Allen: That’s the extent of my 44 minute presentation, ladies and gentlemen. You have been good enough to ask questions as they have come up and I tried to stop in my tracks to answer them. Any other questions? DelaBar: When I went through your report, I couldn’t find

---

### Premium Summary Page

**July 1, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10,327 due 7/1/16</td>
<td>$2,672 due 7/1/16</td>
<td>$11,305 due 7/1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,327 due 10/1/16</td>
<td>$2,671 due 10/1/16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,327 due 4/1/17</td>
<td>$2,671 due 4/1/17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers Compensation Policy #7164-81-91 Annual Premium: $687</td>
<td>Auto Policy #7350-45-33 Annual Premium: $396</td>
<td>Travel Accident Policy #6410-03-73 Annual Premium: $3,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$687 due 7/1/16</td>
<td>$369 due 7/1/16</td>
<td>$3,070 due 7/1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media/Internet Liability Policy #8166-2094 Annual Premium: $3,558</td>
<td>Cyber Liability Policy #CY0104/0785 Annual Premium: $1,160.10</td>
<td>Executive Protection Policy #8166-2759 Annual Premium: $5,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,558 due 7/1/16</td>
<td>$1,160.10 due 7/1/16</td>
<td>$5,861 due 7/1/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Hannon: I think that the fact that, every other year, Scott travels from Wooster, Ohio, to wherever our Annual Meeting may be shows the importance of CFA to Whitaker Myers. I thank you, Scott, for traveling out here. Allen: It’s a relationship that goes back to 1978, as far as we can figure. Hannon: It goes back a long time. Allen: Rich and Becky Jones. Hannon: Rich was one of the original owners of the company, and now you have taken over. Allen: In 1990 we did just that. I’m looking for somebody to do that for me one day. Hannon: I think it’s important that the owner of the company comes out and gives his report to us. Thank you. Allen: I’ll try to make it to one of your hospitalities, is that what you call them? We can chat about these incredibly interesting issues. Hannon: Believe it or not, Scott does have other things to talk about. He can talk to you about golf and all sorts of good things. Anger: Thank you, Scott. Hannon: Thanks, Scott.
(7) **TREASURER’S REPORT.**

Treasurer Barbara Schreck gave the following report:

**Overall Performance**

I am pleased to report the very positive results shown on the attached. We again had some challenges in the accounting function this past year. These have now been addressed and resolved. Many accounts have been subject to very detailed review and reconciliation. Positive changes have been made in the work flow and other processes related to the financial area.

The bottom line for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2016 was just over $400,000. This is quite an improvement over the last several years and is in excess of budget by approximately $370,000.

As usual, this and other results reported are unaudited. Once finalized the audited results will be issued if materially different.

**Key Financial Factors**

**Balance Sheet Items**

The balance sheet continues to be strong. No major capital outlays were necessary in the past fiscal year. For the upcoming fiscal year, there are several items budgeted. Those include the roof repair, front door replacement and two software projects. The latter include the final programming required to migrate the last of the functions currently on the very old HP system over to the Computan platform. Also being crafted is an entry program that will replace the prior version which is only marginally functional.

**Ordinary Income**

Revenues from Litters and Individual Cat Registrations (excluding Registration via Pedigree) were up from prior year by $115,437 and over budget by $48,841. Litter registrations were up by $87,074 and over budget by $46,971. The table below shows registration income based on gross revenue for fiscal year 2016 as compared to fiscal year 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year End</th>
<th>Cat Registration Revenue</th>
<th>Litter Registration Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>497,940</td>
<td>314,439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>382,503</td>
<td>227,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change</td>
<td>+ 30 %</td>
<td>+ 38 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, Registration via Pedigree is up by $41,311 over prior year.
The dollar increase is due not only to the increase in fees that went into effect June 1, 2015, but also an increase in numbers of registrations in all categories.

Total ordinary Income is up $461,690 from prior year and over budget by $358,360.

Another item that contributed to the increase in ordinary income was Cattery Registrations, up year over year by almost $150,000. Miscellaneous Registration Services was on the other hand down, due no doubt to the drop off in expedited registrations. Some line items that were less than prior year were Show Entry Surcharge, Show License and Fees Corrected and Duplicate Certificates. Other items that reflect an increase were Championship Confirmations, and most notably Certified Pedigrees by about $25,000.

Other Income and Expense

This category included Interest and Rental Income which were very close to prior year and budget. This category includes a few unusual and non-recurring items. This includes the consideration received for the cancellation on the Philadelphia venue for the CIS show for 2016, and credits applied from the American Express account.

Events

The CFA/Dr. Elsey’s Precious Cat Litter International Show was the only event held in fiscal year 2016. 2015. The 2016-2015 International Show resulted in a net profit of about $27,000. The 2015 2014 show yielded a loss of $24,505. In spite of a lower entry, several factors resulted in reductions of expenses, including aggressive contract negations with the venue and various hotels. More fund raising also contributed to the positive net result. Gate was significantly higher over prior year.

Kuta: I think you have the years mixed up for the 2015 and 2016 CIS in Other Income and Expense. It hasn’t happened yet in 2016. Schreck: You could be right, yes. That also was a major turn-around. We lost about $20,000 or so in 2014 but in 2015 it was $27,000 for the good. So, the net is almost $50,000. Yes, thank you. Obviously a slip of the lip.

Yearbook

The Yearbook was a loss of $9,780. This is unfavorable to budget which was a break even. This is higher than prior year’s loss of $1,483.

Almanac

For the 2016 fiscal year, On-Line Almanac and Cat Talk continue to share business classification due to their linked subscriptions. The net loss was $45,137 which was over the budgeted loss of $19,644 by $25,493. The larger than budgeted loss was due in part to some prior year adjustments that were not made until the current year.

Marketing Area

Marketing shows a loss of $44,315 which is very close to a budgeted loss of $45,830.
Central Office

Total Central Office expenses were $1,205,363 unfavorable to the budget of $962,964 and to prior year of $930,736. Major factors contributing to the increase included increased staff due to higher volume of registration related tasks, postage and shipping costs for foreign shipments, higher credit card fees due also to higher volume, website maintenance and other miscellaneous items.

Computer

The Computer Expense is again favorable to budget. Expenses were $55,467 for the fiscal year 2016. Budget was $58,836. The savings was due in part to less reliance of outside services for the year.

CFA Programs

The CFA Programs area again came in under budget this year. The total expense for the year was $177,953, budgeted was $183,144. Prior year’s expense was $169,978. Several committee expenses were slightly over budget but Club Assistance was less than budgeted by about $11,000.

Corporate Expense

Total Corporate Expense was unfavorable to budget, by About $15,000. However, a large adjustment was put into this category that related to the cleanup of various accounts, most notably the Accounts Receivable. This one item was close to $55,000. Without that non-recurring item, Corporate Expense would have been under budget by about $40,000. This favorable difference largely relates to the Annual Meeting expenses being less than budgeted. The lower expenses were the result of two main items: the allowance from the hotel due to their cancellation of the original contract, and the very favorable exchange rate enjoyed. We were also able to obtain a refund of a large portion of the GST, i.e. the Canadian sales tax on rooms, beverages and other amounts paid.

Outreach and Education

This category again was favorable to budget. Cost savings were effectuated in the Publicity/Public Relations category and Outreach/Education Expenses. Year over year expenses were also lower.

Legislative Expense

Legislative Expense was only slightly higher than budget and prior year.

Respectfully Submitted,
Barbara Schreck, Treasurer

Schreck: This is the Treasurer’s Report. I won’t go into it in detail. I’m sure you have all read it and memorized it, but I did want to make a couple comments. First of all, I expect a round
of applause. I’ll take full credit for the bottom line of $400,000 in profit. Really, I can’t take credit for it, but I wanted to anyway. You will see the slide tomorrow, but the net income trend starting in 2008 was negative, 2009 was negative, 2010 and 2011 a little bit of profit, 2012 was a little over $100,000, 2013 $200,000, 2014 $100,000 and 2015 was about $25,000. I don’t have it exactly. So, we have really done well this past year. You see from my report the items that have contributed to that lovely bottom line, but I did want to make just one small comment. One of the most encouraging factors to me, and I’ll mention this in my presentation tomorrow, is the increase in new cattery registrations. It was up almost $150,000 year over year and no, they weren’t all in China. The previous year as I recall – I didn’t have time to look this up – was also over $40,000 or $50,000 over the previous year in new cattery registrations or renewals, mostly new. To me, that’s a major encouraging factor. That means we have new people who will be breeding cats, showing cats and giving more and more life to our organization. We want to encourage these new people, the newbies, for sure. That’s all I have to say.
(8)  **AUDIT COMMITTEE.**

**Committee Chair:**  Barbara Schreck  
**List of Committee Members:**  Karen Boyce, Karen Godwin, Carla Bizzell, Ed Raymond

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

The auditing firm has been engaged, and began their field work on June 6, 2016.

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

Ongoing interaction with the auditors to address any questions or issues that they might raise.

**Future Projections for Committee:**

Review of audited statements and tax filings when completed for accuracy. Copies of the final audited statements will be disseminated when completed and signed off by all parties.

**Board Action Items:**

None

**Time Frame:**

Audited statements are expected to be completed by the end of July 31, 2016

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

N/A

Respectfully Submitted,
Barbara Schreck, Chair

**Hannon:** You are next on the agenda for the Audit Committee. **Schreck:** The audit has been started. These are unaudited numbers, as always has been presented, because we are unable to get the audit completed in time for this meeting. Our year end, as you know, is in April but then we have to close in May and then we have a year of adjustments, so it’s just not possible. They have started. Their field work is to be completed yesterday, I think. So far, we have been able to answer all of their queries. I am not aware at this point of any major adjustments; in fact, I haven’t heard of any. We will see what the net result is in the end. When that report is finalized, if there are any significant bearings from this, it will be sent out.
(9) **FINANCE COMMITTEE.**

Committee Chair: Rich Mastin  
List of Committee Members: Barb Schreck, Carla Bizzell, Ed Raymond & Rich Mastin

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

- Annual insurance coverage policies and quote.
- 2021 Annual Hotel Contract negotiations and review.
- 2016 International Show (Nov. 19th & 20th, Suburban Collection Showplace, Novi Michigan):
  - Show Hall and Show Hall-Hotel negotiations and contract review.

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

- Accessible to Central Office Management Team, Special Events Coordinator, Treasurer, Budget and Audit Committee Chair.
- Review weekly bank account balances and biweekly payroll reports.
  - As of June 17, 2016 combined bank accounts totaled $1,996,657.58.
- Review monthly financial profit & loss statements and commentaries to previous year’s performance.
- Review and advise as needed on contractual agreements/arrangements and capital improvement needs.
- 2017 International Show Hall Proposal from Suburban Collection Showplace, Novi Michigan, waiting on formal proposal to present to the Board for review.
- Working with Roger Brown on Neogene’s DNA Program and Contract.

**Future Projections for Committee:**

- Follow through on tasks, projects and contracts in process.

**Board Action Items:**

- None
What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

- Committee’s progress and updates.

Respectfully Submitted,

Rich Mastin
Rich Mastin, Chair

Hannon: Rich, Finance Committee. Mastin: Anybody have any questions on my report? I don’t have any board action items.
Southern Regional Director: Lisa Marie Kuta
SW Region Annual Chair: Cyndy Byrd
Vendor Coordinator: Darrell Newkirk
Las Vegas Liaisons: Ellyn Honey, Darrell Newkirk, Cindy Yanez, Liz Armitage
Fundraisers: Bruce Isenberg, Cyndy Byrd, John Webster, Linda Smith, Lisa Marie Kuta
Entertainment Consultants: Joni Moss Graham, Ellyn Honey, Holly Ayers, Liz Armitage
Decorations: Connie Wardlaw, Lisa Highlan, Sherry Highlan, Beth Feininger
Thursday Hospitality: Andrea Bohren, Bruce Isenberg, Cyndy Byrd, Joni Moss Graham, Larry Smith, Linda Smith
Awards Banquet Emcee: Darrell Newkirk
Banquet Benching: Donna Isenberg
Centerpieces: Sherry Highlan
Announcing Consultants: Cyndy Byrd, Art Graafmans
On the Road Again: Cheryl Coleman
Awards Sponsorship: Mary Kolencik
Awards Distribution: Karen Lane, Sandy Faust
Banquet Ushers: Bruce Isenberg, Garrett Demerest, Larry Smith, Lawrence Hallanger, Robert Salisbury, Timothy Murphy
General On-the-Spot Assistants: Andrea Bohren, Cyndy Byrd, Donna Isenberg, Linda Smith, Lisa Marie Kuta

**Hannon:** Terry, 2016 Annual. **Barry:** What I would like to do is, please, I want to make sure everyone has received the newest copy of the event schedule. This was updated as of yesterday afternoon, so this is the last printed copy that will have any updates. From here on out, if there’s any changes, I can get some more if you all don’t have them. They will be done by announcements. We don’t expect any other changes. I do want you to know Sunday’s board meeting is not in this room. It’s in a different room, so please note that. The next thing is, I want to thank Lisa, Darrell, Carla and everybody with boots on the ground here. You have just been tremendous. There are a lot of other people in the background that have assisted Central Office. I have to give kudos to Allene [Tartaglia]. She has done a very nice job. A few things changed and I missed them because this will only be my second Annual, so please afterwards if you have any problems, do not hesitate to see either myself, Allene or Pat [Zollman]. We’re trying to get the VIP keys for everyone. They are working on that right now. If you have any issues right now, as I said, please see us throughout the next couple of days. If you want to take some time afterwards, after you have had some time to think about it, I would love a critique of what you liked and what you didn’t like, that type of thing. It’s only going to help us improve when we do the next site selection. I would like all of you please to encourage everybody to visit our vendors and to please thank them. That’s something we’re going to try and grow over the next couple of years, but we would really like to see their sales go up. They brought a number of products with them. So again, thank you for all who have helped. Your input is greatly appreciated. **Kuta:** I wanted to give special thanks to Cyndy Byrd for all the work that she did. She has been doing work for years on this, for the regional aspect of it. I just want to give her thanks.
Executive Director Teresa Barry presented the following report:

Committee Chair: Teresa (Terri) Barry  
Liaison to Board: Teresa (Terri) Barry  
List of Committee Members: Teresa (Terri) Barry, Verna Dobbins and Allene Tartaglia

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:
A contract was executed with John Colilla to assist with the ongoing software development for the Computan system. His focus is to facilitate the development of the remaining modules still in use on the HP. Staff continued to assist with the computer systems update.

The added responsibilities and deadlines for the Annual Meeting were the focus for C.O. during this period. Staff worked on such items as the delegate booklet, awards booklet, awards ordering, decorations, Friday night hospitality and entertainment, voice over announcing for the slide show, printing and general overall coordination of the event. Many volunteers from within and outside the Southwest Region are assisting staff both before and during the Annual.

A thank you was received from the Winn Foundation for CFA’s recent donation.

The close of the 2015/2016 show season was handled with some issues. But the close went much smoother than last year, with yearend reports completed two weeks earlier than last year.

The close of the fiscal year and preparation were made for the upcoming annual audit.

Conducted site visits to Dallas and Houston for the 2021 Annual.

The Executive Director and Show Licensing’s Associate attended Region 9’s Awards Banquet, Show and Regional meeting in Niedernhausen, Germany May 28th & 29th.

Annual reviews and pay raises were completed for all Associates.

Arrangements with additional hotels for overflow rooms in the Novi area for the upcoming CIS were handled.

A site visit made to the Red Rock Resort for the upcoming 2016 Annual by Allene, Darrell Newkirk, Ellyn Honey, Cyndy Byrd, Pat Zollman and Terri Barry.

Current Happenings of Committee:
Central Office I.T. update: Submitted by Tim Schreck, Chair, I.T. Committee, report will be presented by Tim Schreck through Dick Kallmeyer, I.T. liaison with the Board. C.O. continues to work with the I.T. Committee to assist with the implementation of new modules or updates as necessary by Computan. Also assisting John as needed with the details and processes of the duties they are currently preforming on the H.P.
Continued final preparations for the 2016 Annual Meeting and Awards Banquet this was a key areas of focus for C.O. This is crunch time for staff with additional awards adding to the workload. Finalizing all details with the hotel for all functions such as meeting room space, AV food and hotel reservations, other such duties as voice over for the awards slide show, signage, shipping of all supplies, printing of booklets and tracking all reservations are just a few areas handled by staff.

Regional Awards were formatted, printed and mailed for all Regional Banquets.

Best of Color Awards were printed and mailed from Central Office.

The audit for 2015/2016 began June 6, 2016. C.O. is assisting the Auditors upon request.

Site was selected for the 2021 Annual meeting, contract negotiated and signed.

The Central Office building received a new roof and redesigned front doors.

The Executive Director will attend the Region 4 Awards Banquet.

**Future Projections for Committee:**

Review and evaluate the 2016 Annual Meeting and Awards Banquet. Compare costs and attendance to previous year.

International Show 2016 Planning – work with the Show Manager on all aspects of the show in areas of show committee appointment, show flyer, show hall layout, judges, show events such as Breed Awareness, Ambassador Cats, Education Ring, etc.

World Cat Congress 2017 Planning – work with the Show Manager and WCC liaison, Rachel Anger, on all aspects of the show and WCC delegate dinners, outings, etc. We are in touch with the Las Vegas Cat Club and the Flamingo Hotel concerning the location of the event.

**Board Action Items:**

Executive Session is requested to seek Board guidance. To be presented by George Eigenhauser and Verna Dobbins.

**Time Frame:**

Items will be reported out when completed.

**What will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

To be determined.

Respectfully Submitted,
Teresa Barry, Chair
Hannon: Central Office Report. Barry: I really don’t have any updates. Barb covered what we are doing with the audit and what is already in my report. I will be happy to answer anything. We have been spending a lot of time preparing for this, working with the audit and continued IT support that Central Office is trying to work through issues with CompuTan. That’s it. Hannon: Verna, you had something? Dobbins: Yes. Earlier we talked about the flyer report that I passed out. We have made a decision after our conversation with Mark. We’re going to give the first warning that they have to submit their flyer, and then they get 10 days to send it in, then we’re going to give them another warning and copy the regional directors on that. The problem is, if they don’t do it there is no consequences, there’s no penalty. What do you wish to do from there? Hannon: You can see on the report that there are a number of clubs that have not submitted anything, despite the follow-up to them. It’s kind of frustrating. If they don’t send it in, what are we going to do? DelaBar: I caught one. I went through and got – my club is on here. The majority of them have submitted flyers. One that I saw that did not submit a flyer, I went and emailed them. They emailed back and said, “yes, it was sent it on such-and-such a date.” I have told Verna about it. They are double checking the mail. Hannon: I’m not hearing any response to, what do you want to do if they don’t send it in. Schreck: Two things. First of all, I don’t recall ever getting a request for one. I wasn’t aware until recently we had to do this, but I also want to make the observation that most clubs have them posted on Cats USA, so I don’t know how hard it would be for someone from Central Office. Hannon: The problem is, they were supposed to have submitted it within 15 days. Frequently, if the club’s show is a year off or 10 months off or something, they are not doing a flyer within 15 days of licensing the show, and so they are not in compliance with the show rule. Schreck: Does the show rule need to be changed so that they have to submit it within 30 days of the show or something? Dick said it already is within 30 days, but you just said it has to be with 15 days of the license. So, we have two different rules. Hannon: We passed the rule that way. That’s the way we passed the rule. If the show is licensed 30 days or less, it has to be included with the application. Kallmeyer: Otherwise, 15 days of the license. Eigenhauser: Since we now have a procedure in place for Central Office to remind them and the delegates are going to be talking about this tomorrow anyway, maybe we should table this until August to see if we should make some changes to the rule – either make it longer, make it shorter, or if we’re not going to enforce it, just eliminate it – but this is something I think we could take up in August and, if we need to, send it to Monte so he can send it to us in October. Hannon: Everybody agreeable to that? We wait until August after we see what happens with the various resolutions at tomorrow’s meeting? McCullough: What does Central Office do with the flyer? Dobbins: It gets attached to the show license and put in the file. McCullough: So, like in the past 2 weeks we had 3 judges’ changes, do I send you 4 flyers? Which one do you throw out? Dobbins: We don’t throw any of them out. McCullough: So, why do you need it? Dobbins: It’s a show rule. McCullough: That’s the only reason? So, if we get rid of the show rule, then all of this will go away. Hannon: My assumption is, the reason they have it is if somebody calls the office with a question, they can look at the flyer. Dobbins: As far as a customer or exhibitor? Hannon: Anybody that contacts you. If somebody wants to know, is there a show in my area and who can I get some information on, you can provide them with the name of the entry clerk or something. McCullough: We have a link on the website that you click that tells you where to go. Dobbins: Because the flyers will only be available to Michelle, most of the other girls do use Cat Shows US. Hannon: So, what you’re telling me is, there’s no reason for Central Office to have the flyer. Dobbins: Other than the rule, no. Hannon: If we do away with the rule, it wouldn’t cause any problem. Fellerman:
That rule has probably been around since way before there was an internet, no doubt. DelaBar: No, we changed the rule at our telephonic meeting to require that the flyer went in with the show license, and we had specific reasons for requesting that. Hannon: What are those reasons, if they never use the thing. DelaBar: One of the reasons was to make sure that we didn’t have situations pop up in China that we had popping up where there are no show flyers out with entry fees. Hannon: At this point, whether it be China or anyplace else, if they don’t put out a flyer, nothing happens unless there’s a protest. DelaBar: It’s the same thing with any of our show rules. Unless somebody protests it and there’s a problem, it just goes smoothly on and life goes on. Are we going to back up our show rule if somebody makes a complaint? Are we going to enforce it? Hannon: We decided we are going to wait until August to discuss it because we want to see what happens with the resolutions at tomorrow’s meeting, right? That was my understanding.

* * * * *

The following motions were made in executive session:

- After review and approval of the contract, that CFA proceed with the purchase of an entry clerk program and associated support. **Motion Carried.**

- That Cats World Club (Region 9) be restored as a club in good standing. **Motion Carried.**

- Regarding a cat with multiple registration numbers, that the primary parties will be contacted and asked to submit a history of the issue. **Motion Carried.**
2016 INTERNATIONAL SHOW.

Committee Chair: Rich Mastin
List of Committee Members: Rachel Anger, Mark Hannon, Debbie Kusy, Rich Mastin

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Show Manager: Debbie Kusy

Date: November 19 & 20, 2016

Location: Suburban Collection Showplace, 46100 Grand Rive Ave, Novi, Michigan 48374

Shows: Two seven-ring shows (Red Show & Purple Show)

First Round of Judges (one from each Region):

1. Sharon Roy
2. Wain Harding
3. Pamela Bassett
4. Rachel Anger
5. Darrell Newkirk
6. Brian Pearson
7. Donald Williams
8. Yaeko Takano
9. Pam DelaBar

Second Round of At-Large Judges will be announced at tomorrow's Delegate Meeting (July 1, 2016).

Current Happenings of Committee:

Securing hotels through Helms Briscoe

Future Projections for Committee:

Task assignments and budget.

Board Action Items:

Show formats.

Mastin: The report for the International Show for 2016 is there. We will be – actually, I believe Michelle is doing this. She is going to be involved in announcing the second round of at-
large judges for this event. Currently, there is a 3-way tie for 4th and 5th, so we have to break a tie. There’s just a couple people who know who they are. **Hannon:** We’re just going to put the three names in a hat at the meeting tomorrow and have Michelle draw out the names. The first two will judge the show and the third will be the first alternate. **Mastin:** No coin flipping.

**Hannon:** And then once that’s accomplished, then the plan is to put the names of all 14 judges in a hat and pull out the three that will be judging the Best in Show. We have to determine what the rest of the slate is first. I picked Michelle because she’s the person that received the ballots, counted the ballots, and she licenses shows and handles all the club stuff. I want people to put a face with whoever they are dealing with.

**Mastin:** The only board action we have is deciding on the format. Last year, the board was involved in deciding the format. I just want to remind everyone, as we got closer to the show last year, people wanted to change the format and we stuck with our decision. We didn’t know the direction of what was going to happen and the reason why we may want to change it, but there were some reasons to change it. So, keep that in mind as you are discussing show formats.

**Hannon:** This year we have two fewer rings, one in each show. The reason for that is because we only get half the show hall until Friday morning, so setting up those extra rings, we felt we weren’t going to have the time to do, so we cut it down to 7 rings per show. So, we’re talking about a total of 14 judges, as oppose to last year’s 16. **Mastin:** I’m opening it up to the group.

**Hannon:** Does somebody have a motion suggesting how many allbreeds, how many specialties.

**Schreck:** I don’t have a motion about that, but I did want to throw something else out in the midst. I had spoken with Rich about this after last year. I wonder if it would be beneficial in attracting entries if, for this show only, we gave one additional champion and premier win. I think a lot of people who think they have a cat that’s maybe not top notch competitive but nonetheless could pick up some champion or premier points might be more encouraged if we added that one additional slot, so there would be 4 champions and 3 premiers, just for this show.

**Hannon:** Are you making that a motion? **Schreck:** I am. **Hannon:** Is there a second? **DelaBar:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. *Motion Carried.* Wilson and Moser voting no.

**Hannon:** Does somebody want to make a motion suggesting how many allbreed and how many specialty rings? **Newkirk:** Can Rich refresh our memories on what we had last year? **Mastin:** I believe last year it was 4 and 4 for champions and premiers, and 8 specialties for kittens, correct? All specialty for kittens. **Hannon:** There was grief given to us about that, about the kittens, because they wanted all breed points in order to be competitive with, at that point they though China. **Colilla:** How about 4 and 3 – 4 allbreed, 3 specialty, but instead of a judging doing all specialty, give some of the specialty to the allbreed judges, so it saves some time. **Bizzell:** Second. **Hannon:** So, somebody might be allbreed championship and specialty kitten. Move it around. **Colilla:** This way, it is spread out. **Anger:** That is how we have always done it. **Hannon:** Yes, we have done it before. Is it for everybody across the board? Championship, premiership and kittens, 4 and 3. **Kuta:** Our rationale for doing 8 kitten specialty, was it to not penalize the kittens that weren’t at the right age? Was that it? **Hannon:** We didn’t want to determine the national kitten awards at that show. **Newkirk:** Which is what we will do. **Hannon:** I think, because of the situation that was going on last year, we had a lower kitten count than we normally would have,
because the kitten exhibitors had given up. Is there any more discussion on John’s motion to have 4 allbreeds and 3 specialties?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Eigenhauser, Kuta, Newkirk, Anger and McCullough voting no.

**Hannon:** What did you guys want? **Anger:** Kittens broken out. **Hannon:** You wanted fewer allbreed rings for kittens? **Newkirk:** Yes. **Dugger:** What were the complaints? Why they didn’t want to have all specialty. **Hannon:** Because it diluted the points. They felt that the points over in Asia were so high that, here was an opportunity for them to pick up some decent points in this country. **Colilla:** But it doesn’t matter this year. **Hannon:** As it turned out, but at the time we hadn’t changed the scoring procedures, so it had an impact on people’s attitude toward the whole thing. **Kuta:** I am hesitant to even bring this up, but I think that some kittens that were at the show and were in all specialty rings, if it had been allbreed, they could have met the point minimum for the nationals and then gotten a national win. That is neither here nor there now. **Hannon:** What happened, and the feedback I got from a lot of people was that they stopped showing their kittens because they didn’t think they could reach that minimum.

**Wilson:** In the allbreed rings for kittens, how many places are there in the finals? **Hannon:** What we have done in the past in kittens is, we did top 20 regardless of whether it was allbreed or specialty. Do you want to bring that up? Make a motion. **Wilson:** I just asked because that really kind of abrogates some of the issue with the specialty versus allbreed. If you are doing top 20 kittens, you have 10 more kittens going into a final in an allbreed ring than you normally would at a show, which may or may not have a lower count, depending on how it’s entered. **Hannon:** How many points is 20th best kitten going to pick up. **Schreck:** 5%. **Hannon:** That’s kind of bleak. **Wilson:** That’s why I feel comfortable with splitting it the way it is, because I think it evens things out. **Hannon:** Alright, so how deep do we want to go? Are we talking top 20 kittens, top 15 championship, top 15 premiership? Is that what we did last year? **Mastin:** That’s what we have done in the past. **Hannon:** Do we want to keep that? So, it’s top 20, top 15 and top 15. What is Household Pets, top 10? **Mastin:** I don’t remember. **Newkirk:** If you have 45, it’s top 15. **Hannon:** Anybody have any other questions about the International Show? **Mastin:** Can we get a clarification on what the motion now is? **Hannon:** We voted on 4 and 3. **Schreck:** Do we need to vote on how many? **Hannon:** Unless somebody wants to make a motion, we’ll just stick with what we have. **Mastin:** The reason I ask is because you asked the group that voted no what they want, you allowed them to speak and they told you they wanted fewer allbreed kittens, and we just kind of moved on. **Hannon:** Right. That’s all I intended to do. I just wanted to understand why they voted against it. They all seemed to be in agreement that that’s why they voted no. **Newkirk:** I would like to up the number of championship placements we give out. If we’re going to give 20 kittens, why can’t we give 20 championship in the allbreed? **Hannon:** Historically, this show has been half kittens, so there are far more kittens than championship and premiership cats, is why we did 20 for the kittens. **Newkirk:** But it might be a carrot for some people to come if there’s 5 more placements in the allbreed rings. **Hannon:** Make a motion. **Newkirk:** I make a motion that we do top 20 in the allbreed rings for championship. **McCullough:** Second. **Schreck:** Just the allbreed rings for championship? **Newkirk:** Just the allbreed rings, allbreed championship. **Hannon:** Complete it though. What do you want to do for kittens? What do you want to do for premiers? **Newkirk:** We’re going to stick with 20 kittens. **Hannon:** Whether it’s allbreed or specialty it’s 20 kittens. And premiership, whether it’s allbreed or specialty, it’s 15.
championship, it’s top 20 allbreed, top 15 specialty? Newkirk: Yes. Eigenhauser: What about Household Pet? Newkirk: If there’s over 45, they get top 15. Isn’t that what the rule is? The rule is 45 and they go to top 15. I’ll leave that according to how many we get. Hannon: You made that a motion. Did somebody second the motion? Newkirk: Steve did. Hannon: Is there any discussion? Kallmeyer: What about timing? Was it pretty tight last year? If you’re doing 20 in championship, it’s obviously going to take a couple rings. Newkirk: Traditionally, we get big counts and there’s a lot of absentee. I’ve not had a problem getting through the schedule, and I think adding 5 more on for that one – I think Rachel and whoever else on here has judged that show. I mean, it’s busy and you are working hard, but it’s exhilarating at the same time. Mastin: If I have this right, that means each show will judge 5 additional cats in championship. However, we have one less ring than we had in the past. I don’t know how that plays into the timing, but it could. DelaBar: It’s not particularly the time it’s going to take to get through the cats, but it’s the time that the show schedule has, so everybody has a chance. If I’m getting top 20 kittens and then again top 20 in championship, and we haven’t even heard from the premiership people, that’s really going to eat up time when they are trying to work out an equitable show schedule. Newkirk: We’re giving 5 additional awards is all. DelaBar: I know, but that adds up. Let’s say 20 minutes to a half hour for a final normally, and we do top 10. You’re going to basically double that size. It’s not going to take an hour to do a final, but it’s still going to tack on more time. It’s not just my ring, it’s the other 8 rings on top of that. Schreck: It’s 3, because the shows are independent of one another. Kallmeyer: You’ll need amphetamines for the scorers, because it’s pretty intense at the end. It really is, with a lot of finals. McCullough: You can handle it, Dick. Newkirk: Let’s call the question. Colilla: Can I make one suggestion? A couple years ago when I was doing it, I had to wait for another judge for over an hour to do her allbreed final, and then she [DelaBar] is behind me. Can you tell whoever is doing it, don’t take an hour to do their final? Because we were the last two out. Ronna was your clerk, remember? You were behind me. DelaBar: No, I was the second one done. Colilla: Well, whoever was next to me, they couldn’t get their cats because they had to wait for me and I had to wait for this person for over an hour. Hannon: I think part of the problem is judges that aren’t flexible. There was a complaint last year about a judge that would not release cats, and it upset the other judges. McCullough: They are back again this year. Anger: No. Hannon: That judge? Maybe. Mastin: So, my question may get shot down. Would this board ever consider allowing that show to hang finals on a cat that is not present? I’m just asking. Colilla: Rule violation. DelaBar: We set aside some show rules for this show. Schreck: We could pass it for this show as an exception. Wilson: I just want to make a suggestion. If show management would have a little meeting with the judges and be clear that they are to release the cats? And secondly, you can do your final out of order. If you’re waiting for a cat, you can wait for that cat to come and hang it on the cat. I know nobody likes to do that, but it’s a work-around. DelaBar: Can we get some order in this hall? We’ve got some side conversations going on and we can’t hear Annette over here. Wilson: I forgot what I was going to say. Hannon: Perhaps we can have a letter go to the judges from the show secretary instructing them to be flexible and release cats when requested, etc. There’s a motion on the floor.

Hannon called the motion. Motion Carried. DelaBar voting no. Mastin abstained.

**Time Frame:**

*Ongoing over the next few months leading up to the event*
What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Updates and progress.

Respectfully Submitted,

Rich Mastin
Rich Mastin, Chair

Hannon: OK, are we through with the International Show? Mastin: Yes.
Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

- Updated Sponsorships Questionnaire / Request Form (below)

Current Happenings of Committee:

- Receive and approve club sponsorships as requested.
- CFA and CFA Corporate Club Sponsorships
  - 2015 – 2016 CFA Sponsorships:
    ▪ 62 total clubs sponsored for the entire year, a total of $30,850.00 paid out.
    ▪ 2 clubs did not submit post-show requirements, (leaving $500.00 unpaid to the 2 clubs).
  - 2016 – 2017 CFA Sponsorships:
    ▪ 19 Clubs sponsored to date, with $7,560.00 paid out thus far.
    ▪ Note – additional clubs have likely been approved since the submission of this report.
  - 2015 Dr. Elsey’s Sponsorships:
    ▪ 19 Clubs awarded sponsorship funds.
    ▪ 6 Clubs selected to receive funds did not hold shows.
  - 2016 Dr. Elsey’s Sponsorships:
    ▪ 44 Clubs selected: 36 clubs have been paid sponsorship amounts, 1 club will not be holding a show, 1 club never responded and their date has passed and 7 clubs have yet to respond and accept terms of sponsorship.

Future Projections for Committee:

- Program is ongoing throughout the year; approve requests as they come in and payout funds.

Board Action Items:

- None

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

- Updated awards granted.

Respectfully Submitted,

Rich Mastin
Rich Mastin, Chair
Hannon: Next on the agenda is Rich with Show Sponsorship. Mastin: There’s an update since I submitted this, if it matters. On the CFA and CFA Corporate Sponsorships, the first bullet, 2015-2016 CFA Sponsorships, since June 20th we received 3 clubs that submitted their post-show requirements, so the numbers changed slightly. For the entire year, the total pay-out was $30,850 leaving only 2 clubs who have not submitted post-show requirements, for a total of $500. Around June 20th, I had suggested to Verna to send an email out to those clubs and copy in all the regional directors that were waiting on the post-show requirements, and if you guys helped get those in, thank you. We want to give them the money.

Mastin: The update for 2016-2017 CFA sponsorships, we picked up 5 more clubs in the past 10 days and we have paid out to date so far $7,560. For Dr. Elsey’s 2016, the last bullet, one more club has been paid the sponsorship amount. So, those were the updates since I last submitted this. That’s all I have unless anybody has any questions or comments. Hannon: Verna, do you have anything you want to say? Dobbins: So far, so good.
**Current Happenings of Committee:**

For the Board to consider a large show Out-West in 2017, and to offer the committee advice and direction.

**Suggested Weekend:** 2\textsuperscript{nd} or 3\textsuperscript{rd} full weekend in March

**Suggested Format:** it may be necessary to offer similar/same format as CIS in November (concern: lower attendance if different than what is planned for November’s CIS).

**Suggested Location:**

- Portland is the first choice (very nice facility, reasonably priced and a dependable group willing to help).
- Other – Phoenix / California (California may likely be expensive).

**Show Manager:** Pam Moser is willing to be Show Manager, and appoint Assistant/Co-Show Manager.

**Future Projections for Committee:**

Securing date and finding location should the Board approve.

**Board Action Items:**

Approve the concept in order to begin planning and putting together this event.

**Time Frame:**

Have date and location secured by September 1, 2016.

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

Progress and plans on event.

Respectfully Submitted,

**Rich Mastin**
Rich Mastin, Chair

**Hannon:** The Out West Show, Rich. **Mastin:** First, I want to start with, we’re not married to any concept at this point in time. We would like to get some feedback of what the board would like to see. Pam and I have had one or two phone conversations, a number of
emails, and we met again this morning. There is slightly a little different direction on what we are proposing here. This initial proposal is suggesting to the board we consider a second show out west in March. What Pam and I have come back with, maybe the board wants to consider alternating the years from west to east. So, we want you guys to give us some feedback, help us decide the direction. We are prepared to answer questions on the second part – alternating the shows from east to west. Pam reached out to the facility and got some information, should that be the direction you folks would like to go. **Hannon:** To clarify what he is saying, he really doesn’t want to do the March show as a second show. **Mastin:** We have some concerns. One of the big concerns Pam and I had when we talked a little over a week ago was, who are we going to impact that already has that weekend? Is it really fair to those clubs on the 2nd or 3rd weekend of March. I don’t think that should be our intent – to create hardships on clubs that have had those weekends for however long. So, that was our first concern. Our second concern is obviously the finances. I’ve spoken on this topic a number of times over the past few years. This event doesn’t necessarily have to make money, but if it costs money we want to prevent our losses to some extent. We don’t want to turn it into a $50,000 or $100,000 loss, but there’s got to be a return on that investment. Those were our two big considerations when we decided to talk about alternating years. Maybe it’s not a good idea after we do it once, or maybe it’s a great idea after we do it once, and we find it’s well received. We’re throwing that out, and like I said we are prepared to answer questions, more so Pam because it would be out west and she would be responsible for it. **Newkirk:** Are we talking about alternating the November date east and west? The March date would be out. **Mastin:** That’s correct. **Moser:** There would be no March date. **Anger:** For the first year, if we went forward with the March date – **Hannon:** We’re not doing a March date. He just said that. We’ve thrown the March date out. We’re talking now November and alternating a November show from east to west. **Anger:** Sorry, I was researching the calendar. So, we are completely abandoning March. **DelaBar:** You know, Rich, that originally when this came up, I could not support the two show concept because I thought it diluted the primary reason for having a show to begin with. **Mastin:** Right. **DelaBar:** This I can support. **Mastin:** Thank you. **DelaBar:** It doesn’t make any different with my region. Both Edward and I, no matter where you have the show, our people have to fly in from overseas anyway. **Mastin:** Just to touch on what Pam talked about earlier for those that don’t remember, when Pam originally proposed this, it was proposed two shows on the same weekend in different parts of the country. Everybody in the room was opposed to that. However, I wanted to work with Pam on coming up with a different idea of accommodating the east-west and not doing it simultaneous. **Hannon:** Every year we got complaints from George and others saying, “you’ve got to bring it out west, stop keeping it in the east.” What I object to is making a commitment that every other year it’s going to be out west. What I would support is taking it out west in 2017 and let’s see what happens. **Mastin:** That’s all we’re asking. **Hannon:** That’s not what you said. You said we were going to alternate this. **Mastin:** My mistake. **Moser:** But he did follow up with, “to see where it goes.” **Hannon:** Right, and if it’s a success, then we can do that. If it isn’t a success in 2017, then I don’t think this board should be committed to it. **Schreck:** When you say “east-west,” understanding that of course the west would be an experiment for the first year, where would you say “east” would be? Would Novi be east? [yes] My concern is, when you say “east or west” that if you go to California and you go to Philadelphia, you have already cut off half of the potential drivers, because nobody lives in either ocean, that I’m aware of. So, you have already cut off a lot of people that could otherwise drive to those shows, whereas if you have it more central, like Novi, and the analysis that I sent to Rich a couple years ago showed
that the number of entries from the surrounding area in Novi versus the number of entries from
the surrounding area of Philadelphia were much higher because people could drive, and when
you drive you can bring more than one cat. **Hannon:** But you’re ignoring the fact that Novi is so
far north that you’ve effectively alienated Region 3. **McCullough:** Correct. **Hannon:** Kansas
City or St. Louis would be more central. **Schreck:** But it’s not more central to your exhibitor
base. **Hannon:** Yeah it is. Yeah it is. **Schreck:** Whatever. **Kuta:** I want to know if alternating
and putting it on the west coast would be an experiment. The shows on the east coast, the ones
that have had multiple years to prove themselves and get it tweaked correctly, so I would want
that same kind of chance, to be able to say, “hey, maybe the first year wasn’t successful, but that
doesn’t mean we’re never going to do it again, it means, “here are the changes we can make.”
**Hannon:** All I want to do is make a commitment for 2017 in Portland and we’re flexible. I’m
not saying we’re not going to go back because it wasn’t a huge success, but I’m saying we’ll
evaluate it after that show and see what we want to do at that point. **Eigenhauser:** We kind of
have to avoid the concept of “center” because geographic center is kind of in the middle of
nowhere. People live in clusters. There are clusters that live on the east coast, there’s a cluster on
the west coast, and parts of the middle are pretty empty, so putting it in the geographic center
means nobody is there, which I think was Barbara’s point. So, California alone is something like
10% of the population of the United States, so having something on the west coast, you’re
putting a significant number of people, particularly from northern California and the Bay area,
who could drive up to Portland. So, I think we can support a show up there and I think we can
get a significant amount of drive-up entry there, but no matter where you put it, even if you put it
in St. Louis, you’re still going to exclude a huge chunk of the United States because people have
very limited ideas of what’s driving distance, so I think what we need to do is not look at where
is a nice center, but we need to look at where there are chunks of population. The west coast does
have a significant population. **Hannon:** But not in the cat fancy. **Eigenhauser:** Not all of it.
**Hannon:** Within the cat fancy, the heaviest population is in Regions 1, 4 and 7. **Kallmeyer:**
Almost 70%. **Newkirk:** One of my concerns, if it worked out that we’re flip flopping back and
forth, is that most times it didn’t work out so well in Kansas City, but a lot of times we develop a
certain number of people that come back year after year to these shows. If we start jumping back
and forth, we’re going to lose that. **Brown:** A follow-up on Darrell’s comment is that we’re also
reducing the ability to negotiate multi-year contract discounts. Basically, jumping show halls is
going to cost us. **Hannon:** That’s definitely happening here, because we were talking to Novi
about a second year. There’s no sense in doing that if we’re going to go to Portland. **Kuta:** Is
Portland set it stone? In the report it said suggested locations. **Moser:** I looked at Portland and
the reason why I did that was that there is a base there that can help with the show. The show hall
is very inexpensive and it’s a nice facility. There’s hotels around it, and we have a great
opportunity for the advertising. There’s a TICA show that’s in our area that has a horrible
facility, and they get 40,000 in gate. It’s in a tiny show hall of 8,000 square feet, but because of
their advertising person, which I just found out would be our advertising person, they get this
huge gate. It’s all about the PR and this guy knows how to do the PR up there. By the way, the
show hall expense, if we went with 72,000 square feet, the daily rate is $6,100 with three days
free thrown in. That’s for set-up. Then, if we went to 108,000 square feet, which we might have
to do, I was supposed to get the proposal but she didn’t get it to me in time. Of course, that
would be a little more expensive, but I think that’s well within reason. **Hannon:** It’s certainly
less than what we have been paying in Novi and Oaks. **Kallmeyer:** Point out demographics. Cat
ownership has moved south and west, is the fastest growing for cat ownership. Maybe not
necessarily showing, but it’s significant. In Oregon and Washington actually, the percentage of people owning cats is one of the highest in the whole country. It might be interesting to see what we could do up there. **Hannon:** And our primary sponsor is very concerned about getting us to the west because of gate. **Moser:** Actually, Sturdi Products would be really happy. They’re 2 hours away. **Schreck:** To George’s comment about the California exhibitors, I don’t think that the entire state of California is really drivable up to Portland. **Eigenhauser:** That’s why I said most of northern California. **Hannon:** But the Bay area is. **Schreck:** The Bay area maybe so, but once you get down further south, it’s a long way. **Kuta:** It’s 16 hours, at least, and a mountain range or two. **Eigenhauser:** To answer Roger’s comment and some of the others, if it does turn out that from our perspective two years in a row is better than one, we can certainly take a look at 2017 with an eye toward, maybe we should do two years on this coast and two years on that coast, or some other combination that allows us to build gate a couple of years before we go on to the next location. **Hannon:** We might be able to get a two-year contract every other year type of thing where we come back east, then go back to the same west coast location and get a price break. **Mastin:** I don’t have any more comments. I’ll make a motion that, for 2017 only, we hold the International Show in Portland. That’s my motion. **Moser:** Second. **Hannon:** Is there any more discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Schreck voting no.

**Hannon:** Got anything else? **Mastin:** I don’t think so.
Overview of the World Cat Congress:

In June 1994, at a major exposition, seminar and cat show was held in Venice, Italy, resulting in the formation of the World Cat Congress a year later. The WCC was established to promote better understanding and cooperation among the world’s major cat associations in matters of mutual interest and concern, such as cat legislation and feline welfare which affects all cat lovers, from the pedigree breeder to the pet owner. Subsequent meetings have followed the pattern established in Venice – seminars, an international show at which the participating heads of organizations are invited to judge and a meeting of representatives of the world’s cat associations. The World Cat Congress is now over 20 years old and remains a global force in the promotion and betterment of all cats. CFA’s own Pam DelaBar served as the WCC President from 2005 to 2011, during which time the WCC added the Coordinating Cat Council of Australia (“CCCA”), South Africa Cat Council (“SACC”), and the Governing Council of the Cat Fancy (“GCCF”) to its membership. The Congress remains committed to promoting harmony in the cat fancy.

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

On April 20-25, 2016, twelve World Cat Congress delegates and numerous guests met in Bangkok, Thailand. The event was coordinated by the World Cat Federation, with the assistance of the WCF Club in Thailand, The Cat Society of Thailand and its President, Chayapon Phucharoenyos.

On Thursday, the delegates and guests were treated to a tour of the Grand Palace, which is a complex of buildings in the heart of Bangkok. The palace has been the official residence of the Kings of Siam (and later Thailand) since 1782. The king, his court and his royal government were based on the grounds of the palace until 1925. It contains a chapel called the Temple of the Emerald Buddha.

A seminar was held on Friday at the Grande Centre Point Terminal 21 hotel and shopping center, which was quite lavish, sponsored by Royal Canin and the club. Speakers and topics included the following:

- Penny Bydlinski presented a History of the World Cat Congress, with a presentation from each organization.
- WCF Judge Student Svetlana Lalovic spoke on Siamese, Wichianmat, Korat, Suphalak and other breeds with origin in Asia.
- Dr. Janjira Phavaphutanon presented Management: What the Breeder Needs to Know.
- Professor Leslie Lyons talked about Scottish Folds and new genetic tests developed in the past year.
- Dr. Kaywalee Chatdarong presented Get to Know FeLV and FIP Intervention.
Friday concluded with a dinner cruise on the Chao Phraya River. Attendees enjoyed the sites, including Thailand’s version of an Elvis impersonator.

On Saturday and Sunday, the club hosted its “Asia Continent World Show.” Delegate/judges handled sections of the entry, which was predominantly British Shorthair. Best in Show was a copper eyed white Exotic, Mur Plaisir Mak of Vetgarden, owned by Dr. Rapeepat Phobookde.

A gala dinner provided by the club was held on Saturday night, which included a demonstration of traditional Thai dancing.

Monday’s WCC Delegate meeting included the following representatives:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>President/Chair:</th>
<th>Eric Reijers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vice President/CCCA Delegate:</td>
<td>Cheryle U’Ren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary/Treasurer:</td>
<td>Penelope Bydlinski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACF Delegate:</td>
<td>Lesley Morgan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFA Delegate:</td>
<td>Rachel Anger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIFe Delegate:</td>
<td>Dietmar Sagurski</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCCF Delegate:</td>
<td>Steve Crow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZCF Delegate:</td>
<td>Chris Lowe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACC Delegate:</td>
<td>Jan van Rooyen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TICA Delegate:</td>
<td>Fate Mays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCF Delegate:</td>
<td>Anneliese Hackmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCF Adviser:</td>
<td>Andreas Möbius</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highlights of the meeting include:

- The policy adopted last year will be placed on the WCC website (WCC does not promote nor approve the presence of wild feline species in connection with a cat show);
- Mrs. U’Ren was re-elected Vice President for a two year term; Mrs. Bydlinski was re-elected Secretary/Treasurer for a two year term.
- Royal Canin Encyclopaedia update by RC French Representative Laureline Malineau, including plans for a launch party at the 2017 Breeders Convention in La Motte, France, to which Royal Canin has invited the WCC delegates. RC is formulating a future plan with the WCC to build a course for breeders.
- CFA is hosting the 2017 WCC meeting in Las Vegas. A brief summary was given.
- 2018 WCC meeting to be hosted by FIFe in Europe.
- 2019 WCC meeting proposed to be hosted by SACC in South Africa.
- 2021 WCC meeting will be the anniversary of the first Crystal Palace cat show. Therefore, the GCCF will host the event somewhere in Britain, to commemorate this historic event.
- Overlapping association show dates were discussed.
- The issue of pedigrees, including reciprocity, was discussed.
- Guest judge policies among associations was discussed.
- Various breed issues and standards were discussed, including the Aphrodite, Burmese, Manx, Sphynx, Persian, Don Sphynx, with a warning by President Reijers that not outcrossing would have consequences.
The World Cat Congress is generously sponsored by Royal Canin. On behalf of CFA, I would like to publicly thank Royal Canin for their support.

Future Projections for Committee:

CFA is scheduled to host the WCC meeting in Las Vegas on April 22/23, 2017. Final details on the show hall are being completed as this report is being written.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Full details of the 2017 event will be discussed.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank President Hannon and this Board of Directors for allowing me to proudly represent The Cat Fanciers’ Association on this world stage. The WCC is important to the global welfare of all cats, and CFA is an important part of that effort.

Respectfully Submitted,
Rachel Anger, CFA 2016 Delegate to the World Cat Congress

Hannon: World Cat Congress. Anger: Thank you. I reported on the events that took place at the World Cat Congress meeting in Thailand in April. It was quite a successful effort towards harmony in the cat fancy. We have more details to come about our CFA-sponsored event in 2017 here in Las Vegas. I think we are very close to finalizing the arrangements and signing on the dotted line. Hopefully, we will have a full report to you very shortly.

Hannon: Terry, do you want to talk about your visit to the site for the World Cat Congress? You and Allene visited it yesterday. Barry: Sure. Yesterday, we went out and visited the Flamingo on the strip. It is a very nice facility. We had some concerns with the hall because of the pillars that are there, but we think we can work around it. The one nice thing about the location is, it gives us the opportunity for some very nice, special evening events. Also, we are in the discussion phase or planning stage of an all-day special event for the judges and those that will be attending. So, like Rachel said, there is more coming. We were overall pleased. The facility is a Harrah’s facility. Because of that, they are also willing to work with other properties in the area for something we would like to host. They have reciprocal agreements with restaurants in the area for special events. So, we were very pleased with it. I want to thank the club for giving their date up for this. We were very, very hard pressed to find anything else here in Vegas. We had hoped to be able to come back here, but it is not really a pet-friend facility, as are most of the ones we have dealt with in Vegas. We didn't get a bid because they are not pet friendly. We saw a number of pets there yesterday. We probably spent 2-1/2 hours up there. They are getting us additional information. Hopefully, we will have it the first of next week. Also, on some of the special events we are talking about. Anger: Thank you and Allene for your hard work. Newkirk: I just wanted to comment. That facility has some limitations, because to get down to it, there is a down and up escalator. If you have to have an elevator, you have to go back to where the freight elevator is to get up and down. When we had our show there, we had a few complaints about that issue, because one of the escalators broke down and it was broke down the whole day. Barry: What they are doing is, their elevators will be completely and totally updated by the end of March of next year, so that’s a plus. The elevators themselves were pretty jerky and loud yesterday. The other thing is that they have a loading dock, but we would
probably have to rent a small lift for any large vendors or anyone who would like the loading
dock. We’re not going to have a lot of space for vendors, but we will have some space for
up for the show. Anger: We are still talking about the format, but it will probably be – Hannon:
What we’re talking about is having the allbreed rings being CFA judges, and the specialty rings
have the guest judges do a single specialty and limit the entries to 180 so they can share the ring,
so we don’t have to set up a separate ring for each specialty. Newkirk: I want to know how
many rings are going to be set up for each day. Hannon: Would you say five? Anger: Five or
six. Hannon: Depending on whether it’s a 5x5 or a 6x6. I understand you had 5 last year and
that it was tight. If we limit it to 180 entries, then we’ll have 45 fewer entries and grooming
spaces, or whatever would go along with those. Newkirk: But the poles are sort of an issue.
Hannon: Every 20’ there’s a pole. DelaBar: I just wanted to remind you that if you split these
people into single specialty rings, every time you use a judge that’s a WCC delegate, you are
paying them $500. Hannon: Not necessarily. That’s negotiable. They negotiated it last year,
right? Anger: Right. DelaBar: They have always paid either in Euros or dollars, $500. Hannon:
They didn’t last year. When they invited the judges, they made it known that that was a
condition. DelaBar: It’s right in the WCC rules. Hannon: They’ve got a precedent with what
they did in Bangkok. DelaBar: You didn’t get $500? Anger: No. Hannon: Wasn’t it half?
Anger: It was, and it was in Australian money. DelaBar: The WCC paid the difference on the
delegate transportation, so CFA ended up paying more then. OK. Hannon: Nothing more on the
World Cat Congress?
**CLUB APPLICATIONS.**

**Committee Chair:** Carol Krzanowski

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

New clubs applying for CFA membership were presented to the Board for consideration.

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

Four clubs were pre-noticed for membership. They are:

- **Amy Coffee, International Division; Richard Kallmeyer, Chairman**
- **Shanghai International Cat Fancier Club, International Division; Richard Kallmeyer, Chairman**
- **Soochow Riverside Cat Fanciers’ Club, International Division; Richard Kallmeyer, Chair**
- **Triple Crown Cat Fanciers, Region 4, John Colilla, Director**

**Amy Coffee**

*International Division, An Shan, China; Richard Kallmeyer, Chairman*

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are eighteen members. Two members are officers of another club. All of the members are breeders with CFA registered cattery names, and most are actively exhibiting at CFA shows. Two members have show production experience, one member is a Master Clerk, and two other members have clerking experience. This is an all-breed club that wishes to produce regular shows in An Shan. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the funds will be donated to CFA. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division Chair supports this club.

**Hannon:** Club applications. **Krzanowski:** Yes, we had 4 clubs apply for membership that were pre-noticed. This club is located in Anshan, China, the third largest prefecture city in Liaoning Province, which is bordered by Jilin Province to the north and Hebei Province to the south. Anshan is situated in the central area of the province about 57 miles south of Shenyang, the provincial capital. With a population of over 3.5 million, Anshan is a key center of heavy industry in northeast China and an important steel producer. A number of the members are active breeders and exhibitors, and several of them have show production and clerking experience. One member is also a CFA Master Clerk. If accepted, this club plans to produce regular shows in Anshan. I move we accept the Amy Coffee club. **Kallmeyer:** Second. **Hannon:** Do you want to talk to it? **Kallmeyer:** All three of these Chinese clubs are nice, in that they are not pointer people, which says quite a bit. They are not trying to set up a club in order to drive national wins, so I think we should support this club. **Wilson:** I’m just curious about the name of the club. The name Amy Coffee. **Hannon:** What does it mean. Amy Coffee? **Krzanowski:** We don’t have a rule about the choice of names. **Wilson:** I’m just curious. **Krzanowski:** I don’t know. They did not disclose anything. **Hannon:** Do you know what it means? **Kallmeyer:** I have no idea. **Colilla:** They have a show-producing club called L&L Club. **DelaBar:** It’s sort of like Mark and
Linda. **Hannon:** Thank you. [laughter] **Kallmeyer:** Maybe it means something different in Chinese that’s meaningful. I have no idea. **Colilla:** I have no idea. **Kallmeyer:** I don’t know if they breed brown cats. **Hannon:** Other than the name, any other comments or questions?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser and McCullough abstained.

### Shanghai International Cat Fancier Club

**International Division, Shanghai, China; Richard Kallmeyer, Chairman**

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are nineteen members. No member is a member of another club. Nearly all of the members are active CFA breeders and exhibiting pedigreed cats at CFA shows. One member has ring clerking experience and has also acted as entry clerk for several shows. This is an allbreed club that wishes to produce at least one show a year in the city of Shanghai. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to the Shanghai cat’s welfare organization. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division Chair supports this club.

**Krzanowski:** The next club is Shanghai International Cat Fancier Club. This club is located in Shanghai, China, a municipality in the middle of the Chinese coast bordering Jiangsu Province to the north and Zhejiang Province to the south. With a population of over 24 million, it is the most populous city in China. Shanghai is a global financial center and a transport hub with the world’s busiest container port. The city is also a popular tourist destination with many historic landmarks. Nearly all of the members are active breeders and exhibitors, one member has clerking experience and has also acted as entry clerk for several shows. If accepted, this club wishes to produce at least one show a year in Shanghai. I move that we accept Shanghai International Cat Fancier Club. **Newkirk:** Second. **Hannon:** Is there any discussion on the Shanghai International Cat Fancier Club? Dick, do you want to comment? **Kallmeyer:** The only other comment, China is the second most populous cat-holding country in the world. The U.S. has about 100 million cats, China has 57 million. So, there’s a lot of opportunity there. It’s just whether we can corral it. **Hannon:** Any other comments or questions?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.** Moser and McCullough abstained.

### Soochow Riverside Cat Fanciers’ Club

**International Division, Suzhou, China, Richard Kallmeyer, Chairman**

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are eleven members. The one U.S. based member is a member of three U.S. clubs, as well as an officer in one of them. No other member is a member of another club. A few of the members are active breeders with CFA registered cattery names, and the remaining members own and/or exhibit pedigreed cats. This is an allbreed club that plans to hold shows one or more times a year in the areas surrounding Shanghai, Suzhou and Jiangsu. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to the Winn Feline Foundation. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The International Division Chair supports this club.

**Krzanowski:** Next is Soochow Riverside Cat Fanciers’ Club. This club is located in Suzhou, China, a city in southeastern Jiangsu Province, a coastal province that is about 62 miles
northwest of Shanghai and bordered by Shandong Province to the north and Zhejiang Province to the south. With a population of over 10.5 million, Suzhou is the second largest city in the province after the provincial capital of Nanjing. It is a major economic center with a large manufacturing sector. The members are relatively new breeders and several of them are exhibiting at CFA shows; additional exhibiting information was received after the report was submitted. Due to their inexperience producing shows, they plan to spend six to eight months learning some basics by volunteering at other shows, and they wish to have their U.S. based member conduct a clerking school. If accepted, they plan to produce at least one show a year in the Suzhou area. I move that we accept the Soochow Riverside Cat Fanciers’ Club. Calhoun: Second. Hannon: Any discussion on the Soochow Riverside Cat Fanciers’ Club. It’s spelled different ways. Kallmeyer: I know, but it’s pronounced Soochow. Point out too, it’s 57 miles but it’s probably a 3 hour drive in that traffic. China traffic in Shanghai is phenomenal. It’s much farther than the mileage indicates. Eigenhauser: I’m going to vote yes anyway, but I was a little disappointed that excluding the U.S. member they have only come up with 10 people in an area as populous as people say. Now, if there were not shows in the area and there is no interest in CFA, I can understand why it was hard to scrounge people. Our minimum is 10 and I’m not going to vote no, but I would really encourage clubs to have a little more robust membership list when they apply. Hannon: Any other comments? Kuta: I didn’t see the report. Did it say who wanted to run a clerking school there? Oh, it was the U.S. member, sorry.

Hannon called the motion. Motion Carried. Moser and McCullough abstained.

Triple Crown Cat Fanciers  
Region 4, Lexington, Kentucky; John Colilla, Director

The constitution and by-laws are in order. There are seventeen members. Ten members are members of other clubs, and two members are currently officers in other clubs. All members are exhibitors in CFA, most are CFA breeders and a number of members have show production experience. One member is a CFA Judge, one is a Master Clerk and one is a Certified Clerk. This is an allbreed club that wishes to produce an annual show in Lexington, Kentucky. The dues have been set. If the club is disbanded, the club funds will be donated to the local humane society. This club was pre-noticed and no negative letters have been received. The Great Lakes Regional Director supports this club.

Krzanowski: The last club today is the Triple Crown Cat Fanciers. This club is located in Lexington, Kentucky in the southwest area of Region 4. All the club members are actively breeding and/or exhibiting, and most of them have significant show production experience. In addition, one member is an Allbreed Judge, one is a Master Clerk and one is a Certified Clerk. The club hopes to help increase CFA activity in Kentucky, particularly in the Lexington area where there has not been a show in many years. They are also interested in sponsoring rings at other shows. If accepted, this club plans to produce an annual show in Lexington. I move that we accept Triple Crown Cat Fanciers. Anger: Second. Hannon: Any discussion? Schreck: I was wondering how the membership of this differs from Kentucky Colonels, and the Huntsville club. Kentucky Colonels I believe is also in the same general area, and I’m betting that some of these members are also members of that club. Colilla: There’s only one. Basically, Martha Auspitz is a member of that club and Diane Curfiss. Part of the reason is, all the other members have never put on a show before. They need the expertise to help them put on a show. This club will put on
a show in Lexington, because the last time there was a show there was 25 years ago. They are already looking for a show hall. Schreck: Why don’t they just join Kentucky Colonels? Colilla: That’s a different story. DelaBar: In the past, we have been somewhat concerned about heavy cross-membership on the new clubs. It seems like well over half, we are looking at cross-membership. I have not gone by area, but we have been concerned about cross-membership. Colilla: Well, I hope you guys will support it, because we need presence in Kentucky and in the Lexington area. Just like we just put on a show in West Virginia, we’re trying to promote CFA, is what our goal is. Eigenhauser: I share Pam’s concerns about having 10 of 17 members already being members of existing clubs. That’s only bringing in 7 new people in the entire club, and I don’t know why they can’t find one of the other clubs that their other club members belong to – that one of the 10 belong to, if they want to participate in CFA activities, so I’m a little concerned about how little new blood this is bringing in. Colilla: There’s not that many people in Kentucky that show. Most of them no longer show, and this is a way that they will be able to hopefully promote CFA and get more people to show cats in the Kentucky area. Basically, it’s trying to promote CFA. Anger: That’s my feeling, too. We have an under-served area and these people are willing to step forward and serve that area, with the help of some existing people. I would rather have a little cross-membership than no CFA presence in that area. Hannon: I think a lot of our regions have seen a decrease in shows. If this is going to add a show to the show schedule, I think that’s positive. Colilla: There’s one show in Kentucky – Kentucky Colonels the first weekend in October. That’s it. DelaBar: You said that there hadn’t been a show in 25 years. I know I did a double specialty ring, and Darrell Newkirk was my clerk. I know that’s been a lot sooner. Colilla: According to Barbara Smith, it was 25 years ago. She lives in Lexington. DelaBar: John, I am telling you, you are wrong. Hannon: Pam, will you admit that it has been awhile? DelaBar: It has been awhile but it has not been 25 years. Colilla: That’s what I was told. Hannon: She agrees that it has been awhile. Colilla: Yes, and we need presence down there to promote CFA. Hannon: Anyone else have comments on this one?

Hannon called the motion. Motion Carried. Schreck and Eigenhauser voting no. DelaBar abstained.

Colilla: The Great Lakes Region thanks you.

**Future Projections for Committee:**

*Process and submit new club applications for consideration by the Board.*

**Time Frame:**

*June 2016 to August 2016 CFA Board teleconference.*

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

*All new clubs that have applied for membership and satisfactorily completed their documentation.*

Respectfully submitted,
Carol Krzanowski, Chair
Hannon: I would like to welcome Amy Coffee, Shanghai International, Soochow Riverside Cat Fanciers and Triple Crown Cat Fanciers to CFA.
Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

From January through June of 2016 the CFA Legislative Group has been tracking a record 594 statewide bills introduced in 43 different states as well as 12 federal bills (along with many proposed city and county ordinances). New York has the dubious honor of the having the most bills introduced affecting cat fanciers this year with 135 followed by New Jersey with 117, Rhode Island with 42, Illinois with 33, Massachusetts with 29, Hawaii with 20, Iowa with 15, Maine with 13; plus Maine and Virginia tied with 11. The rest of the states were in single digits. Fanciers in Hawaii continue to do a heroic job mounting opposition during their exceptionally short legislative session.

As always, the Pet Industry Joint Advisory Council (PIJAC) provides us with a list of bill introductions at the state and federal level based on search parameters we provide. We then read the bills and select the most relevant for CFA tracking. We get updates of and amendments to the bills so we can reevaluate the status as the bills progress. We are tracking bills which may appear not to affect us, but which we suspect may be amended in the future. Some bills apply to cats, or cats and dogs, or apply to dogs only but are of concern to us. We have no ability to predict when a completely unrelated bill may be amended to add cat or breeder regulation unrelated to the original provisions.

For local (city, county, township, parish, and borough) legislation we monitor several dozen pet law lists online as well as relying on our "grassroots" fanciers in reporting pet-related legislation in their area ("You are the eyes and ears of the fancy.") We work with other animal groups and monitor their alerts. We continue to watch major Animal Rights groups, their web sites and public events for information on upcoming legislative initiatives.

At the state level this year banning the sale of live animals at pet stores continues to be a hot topic. While the stated purpose of these bans is often addressed in terms of only affecting “substandard breeders” the proposals usually prohibit sale of all dogs (or dogs and cats) at pet shops other than shelter rescues. This clearly has no relationship to the standards of care since standards aren’t even included in the proposals. “Good breeders” are treated equally with the worst offenders.

At the local level ordinances banning the sale of pets at pet stores also continues to be a hot topic. "Pet shop bans" have been introduced in a number of cities and counties across the country. Animal Rights groups continue to propose legislation attacking breeders from many directions including: "puppy mill" bills, caps on possession of intact animals, mandatory
spay and neuter (MSN), breeder or kennel/cattery permits, mandatory licensing or microchipping, kennel/cattery regulation, feral cat management, cruelty, nuisance, "pet shop" regulation, taxes on sales of pets and mandatory registration of people who violate pet paws (similar to sex offender registration.)

Current Happenings of Committee:

In addition to 12 Federal bills, below is a list of the number of bills we had been tracking in each state so far in 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Bills</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Bills</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Bills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>3 bills</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>33 bills</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>4 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>1 bill</td>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>2 bills</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>7 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>8 bills</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>15 bills</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>2 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>8 bills</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>5 bills</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>7 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>2 bills</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>5 bills</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>117 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>7 bills</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>5 bills</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>3 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>3 bills</td>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>11 bills</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>135 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>8 bills</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>6 bills</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>5 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>4 bills</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>29 bills</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>5 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>20 bills</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>19 bills</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>6 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>1 bill</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>7 bills</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>14 bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the most recent list of state and federal bills CFA is tracking please use the following link: http://www.cfa.org/Portals/0/documents/legislative/bill-tracking.pdf

Highlights of a few selected issues: (Not by any means complete - just a few examples.)

Federal

Most legislative activity affecting hobby breeders occurs at the state and local level but we are tracking a few bills in congress which could affect cat fanciers. There are Federal bills to allow cats and dogs on Amtrak trains; protect the pets of victims of domestic violence, or to require prescribers of animal drugs (veterinarians) to provide copies of prescriptions to pet owners, etc., without charge or restrictions so pet owners could shop for price.
New Jersey

The most recent amendments to SB 63 have not resolved the major problems with the bill. It would require almost all sales of cats and dogs be conducted face to face. It would prohibit pet shops from selling dogs or cats from any source except animal shelters and rescues. As is typical, it would not require shelters and rescues supply pet shops with animals. There is an exception to the face to face rule for breeder to breeder transfers to preserve bloodlines but even that is limited to 5 per year and requires records of any proposed exempted sales be submitted to the Department of Health prior to sale. The face to face requirement would cover transactions entirely within New Jersey or transactions with either party in New Jersey. It would repeal New Jersey’s Pet Purchase Protection Act. This bill is still actively progressing through the New Jersey legislature at the time of this writing. For more information please see the article in the June 2016 CFA eNewsletter.

Arizona

Senate Bill 1011 would create a central animal abuser registry and an animal abuse registration fund. This bill died in committee.

SB 1248 would create statewide standards for regulating the sale of commercially bred dogs and cats in pet stores. This would preempt local bans on the sale of pets in pet shops. Enacted.

Florida

HB 131 would allow people to break into locked automobiles to rescue animals or vulnerable people who are "in imminent danger of suffering harm." Enacted.

Illinois

HB 5005 would create a central animal abuser registry and an animal abuse registration fund. It would prohibit a person required to register from owning a companion animal or being employed at an animal shelter, pound, pet shop, zoo, or other business establishment where companion animals are present. This bill died in committee.

Iowa

SF502 would add small breeder, competitive show breeder, specialized breeder, animal rescue, and animal sanctuary to the licensing scheme under the commercial establishments for nonagricultural animals. Died.

Kansas

HB 2554 would remove the wholesale clause and otherwise broadens the number of breeders that would be included in the definition of animal breeder premises by lowering the threshold from "all or part of six or more litters" (or 30 dogs or cats) to "all or part of four litters" (or 30 dogs or cats) are sold. The bill would also require inspections for all licensees. Died.
Maryland

HB 46 would require animal shelters to carefully scan cats and dogs for identification microchips before the pets are adopted out or put down. It would require shelters to notify owners of impounded microchipped pets. Enacted.

Minnesota

HB 1372 would allow the creation of trusts for the care of a pet. Enacted. (Minnesota is the last state in the country to adopt an animal trust law.)

Oklahoma

HB2250 would ban animal rights and rescue groups from raising funds in the state if they are not operating at least part of their operations within the state. The bill would also ban such organizations from running commercials in the state. Aimed at deceptive fundraising by HSUS the bill was sponsored by an Oklahoma state representative who is also a veterinarian. Died.

Utah

HB 132 would authorize municipalities to license commercial breeders. A commercial breeder is defined as "a person who for a fee or other consideration: (a) maintains in a kennel at any time six or more dogs for breeding or six or more cats for breeding and sells, leases, trades, barter, auctions, or provides to another person the offspring of those dogs or cats; or (b) buys, sells, leases, trades, barter, or provides to another person a dog or cat at wholesale for retail to another. Exempted are animal shelters. Died.

West Virginia

HB 4653 would require mandatory spay/neuter of all cats and dogs with exceptions for registered breeders. Died.

Litigation

The CFA Board has allowed CFA to join with the Animal Health Institute (AHI) coalition on amicus curiae (friend of the court) briefs opposing non-economic damages (i.e. "pain and suffering") for injuries to animals. In Barking Hound Village v. Monyak, CFA joined the AHI coalition in the amicus brief in support of the appellant boarding facility. The trial court allowed plaintiffs emotion-based damages for loss of the pet as part of “intrinsic value” or “value to the owner.” The boarding facility appealed. The Georgia Supreme Court has since granted review and in December 2015 our coalition filed an amicus brief in support of our position.

On June 6, 2016 the Supreme Court of Georgia rendered their opinion affirming and part and reversing in part. The court noted that Georgia law clearly provides, that a pet dog has value and is considered the personal property of its owner. The court rejected damages
based on the subjective value to the owner but the appropriate measure of damages for an animal negligently killed by another are the animal’s fair market value at the time of loss plus interest and in addition any medical and other expenses reasonably incurred in treating the animal. The animal’s sentimental value to its owner are not recoverable. The reasonableness of the veterinary treatment and its cost is a fact to be determined.

In April we became aware of a possible appeal in the case of Robert Repin v. State of Washington. The trial court rejected Plaintiff’s claims for recovery of noneconomic damages for an incident involving an allegedly flawed euthanasia. Plaintiff claimed the dog suffered excessive pain and distress and that the owner also suffered as a witness to the incident. We will continue to monitor the legal proceeding and report on any new details as they become available.

Publications

The CFA e-Newsletter provides space for a "What's Hot" legislative column used to provide information on new and urgent matters of interest to the cat fancy. In general, Cat Talk Almanac articles are written for less time sensitive matters with a focus on guidance on lobbying in general. Articles since the February 2016 Board meeting:

* CFA e-Newsletter, February 2016, "The State Legislatures are in Full Swing!" by Kelly Crouch, CFA Legislative Information Liaison. Since the start of the 2016 legislative session new bills are being introduced at a rapid pace. Over 70 bills of interest were being tracked year to date in New Jersey alone. This article highlighted an assortment of state legislation proposed that could impact cat fanciers.

* CFA e-Newsletter, March 2016, "March Legislative Update" by Kelly Crouch, CFA Legislative Information Liaison. With hundreds of bills being tracked, this article provides a quick look at an assortment of bills moving through state legislatures which could impact cat fanciers.

* CFA e-Newsletter, April 2016 “The Domestic State of Bengals” by Sharon Coleman, CFA Legislative Legal Analyst and Kelly Crouch, CFA Legislative Information Liaison. With the upcoming entry of Bengals in CFA shows, this article discusses ways they may be restricted by law somewhat differently from other domestic pets.

* CFA e-Newsletter, May 2016, "May Legislative Update - CFA Bill Tracking" by Kelly Crouch, CFA Legislative Information Liaison. Since the start of the year CFA has been tracking hundreds of bills at the state level. By May, some legislatures have begun their summer recess. This article highlighted an assortment of state legislation proposed that fell by the wayside this year.
* CFA e-Newsletter, June 2016, "June Legislative Update - New Jersey Bill Would Require Face-to-Face Sales of Cats and Dogs if Enacted" by Kelly Crouch, CFA Legislative Information Liaison. The focus of this article is a single bill, New Jersey SB63, which seeks to ban “sight unseen” sales of dogs and cats. It would require pet shops sell only dogs and cats acquired from shelters, pounds and animal rescue organizations ("shelters"). The bill would also repeal the Pet Purchaser Protection Act that provides consumer protection laws for dog and cat buyers.

* Cat Talk Almanac, February 2016, "The Technology and Environment of Animal Microchip Systems; Part 1 – The Environment" by Kelly Crouch, CFA Legislative Information Liaison. This is the first of a series of articles focused on an in-depth look at the technology of the pet microchip system. This installment is focused on how external factors affect the system, its development and implementation. This is the second article in the microchip series focused on the technology of the chip. This includes issues of standardization and compatibility among different brands of chip. Differences between standards in the United States and other parts of the world are noted and discussed.

* Cat Talk Almanac, April 2016, "The Technology and Environment of Animal Microchip Systems; Part 2 – The Microchip" by Kelly Crouch, CFA Legislative Information Liaison. This is the second article of the microchip series focused on the technology. This includes basics of how a microchip works, security concerns, differences in standards, and adverse reactions to microchips. Issues that have occurred at the injection site include abscesses, infections, lumps, bleeding, and hair loss. Whether microchips implanted in the body may cause cancer is subject to debate and is still an open issue.

* Cat Talk Almanac, June 2016, "The Technology and Environment of Animal Microchip Systems; Part 3 – The Scanner" by Kelly Crouch, CFA Legislative Information Liaison. This installment in the microchip series is devoted to the scanner used to find and identify the microchip. This includes the basics of how a scanner works and sensitivity under ideal conditions. However, real world issues may cause the chips to go undetected in the scan of an animal. Failure to detect may be due to something as simple as weak batteries, poor technique or chip migration. A significant percentage of chips go undetected at shelters during the initial scan.

Meetings and Conferences:

**HSUS Humane Care Expo** took place in Las Vegas, LA on May 11-14, 2016. George Eigenhauser attended on behalf of CFA. This year much of the Expo was devoted to promoting Wayne Pacelle’s new book "The Humane Economy". Pacelle outlines his mission to incorporate the HSUS agenda into every aspect of our daily life and economy. Another
focus continues to be “stop puppy mills” by which they mean banning the sale of cats not from rescues or shelters. In public they say they are against “substandard” breeders but in private they admit they oppose all breeding of domestic animals.

On a positive note, HSUS continues their support of TNR (Trap, Neuter and Return) to deal with the un-owned cat population. Several of the Animal Care Expo workshops focused on improving outcomes for cats taken to shelters and protecting free roaming cats. Topics included the new paradigm that since cats are more likely to return home on their own than be reclaimed from animal control, shelters should delay or even refuse intake of “lost” pets to improve their chances of finding their way home. Since there are too many cats entering shelters to be adopted, keeping cats out of the shelters in the first place may be the best way to reduce euthanasia.

CFA’s presence at the Expos each year gives us an opportunity to network with a broad range of animal interest groups. It helps us educate animal welfare, or even animal rights groups about CFA’s mission and our devotion to the welfare of cats and our common love of animals.

**Future Projections for Committee and Legislative Group:**

The CFA Legislative Roundtable will be held from 1:00 p.m. - 2:00 p.m. Saturday, July 2, 2016, at the CFA Annual Meeting.

**Upcoming conferences related to legislation –committed or pending:**

**Animal Health Institute (AHI) Pet Night on Capitol Hill, September, Washington, DC, DISCONTINUED.** On May 12, 2016 we learned that AHI will no longer host Pet Night on Capitol Hill. They will be developing new approaches to Congressional outreach which will replace Pet Night. CFA had been an affiliate sponsor of the event for 18 years and our representatives attended each year. While for CFA most of our legislative problems occur at the local rather than federal, level, Pet Night was more than the opportunity to maintain contact with members of congress, their aides, top representatives and the media. The following day AHI also hosted a meeting of the Pet Night affiliate sponsors, which included representatives of the pharmaceutical industry, veterinary organizations and other sponsors such as CFA, AKC, PIJAC and APPMA. This strategy session helped us build relationships with other members of the pet community who share many of our goals and interests. In their letter announcing the cancellation AHI notes they look forward to continuing our collaboration on issues of mutual interest.

**National Animal Interest Alliance (NAIA) Conference, November 5-6, 2016, Orlando, Florida.** The NAIA is the one national group directly confronting the extreme animal rights positions that threaten pet ownership and breeding of dogs/cats. CFA used to be a participant in this event but we have been unable to participate in recent years due to budget constraints and an occasion conflict with the CFA Board meeting. George Eigenhauser plans to attend this year on behalf of CFA.
SAWA Annual Conference, November 16-18, 2016, Portland, OR. The Society of Animal Welfare Administrators (SAWA) are leading animal control and shelter professionals. Unlike Animal Rights groups, SAWA members tend to be pragmatic professionals in the sheltering community and amenable to discussion. Membership is by invitation only. The SAWA Annual Conference provides us with networking opportunities with leaders in the animal control community. George Eigenhauser hopes to attend this year on behalf of CFA.

**Ongoing goals -**

- Networking with the sheltering community, aligned organizations, veterinarians and lawmakers so we better understand the problems and trends that cause homeless animals to be in shelters and develop ways to address the issues that motivate legislation detrimental to our interests.

- Continuing to find new methods for presenting perspective on the cat fancy views to those in animal related fields and government.

- Working with national and local cat fancy teams to defeat legislation/regulation detrimental to pedigreed cats, feral/unowned cats, CFA’s mission and cat ownership.

- Enlisting professional help with strategic public relations and communication to build greater public awareness and gain more support for our opposition to mandated sterilization laws across the country.

- Increasing efforts to raise funds for the Sy Howard Legislative Fund and to help clubs present projects suitable for funding.

**Action Items:**

None at this time.

**Time Frame:**

Ongoing.

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

Updates and pending legislative matters.

Respectfully Submitted,

George J. Eigenhauser, Jr., Chair

Hannon: Next on the agenda is a break which I’m going to ignore for now and go on to George for Legislation and Winn. Eigenhauser: You have the written report on each. On
legislation, I just wanted to mention that a lot of state legislatures have either adjourned or gone into summer recess, but New Jersey continues to be hot. We put out an article in the June CFA Newsletter and I mentioned it in the written report, but New Jersey Bill SB63 is moving forward. It has not been stopped. There was talk about working things out with the bill sponsor, but they didn’t. It’s still moving forward, it’s still a hot topic, and if there’s anybody that’s got attachments to New Jersey, a presence in New Jersey, friends in New Jersey, we really need to mobilize people to try to stop this. It is moving, and it is moving fairly deliberately. Fellerman: We’ve got emails going out. Hannon: Anything else for Legislative? Eigenhauser: No.
Winn Feline Foundation Liaison George Eigenhauser presented the following report:

President: Dr. Glenn Olah
Executive Director: Dr. Vicki Thayer
Winn Office Staff: Alisa Salvaggio
President Elect: Eric Bruner
Secretary: Janet Wolf
Treasurer: Vickie Fisher
Liaison to CFA Board: George Eigenhauser
Winn Legal Advisor: Fred Jacobberger (Winn Emeritus Member)
Board Members: Eric Bruner, Steve Dale, George Eigenhauser, Vickie Fisher, Susan E. Gingrich, Dr. Brian Holub, Dr. Glenn Olah, Lorraine Shelton, Dr. Dean Vicksman, Dr. Drew Weigner, Janet Wolf
Veterinary Consultants: Dr. Shila Nordone (NC State, College of Vet Med);
Dr. Joe Hauptman (Michigan State, College of Vet Med)
Veterinary Advisors: Dr. Melissa Kennedy (U. of Tenn., College of Vet Med);
Dr. Patricia Gallo (Boston, MA, DVM, PhD)
Scientific Advisor: Karen Greenwood (Vice President of Research and Development, Parnell Veterinary Pharmaceuticals, Kansas City, Missouri); Dr. Zach Mills (Associate Director, Research Alliances and External Innovations at Zoetis, Greater New York Area)

Winn Feline Foundation’s outline of accomplishments and ongoing projects from the past 4 months:

Grant Program

2016 Winn Grant Proposals

2016 Winn Grant Review and board meeting were held on March 10-11, 2016 at the Double Tree Hotel in Las Vegas, NV. Following a very thorough review of 55 competitive proposals,
Winn Feline Foundation awarded $141,171 in grants for a diverse group of cat health studies including whether cell-free DNA can diagnose cancer involving the eye, a drug to treat resistant cases of Tritrichomonas foetus, evaluating heart arrhythmias with small monitors, and three FIP-related projects. In addition, Winn continued support for genetics related research about amyloidosis in Abyssinians, searching for mutations causing heart disease in the Persian and Birman cat breeds and the future role of feline genetics known as Precision Medicine. Winn's Grant Review Committee was impressed by the total quantity of proposals, the quality of the science proposed and the number of submissions from several countries around the world. Funded through generous donations by private and corporate sources world-wide, the awards were for the following studies:

**GENERAL STUDIES**

**cfDNA and liquid biopsy - a novel diagnostic approach for melanocytic tumors. (W16-011)**

 Principal Investigators: Barbara Nell, DECVO, Jessica Rushton DVM; Clinic of Small Animal Surgery, Dentistry and Ophthalmology, Vetmeduni Vienna; $5,188.

**Efficacy of a new treatment for cats with ronidazole-resistant Tritrichomonas foetus infection. (W16-053)**

 Principal Investigators: Jody Gookin, DVM,PhD, DACVIM, Mark Papich, DVM, MS, ACVCP; North Carolina State University College of Veterinary Medicine; $24,585.

(Sponsored in part by BIOMED)

**Precision Medicine for Felines. (W16-030)**

 Principal Investigators: Leslie A. Lyons, PhD, Barbara Gandolfi, PhD; University of Missouri; $4,900 (Anonymous Sponsors)

**BRIA FUND STUDIES**

**Evaluating new drug compounds for treating feline coronavirus, a continuation study. (W16-022)**

 Principal Investigators: Brian Murphy, DVM, PhD, ACVP; Niels Pedersen, DVM, PhD; University of California, Davis; $12,175

**Mefloquine's potential to inhibit FIPV infection in the cat.(W16-023)**

 Principal Investigators: Merran Govendir, BVSc, PhD, Jacqueline Norris, BVSc, PhD; The University of Sydney, Australia; $11,750

**Exploring humoral responses to non-structural proteins of feline coronaviruses. (W16-024)**

 Principal Investigator: Magdalena Dunowska, BVsc, PhD; Massey University, New Zealand; $25,000
RICKY FUND STUDY

Holter monitoring in the home environment for cats with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. (W16-038)

Principal Investigator: Katherine Scollan, DVM, DACVIM, Oregon State University; $16,330

ABYSSINIAN HEALTH FUND STUDY

Seeking genetic markers of Abyssinian/Somali hereditary amyloidosis. (W16-028)

Principal Investigators: Maria Longheri, DVM, PhD, University of Milan; Leslie A. Lyons, PhD, University of Missouri; $6,900

PERSIAN HCM FUND STUDY

Evaluation of DNA variants associated with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in the Persian cat. (W16-034)

Principal Investigator: Kathryn Meurs, DVM, PhD, DACVIM; North Carolina State University; $23,531.

BIRMAN HEART DISEASE FUND STUDY

Phenotypic characterization of cardiomyopathy in Birman cats - a phase two continuation study. (W16-040)

Principal Investigator: Virginia Luis Fuentes, VetMB, PhD, DACVIM, DECVIM; Royal Veterinary College, University of London; $10,812

Financial Status

- To date, Winn has funded over $5.5 million in health research for cats at more than 30 partner institutions worldwide. 2016 Winn grant funding so far is $141,171 and a call for 2016 Miller Trust grant proposals was announced on April 12, 2016. 2015 grant funding totaled $324,286. For comparison, 2014 Winn grants and 2014 Miller Trust grants funding totaled $284,513. Donations over $100 and recurrent donors continue to increase each year, with an 11% increase in 2015 compared to 2014. Individual and stipulated donations have also increased in 2015 and 2016. Winn Endowment fund is over $2,000,000 and healthy.

Purrfect Partners

- Winn Feline Foundation (Winn) and the American Association of Feline Practitioners (AAFP) have partnered for a new joint scholarship for a third or fourth year veterinary student enrolled in an accredited veterinary college or school in the United States or Canada. Annette Louviere, a senior at the University of Georgia’s (UGA) College of Veterinary Medicine, is the inaugural recipient of the Winn/AAFP Joint Scholarship. The
$2,500 scholarship was awarded to Annette based on her academic achievement, leadership, and dedication to and excellence in the study of feline medicine, health and welfare. This new scholarship program is in addition, not in place of the scholarship program already established in collaboration with AVMF veterinary scholarship program.

- **BioMed Diagnostics** of White City, OR is a well-recognized company manufacturing a variety of unique microbiology diagnostic devices for clinical and animal health testing. One such device is culture media used for diagnosing and transport of the organism, *T. foetus*, a protozoal parasite that is a cause of chronic diarrhea in cats that is often difficult to treat. BIOMED believes a safer and more efficacious therapy is needed for this infection in cats. Thus, the company has sponsored in part Winn grant, W16-053, "Efficacy of a new treatment for cats with ronidazole-resistant Tritrichomonas foetus infection", as a challenge for others to also sponsor this critical research.

**Infrastructure and Systems**

- Winn’s Cat Health blog content continues to be frequently updated to help cat lovers keep apprised of important advances in feline medicine research. Matthew Kornya DVM, DABVP (feline) from Hamilton, Ontario and Patricia Shea DVM from Eugene, OR have graciously helped with writing blogs. Drs. Kornya and Shea’s blog contributions are in addition to those already provided by Drs. Vicki Thayer, Glenn Olah, and Melissa Kennedy.

- Winn continues to update it website-based cat health library.

- Dr. Olah, Dr. Thayer, and Ms. Salvaggio are continuing to call and thank donors who have contributed $100/mo or more to Winn. While in most instances a thank you message is left by voicemail, we have spoken to a number of donors who all have appreciated the personal thank you.

- Winn recognizes that recurring gifts are important for Winn's ability to fund the best cat health studies. Therefore, a new designation referred to as the Cat Health Champion designation was created as a way to recognize the extra value of routinely scheduled donations.

- Winn Feline Foundation renewed its accountant contract with DeMeola Zawacki Mingione & Co., LLC, North Haledon, NJ for the next 2 fiscal years.

**Promotion and Brand Building**

- Dr. Thayer has maintained our monthly Winn enewsletter and content for the CFA enewsletter. The Winn mascot, Winnie, continues to share Winn news and engage readers. Betty White also provides content about Winn for the CFA newsletter.

- Dr. Thayer, Ms. Salvaggio and Dr. Olah keep the Winn Facebook website up-to-date.
• Dr. Olah continues to represent Winn Riders for Feline Health cycling club at various biking events.

• Winn Feline Foundation promoted itself by holding information/exhibit booths at various veterinary conferences and cat shows/expos this past spring. Events included Western Veterinary Conference in Las Vegas, NV on March 6-10, 2016, American Animal Hospital Association in Austin, TX on March 31–April 3, 2016, and American Family Pet Expo in Costa Mesa, Ca on April 24-26, 2016.

**Coming Events**

• 2016 Winn board meeting is scheduled on June 29, 2016 at the Red Rock Casino, Resort & Spa, Las Vegas, Nevada in association with the CFA annual meeting.

• The 38th Annual Winn Symposium on June 30th from 4-6:30 p.m. during the CFA annual meeting, Red Rock Casino, Resort & Spa in Las Vegas. Scheduled speakers for the Symposium speakers this year are

  **Dr. Leslie Lyons** - "Genetics and Precision Medicine: State of the Art Health Care for Cats!"

  and

  **Dr. Nicholas Dodman** - "Feline Compulsive Disorders"

  The presentations are approved by AAVSB RACE for 2 CE credits for veterinarians and veterinary technicians/technologists.

• In preparation for Winn’s 50th anniversary (2018), we have approached Dr. Bruce Elsey and Elsey’s Veterinary Products from Englewood, CO for sponsorship of an updated Winn anniversary book. Dr. Elsey’s company has voiced interest in this sponsorship.

Respectfully submitted,
Glenn A Olah DVM, PhD, DABVP (feline)
Winn Feline Foundation, President
http://www.winnfelinehealth.org
http://www.winnfelinehealth.blogspot.com

**Hannon:** Anything for Winn? **Eigenhauser:** We had our meeting yesterday, but I’m not really in a position to update yet, so all I can do is take questions. **Hannon:** Does anyone have questions of George on the Winn Foundation report?
SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Committee Chair: Roger Brown, DVM
List of Committee Members: Michael Henry, MD

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

I have had frequent contacts with the General Manager and the Companion Animal Manager of GeneSeek, a division of Neogen Laboratories while working to create a new DNA Program for CFA.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Cats are unique and each individual is a product of their pedigree.

DNA testing will allow breeders to increase the health and life span of kittens they produce. Their quality of life can be changed by one tiny DNA sample smaller than the head of a pin.

Negotiations are under way to provide CFA with a DNA array testing program. A Genomics Testing and Service agreement-Draft 3 is currently under review.

The Laboratory selected is Neogen Laboratory. Their GeneSeek Division works 24 hours a day and 7 days a week. They run 1 ½ million samples a year. GeneSeek provides DNA testing for AKC, numerous horse and cattle registries, poultry producers, and even botanical nurseries.

The standard trait /disease panel will be as follows:

Identification Profile (53 markers)

Gender determination (2 markers)

Mucopolysaccharidosis I, VI, VII

Long hair (3 markers)

Cinnamon

Chocolate

Points (2 markers)

Recessive Black (agouti)

Dilute

Pyruvate Kinase Deficiency

GM1 Gangliosidosis
If a person purchases the above panel, they may also purchase add-on tests for an additional charge. The add-on tests are as follows:

Polycystic Kidney Disease

Blood Type

HCM-Ragdoll

HCM-Maine Coon

Albinism

Customers will be transferred from our website to GeneSeek where they will order and pay for their tests.

DNA testing will allow us to find unknown illnesses and traits lurking in the background of the cats we want to use for breeding. Some of these diseases may remain dormant for a period of time, but they do affect quality of life and life span.

CFA will be able to give owners and breeders the opportunity to reduce suffering from diseases that should not be present in this world of ours.

**Future Projections for Committee:**

Finalizing the new DNA program. Media Blitz announcing the initialization of a new DNA program.

**Board Action Items:**

None

**Time Frame:**

None

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

Updates on the new DNA program.

Respectfully Submitted,

Roger Brown, DVM, Chair
done. CFA has authorized the research and development of this program, and what I’m seeking now is approval to proceed with the program. Hopefully, as soon as the rest of the R&D is done, we will be ready within months to go on the website and start promoting the program. The program will be simply — the DNA website will simply have a marker that they hit and they go to Neogen’s GeneSeek website and the payment will be done through GeneSeek. Then the report will be issued by GeneSeek directly to the person that submitted the sample. GeneSeek also has a 24 hour, 7 day a week production schedule. They do, as I have mentioned in the past, more than 1.5 million genetic tests annually. They do testing for AKC, equine registries, cattle registries, poultry producers, and even botanicals like trees. So, the timing should not create a problem between the time lag of submitting the sample and getting your report. They will have to wait for 24 samples to run their tests, because they are run in batches. That would be the only delay that I can imagine. If you have any questions, I’m here. Eigenhauser: First of all, I want to thank you for your report and for getting the Maine Coon HCM on the list. I know I have been pestering you about that for years and I appreciate getting it in. If you get a chance, could you look at getting in SMA – spinal muscular atrophy – which is another disease that Maine Coon breeders have been testing for? If it’s available, it would be helpful to have that on the panel. Brown: OK.

Schreck: From the Treasurer’s seat, Roger, is there a box that people check when they go to the website to say, send CFA their money? Do we have a dollar amount that we pay, or the submitter of the sample pays? Is that worked out yet or not? Hannon: He doesn’t want to make it public. Schreck: OK. My question is, is it worked out? Brown: It’s proprietary information, but I’ll be glad to share it in closed session. The reason for that is, there would be competition with all the other people they do tests for if it isn’t the same. Schreck: I understand that. My first question is, when I am ready to pay for a sample, is there a box that I check indicating that I’m coming from CFA? Brown: Yes. At this point, the only feline testing that they are going to be doing that will have this panel will be through CFA. Schreck: Thank you. That’s my question. There will be a procedure. You don’t have to disclose the dollar amount, but there is a procedure. Brown: This contract has been vetted. We’re in the 4th revision of the contract. It has been vetted by Ed and Rich, and I talked with both of them a few hours earlier. You don’t have any reservations do you, Ed or Rich, on the final version? Raymond: No. Brown: It’s a 5 year contract, but it will be renegotiated on an annual basis in December. DelaBar: This may be a stupid question, I can’t remember. With your test for chocolate, can you also slide that into lilac? Brown: We can try. The problem with the array is that the test itself, the major part of the array, is from public information that has been donated by all the universities. The add-on tests are related to [inaudible] so it’s part of R&D, and what we’ve done is pick the ones that we think are going to be available through sharing proprietary information. We will add to that, certainly, probably on an annual basis. They have to order chemistries in advance, and it’s quite expensive. So, changing on a whim as soon as something becomes available probably isn’t possible, but during the annual renewal we can ask for changes. This is something probably I’m going to do on a monthly basis, to see what markers become available. DelaBar: I have a specific reason that I will discuss with you later on this, but it would behoove us to include that. Brown: We have GM1 (Gangliosidosis), but I would like to see them add the GM2. It’s just not available yet. That would cover the Burmese. Right now, it’s Korat and Siamese. DelaBar: Do they have the Norwegian Forest Cat-specific? Brown: We have the Amber gene. DelaBar: It’s not a color gene I’m talk about. It’s a required health test in Europe.

Brown: Any other questions? What I would like to seek now is approval to proceed with the program. CFA has given us R&D money that is still being used to put this program on an
action-ready basis, but I seek approval to go ahead and start to implement it. **Mastin:** Second. **Hannon:** Any discussion?

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried.**

**Hannon:** Is that the end of your report? **Brown:** That is, except let me just give you some idea of cost. The array panel is going to be $45 and add-on tests will be $10 each. So, that’s where it is on the last proposal. **DelaBar:** That’s a good price. **Hannon:** Thank you, Roger.
INTERNATIONAL DIVISION.

International Division Committee Chair Dick Kallmeyer gave the following report:

Committee Chair: Dick Kallmeyer
List of Committee Members: Kathy Calhoun (CFA Board and South America), John Colilla (CFA Board and Judging), Bob Zenda (Asia, Special Projects), Ken Currle (Middle East, Africa), Amanda Cheng (ID rep), Thomas Low (ID rep), Sandra Al Sumait (GCC, Gulf Cooperation Countries), Suki Lee (Hong Kong), Nicholas Pun (clerking), Jimmy Lee (SE Asia), Isabel Pumphrey (Portuguese/Spanish translation)

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

I would like to thank all the Committee members for all their hard work this past show season.

The International Division (ID) is again showing significant growth since over the past year. China had 41% all registrations in CFA, 24% of all litters and 64% of all new catteries, all greater than regions 1-9. The non-china ID had 14% of all registrations (2\textsuperscript{nd} to China), 10% of all litters (4\textsuperscript{th} after China, Europe and R7) and 15% of all new catteries (2\textsuperscript{nd} to China). The ID had 112 shows (2\textsuperscript{nd} to North America/Hawaii 165 shows); non-China ID had 45 shows (greater than the number of shows in Regions 1-9). Non-China ID shows were 3\textsuperscript{rd} (after Regions 7 and 4) in cats present.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Four clerking schools were held in China so far this show season to enhance clerking skills.

Reports from the judging committee are being used to enhance the conduct of ID shows.

The International Division Awards banquet is planned for Chiang Mai, Thailand on Saturday 27, 2016 at the Le Meridian Hotel. A special after banquet party is planned until the wee hours of the morning.

Future Projections for Committee:

Four more clerking schools are planned for China before October 2015.

Respectfully Submitted,
Dick Kallmeyer, Chair

Hannon: International Division, Dick. Kallmeyer: It’s all in the report. Newkirk: Hold on, hold on. Kallmeyer: Oh, wait. Except Darrell has something. Newkirk: Yes. I had asked Rachel to put on the agenda about the number of awards that we give out in China. When we separated out China as their own segregated area, Dick had come up with a formula about how many national awards we would give out for kittens and championship. We didn’t have any data
on points at that point in time, but now we’ve had a season. If you look at the kitten awards, had we not separated out all, 25 kitten awards would have gone to China. No kittens from Regions 1-9. We didn’t know at the time, because the points weren’t out. Anyway, we set a point minimum for the United States, 1-9, to get a national win. I don’t think it’s fair for us to award 25 awards in China this year, and then next year say you’re limited to this number of national awards.

**Hannon:** But the limit was based on not the number of points they are getting, but the number of cats that are shown. **Newkirk:** I understand that. **Hannon:** If they have 3 shows in a weekend and we’ve got 7 shows in a weekend – **Newkirk:** I understand that, but let me ask you this. Next year when the points come out, and let’s say the 13th best kitten – or 17th, I don’t remember which applied to championship and which applied to kittens – but let’s say the 17th best championship cat has 3,000 points, OK? Even the 25th best kitten scores more than the highest scoring kitten here in the United States. How is it fair, to give 25 kittens in the United States a national award, when all those cats were defeated in points by the kittens in China? It shouldn’t matter to American exhibitors how many awards we give out in China, because it’s two separate areas. I don’t think it’s fair for us to limit them if their cats score higher than the cats do here, and they don’t get a national win but everybody here in Regions 1-9 get a national win, and they have been outscored by the China cats. To me, it’s more fair if we just set the point minimum across CFA and if you reach that point minimum up to 25 cats or kittens, you get a national award. **Hannon:** To argue against that, the 26th highest scoring kitten in China may still outscore everything in Regions 1-9. **Newkirk:** That’s true, but we limit it to 25 wins, just like we do here. You may have reached the point level here, but you don’t get it because we only award it to 25 placements. To me, it’s more fair. It will be a disincentive if we limit the number of national awards in China. I think if we give 25 – premiership is going to be different, but whoever goes over that point limit in premiership would get a national award. There was only 2 this year. **Eigenhauser:** Or, the flip side is, do it like we do regions. Everybody gets 25, regardless of count. Fair is in the eye of the beholder. Saying Region 7 gets more regional awards than Region 5 because they had more points, we don’t do it that way. The idea of giving China more awards if they have more points is like making every region have a different number. **Newkirk:** George, I’m not asking for that. All I’m asking for is that they get a comparable amount of awards as the people who exhibit in 1-9. **Hannon:** He’s saying, do the same as the regions. Give 25. **Eigenhauser:** I’m saying, let’s make it flat across. **Hannon:** What about the International Division? Do you want to give 25 kittens out there? **Kallmeyer:** Darrell also said they would follow point minimums. **Newkirk:** They would have to go over the minimum points. If enough of them don’t do that, then they don’t get it. Just like this year, I think we have 17 Region 1-9 kittens? **Hannon:** 16. **Newkirk:** 16, OK, but I think it’s unfair for us to limit the total number of national wins in China. There’s no point to it, because they are separated out. It doesn’t affect anybody in Regions 1-9. **Anger:** I was against your proposal until that very last statement. To me, the reason that we broke out the awards areas was because there is a completely different point base and point structure, so the fact that they are achieving their top 25 comes from a different point base than what our 16 or whatever national winners are. To me, I think it would be a disincentive to the Region 1-9 exhibitors if we did that across the board. Right now, we have minimums. The Chinese, you are saying, have met their minimums and more than 25 have met the minimum? **Newkirk:** No, no. **Hannon:** You’re only going to give 25. **Anger:** Right. **Newkirk:** The limit is 25. **Anger:** Right, right. **Newkirk:** What we did was, we said we can only give 13 and 17 national awards in China, not based on points, but based on another formula. **Moser:** Wait a minute. I need clarification here. You’re saying that on the kittens, for instance,
the minimum points are – I might be wrong – the minimum points are 2,500 and in China, 50 kittens meet that minimum. **Newkirk**: No, no. **Hannon**: Only 25 will get them. **Newkirk**: The max is 25. **Hannon**: But the problem, Pam, now is that they’re not getting 25. The current show season we’re in now, we limited them to, what was it? **Newkirk**: 13 and 17, I don’t remember which is which. **Kallmeyer**: 17 kittens. **Hannon**: They don’t get 25 this year. They can only get 17. Regardless of the minimum, they can only get 17 this year. **Kallmeyer**: No, they have to meet the minimum. **Hannon**: If they meet the minimum, but if 50 of them get the minimum, only 25. **Newkirk**: What I’m asking the board to consider and pass is that if those cats over there meet the minimum – it’s 1800 for kittens, 2300 for championship, or whatever the number is.

Whatever that number is, OK? If you go above that amount, up to 25 placements, you would get a national win. I’m asking us to reverse the limitation that we put and make it the same as it is here in Regions 1-9. I’m asking for fairness for them. **Moser**: Sure. I just didn’t understand. **Kallmeyer**: Point out, I think the rest of the International Division, like Malaysia, Hong Kong and all of that, because they’re only limited to 3. Even if they get the high points, they could do it. So, it would actually throttle them and they are starting to take off like crazy. And they go to every ring. **DelaBar**: Have you made a motion? **Newkirk**: I haven’t. I brought it up for discussion. **DelaBar**: I think that all areas should have the same cut-off and should have the same opportunity for number of awards across CFA. **Newkirk**: I’ll make that as the motion. **Hannon**: And Pam seconded it? **DelaBar**: I’ll second. **Hannon**: Any discussion? **Schreck**: I have a question. I’m OK with the 25, but do you want that to still be the point minimum? What about those few areas now that are excepted from the point minimums? **Kallmeyer**: No, they’re not. **DelaBar**: Nobody is. **Kallmeyer**: Not for national awards. Divisional or regional awards is different. **Schreck**: The other ID, besides China? **Kallmeyer**: They have the same minimum. **Newkirk**: Same minimums. All three competitive areas. **Schreck**: Hawaii? Malaysia? Aren’t there some that are carved out? **Hannon**: Hawaii is part of Region 5. **Calhoun**: This is for all categories of competition. **Newkirk**: All three categories, 1-9, China, and ID-Other or International. Were the minimums changed for this show season? **Hannon**: No. **Newkirk**: So, it’s the same. Kittens, champions and premiers. If they get more than what that minimum is, up to a limit of 25 national awards in each area, that’s the limit. But it would apply equally to all three competitive categories. **Hannon**: OK, let’s vote.

**Hannon** called the motion. **Motion Carried**.

**Newkirk**: Mark, one other thing. Are we going to talk about the discount? **Kallmeyer**: We probably should wait on that, right? **Newkirk**: OK.
Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

This year’s activities have been mostly centered on improving current applications and enhancing online functions through ecats program.

Following are the major accomplishments:

- NC notification and compliance programming
- Ecats enhancements allowing online Cattery renewals, cattery offspring file download, ring reports, added holds and comments which allow anyone in registration to assist a customer in completing ecat registrations when requested information is received.
- Ecats Guest checkout completed.
- CFA Website redesigned to work with Mobile devices.
- Reinstating Pet Partners data transfers.
- Completed DM reporting on new system
- Enhanced Champion/Premier confirmation to a one step process
- Added verification checks for allowable outcross litter registrations.

Current Happenings of Committee:

System Analyst John Colilla is working on defining programming specs for applications to be moved from HP. Beginning with Show Licensing, Clubs and Judges.

Over the past two months we have been seeking a replacement for the current AECFA (Assist Entry Clerk) program. We will present analysis of two companies that are quoting this programming. They are currently finalizing their quotes.

Future Projections for Committee:

Defining programming specs for other applications still on the HP These will include Breed Council and Cattery of Distinction as well as all other functions still processed on the HP.

Progress on Development of New Entry Clerk software.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Update on System Analyst progress with program specifications and moving of programs to new system.

Respectfully Submitted,
Tim Schreck, Chair
Hannon: IT Committee. Kallmeyer: There’s Tim’s report. I don’t know where Tim’s at. Oh, there he is. If you have questions, Tim is there. Hannon: Are there any questions on Tim’s report? Don’t sit down, you may not be here long. No questions? No action items? Thank you Tim. T. Schreck: I have one comment if I can. One of the things that we did last year was this NC notification and confirmation. I want to sort of mention to you to be careful of how you word resolutions. This one was worded very restrictive and cost us a lot of money in programming to meet those restrictions – that everybody needs to be notified by mail and by email. We just need to be careful what we do with things like this, that end up costing us a lot more money than we’re ever going to get for results that we’re going to get from a resolution. Hannon: Thank you.
(22) AWARDS COMMITTEE.

Committee Chair: Mary Kolencik  
Liaison to Board: Mark Hannon  
List of Committee Members: David Raynor, Linda Peterson

Current Happenings of Committee:

When we were working on the areas for the expansion of the national wins, we referred to the areas as regions 1-9, ID China and ID Other. Unfortunately, that informal notation stuck. CFA’s website refers to our top cats as being from Regions 1-9, China and ID Other. The use of Other makes it seem like that area is an after-thought or catch-all. Since these areas are not divisions, we should not be using the ID notation.

These areas need a uniform reference notation. We discussed this with a few exhibitors from the ID and they agree with the following notation:

Regions 1-9 or Regions 1 thru 9  
China  
International

Usage examples include:

GP, NW Fluffy Foo Foo, Best Cat in Premiership, International  
GC, BW, NW Fluffy Foo Foo, Best Cat, Regions 1-9  
GC, NW Fluffy Foo Foo, Best Kitten China

We request the board designate this notation for use in all CFA promotional material and publications, including the website.

Action Items:

Designate the following notation to be used in all promotional materials and publications, including the CFA website:

Regions 1-9 or Regions 1 thru 9  
China  
International

Time Frame:

This meeting.

Respectfully Submitted,  
Mary Kolencik, Chair

Hannon: We are up to the Awards Committee. Mary Kolencik, you’re on. Kolencik: I have a bit of confusion here. Let me give you some background. When we separated the national
wins last year – we created three areas last January – and in our notes, we called them Regions 1-9, China and ID-Other. In the show rules there are no names for those areas, just so we are clear on that. In our informal notes, we were referring to them that way. At the end of the season, when our webmasters put up the awards on the website, I saw ID-Other. So, I propose that we not call that area “ID-Other” because it sounds like they are an afterthought. It sounds like a catch-all and like they are not equivalent to the other areas. So, I put this proposal in that we would change it and call it “International.” I didn’t want to do that on my own. I thought it would be bad if I just unilaterally decided what to call it, so I wanted to bring it to you. I see that on the website, Kathy has already changed it to “CFA’s Top Cats International.” Anyhow, that was the gist of it. I wanted to call the areas, for competition purposes only. For the website, Yearbook, things like that, even promotional materials, I would like us to call them Regions 1-9, China, and International. This is not to go on the awards or on any entries or anything like that. It’s only for the website usage. Kallmeyer: I’ll make the motion. Newkirk: I’ll second. Hannon: Any discussion? Schreck: I don’t have a discussion point, but a food for thought. Regions 1-9? Kolencik: I don’t like it either, but I cannot think of something else to call it. Schreck: Me neither, but I think maybe after we have some wine, somebody can possibly come up with something. Kolencik: As soon as somebody can come up with something better to call that, then we can change it to that. Hannon: Maybe when you’re not on the board and don’t have all this pressure, you can come up with something. Schreck: My wine bill is maybe going to go down. Hannon: Any other comments?

Hannon called the motion. Motion Carried.

Hannon: Mary, it passed unanimously. Kolencik: Excellent. How much time did that take? Seriously, 20 seconds. Thank you. Hannon: We appreciate it. Thank you, Mary. Mary, you will notice, is wearing all three of her stars. Very impressive – nobody else has three.
(23) **CLERKING PROGRAM**

**Committee Chair:** Carol Krzanowski  
**List of Committee Members:** Shirley Michaud-Dent & Kristi Wollam, Central Office  
**Clerking Program Administrators:** Dan Beaudry; Cheryl Coleman; Monte Phillips

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

The 2016 Clerking Test packages were mailed the third week in April to all clerks who had paid their biennial Clerking Program dues, and shortly thereafter the online test link was emailed to everyone as well. The package included the test as well as the updated Clerking Manual and 2016-2017 Show Rules. The mailing was delayed somewhat due to the Show Rules changes passed by the Board at the April teleconference, some of which were later rescinded, that resulted in revisions to the Clerking Manual as well as the Show Rules.

The new CFA Clerking Manual was made available on the CFA web site as a downloadable PDF file once the last minute revisions were completed.

All clerks being recognized this year for Clerking Program service awards were notified by personal email about their award as well as plans for their service recognition at the Annual in Las Vegas. The service award certificates and pins, accompanied by a letter of congratulations, were mailed to all eligible clerks on June 1.

**Current Happenings of Committee**

Shirley Michaud-Dent is currently training Kristi Wollam to take over the Clerking Program Administrator duties at Central Office.

Several individuals are working their way through the program at this time. Most inquiries being received are from clerks wanting to know their current status and if they meet the requirements for advancement to the next level. All pending issues are being handled promptly.

A number of clerking schools have been scheduled since the last report. While this is definitely encouraging, there remain some geographic areas where no schools are currently scheduled. As attendance at a school is a prerequisite for becoming a licensed clerk, we hope that the planned online clerking school will help address the lack of scheduled schools in these areas, so that we can fill the need for qualified clerks.

Development of the online clerking school is still in the planning stages at this time. Cheryl Coleman has obtained from Dick Kallmeyer the PowerPoint clerking school presentation he uses, which is an excellent example and starting point for the online school. Several Master Clerk Instructors have been asked to review that presentation and provide their comments and suggestions for additions. Some modifications are being made to accommodate new Show Rules, procedure changes, updated show forms, etc. Other instructors, including Allbreed Judges who have recently taught schools, are being polled regarding their processes and what they provide. Cheryl plans to attend the clerking school sponsored by the Garden State Cat Club in July.
taught by Debbie Kusy and Jeri Zottoli, where she will videotape sections of the school and obtain suggestions for additions to the online school.

All clerks are reminded to notify Central Office immediately if there is any change in their contact information. This will ensure that records are current and that the Online Almanac clerk list remains accurate.

**Future Projections for Committee:**

Clerking Program license cards for the period 2016-2018 will be mailed to all clerks who have taken and passed the 2016 Clerking Test.

Development work for the online clerking school will continue.

Individuals will be licensed as they complete the requirements for advancement in the Clerking Program. Up-to-date records will be maintained so that all inquiries can be handled promptly and efficiently.

**Time Frame:**

License cards for those clerks who have completed the requirements will be mailed in July.

Development work for the online clerking school will be ongoing until completion.

The list of clerks for the Online Almanac will continue to be updated monthly or as needed to maintain current online resources.

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

The Board will be kept advised of any significant changes or updates in the Clerking Program.

Respectfully Submitted,
Carol Krzanowski, Chair

**Hannon:** Clerking Program, Carol. **Krzanowski:** I submitted a report. I don’t really have anything to add. If somebody has questions, I will be happy to answer them.
(24) **CAT TALK.**

*Committee Chair: Teresa Keiger, Editor*

---

**Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:**

It’s been a busy past several months at Cat Talk. Previous Managing Editor Jodell Raymond left last winter and I have taken on some of her previous responsibilities. I also realized that I could not keep tabs on everything effectively. To that end, I appointed Candilee Jackson as assistant editor, and Iris Zinck as lead copy editor. They are both doing a splendid job keeping things moving through their areas.

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

We are currently planning our articles and themes for the final 3 magazines of this year.

I received notice that our method of letting our subscribers know that their subscriptions were about to lapse could be improved upon. After talking with our printer, we will now insert two renewal notices in the final two issues of a subscription. The enclosing envelope will also have a sticker on it declaring it the final issue. We hope that this will prevent subscriptions from lapsing and encourage people to renew.

I am pleased to announce that the Cat Writers’ Association awarded Cat Talk Magazine a Certificate of Excellence in its 2016 contest. We submitted an entry to the “Magazine – National Distribution” category. Three judges’ cumulative scores were 90 or above, so getting a certificate is not easy.

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

We will present an update on Cat Talk’s status.

*Respectfully Submitted,*
*Teresa Keiger*

**Hannon:** Moving right along with Cat Talk. There is nobody indicated to speak on their behalf. Teresa did submit a report. If you have comments or questions, I guess you should find Teresa.
MARKETING.

Committee Chair: Lisa Marie Kuta

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

The second regular edition of the spectator/pet owner newsletter was sent out on May 12, 2016 to 975 contacts, excluding board members and staff. 42% opened it, one percentage points more than April’s. Zero opted out or reported it as spam, a smaller than expected amount. Readers engaged with the content and clicks on the links 70 times. Although still very small, the audience is growing daily by three to five sign ups.

Current Happenings of Committee:

The committee is currently queuing up future editions of the newsletter to have ready to send each month.

Future Projections for Committee:

Lisa Kuta will also be engaging with clubs with upcoming shows to include show details in the newsletter. Lisa Kuta will be working with James Simbro to tap into CFA’s database to identify pet owners. As CFA structures a new marketing role, the committee will be collaborate to define a high-level marketing framework to unify and magnify the organization’s various efforts. The committee will also be recruiting new key members.

Time Frame:

The committee’s actions are ongoing.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

The committee will present an update on the marketing framework and newsletter efforts.

Respectfully Submitted,
Lisa Marie Kuta, Chair

Hannon: Marketing, Lisa. Kuta: It’s all in the report. Hannon: Any questions or comments for Lisa? This is why I didn’t want to take the break.
(26) AMBASSADOR PROGRAM.

Committee Chair: Candilee Jackson  
Liaison to Board: Pam DelaBar  
List of Committee Members: Art Graafmans, Diane Coppola, Alene Shafnisky

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Over the past year, the Ambassador Program has undergone some major changes:

- Ken Cribbs, Core Committee and Coordinator for the Hawai’i Division resigned
- Phebe Low, Coordinator for Asia resigned due to the workload
- Diane Coppola and Alene Shafnisky were recruited to the Core Committee
- Chris June graciously took over as the Region 1 coordinator
- A more workable budget was created which should allow ample funds for new materials and postage
- A most welcome dialogue was opened in Region 9 and materials and ideas continue to be discussed with Ulrike Kneupple
- All the Ambassador supplies and materials are now housed at Central Office for better inventory accountability
- The Ambassador Program has branched out from just having a presence in show halls to exhibiting and providing awareness of proper feline care in Community level pet expositions
- Therapy Cat visits to schools, libraries, senior centers, hospitals, hospice centers
- Job Fairs at local high schools and colleges

Current Happenings of Committee:

- We are still looking for a coordinator for the Hawai’i Division and Asia
- A review of the needs for the International Show are in the works
- A Pet Me! Banner was designed by Megan Noecker and we would like to incorporate this item into our supply inventory
- An informal reception of all Ambassadors will be held on Thursday evening during the annual convention
- Continuing a presence in both show halls and other venues as appropriate

Future Projections for Committee:

- To successfully recruit new coordinators for any vacancies in the regions
- To develop a better system of recording and welcoming Pet Me! Cats into the current program
- To further work toward opening dialogues with Region 9, Asia and the International Divisions.
- To reproduce and distribute Pet Me! Cat banners to regions desiring them
- To join forces with The Paw Project, a group of nation-wide veterinarians who seek to ban de-clawing on a grass-roots level

107
Time Frame:

The 2016-2017 show season

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Updates of activities

Respectfully Submitted,
Candilee Jackson, BA MPH, Chair

Hannon: Ambassador Program. It’s in the report.
Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

2015-2016 YFEP season concluded on a very positive note. 47 youth, from 6 regions and the international division participated in the four divisions of the program. The committee was very excited to help 7 youth from Singapore participate in the program, making them the first to expand the program to the international stage. All the youth did a fantastic job and really thought outside the box to come up with clever ideas for their projects.

The program also hosted two 4-H groups from the Kansas City area at the Mo-Kan Cat Shows in August and March. This allowed us to introduce 4-H to our program concept and show them that they can participate in both programs. Carmen Johnson-Lawrence is a 4-H leader and has introduced her club and county to the YFEP program as well.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Scoring for the 2015-2016 season, ordering of the rosettes and cash awards, and the distribution of rosettes was completed in late May and early June. Regional award rosettes and national award rosettes (for those not attending the Annual) are being presented at the regional banquets as this report is being written. The International Division rosettes will be taken to the banquet by Dick Kallmeyer. Thank you Dick, for helping transport the rosettes so the youth can be honored at their banquet.

Presentation for the delegates meeting is finished. The overall winner will be very surprised as she has no idea she won. She had a goal to be the winner when her own region was hosting the Annual and her goal has been meet.

Future Projections for Committee:

Continue to promote the program to 4-H and other organizations that support youth. Encourage more CFA international youth participation.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

Update of Program

Respectfully Submitted,
Cathy Dunham, Program Chair

Hannon: Youth Feline Program. Kathy, do you have anything to add to the report? Pam, do you? Moser: I have a concern on that. When the regional directors – well, for me, for
instance. I don’t get notified if I have a winner. Then, the person that’s in charge of my region, she might get notified, but when it comes to the national winners – I have two national winners. I have no idea still who they are. I’ve asked. I’ve sent a letter to Cathy Dunham. No response, so I called Verna. I said, “Verna, could you help me out here?” Verna said, “sure, I’ll try and get something,” so she sends a letter to Cathy. She gets no response. I have kind of a problem with that. I really need to know. I don’t know if any of the other regional directors have youth winners, but that’s kind of a problem. **Calhoun:** I sent an email to Cathy Dunham, and Cathy said that she’s gotten no calls or emails from you. I got nothing. I wasn’t copied on anything. She indicated that the Youth Feline Program representative is Sande Kay, and that her information and Cathy’s information as far as phone numbers and email addresses are all over the Midwest Region website and the Youth Feline Program website. She thought that perhaps you had the wrong number. **Moser:** Like I said, Verna had problems, too. Verna sent emails. **Calhoun:** I don’t know what email address you used. I think the simpler thing would have been if a conference call was set up. I know in my business, if I can’t get ahold of a sales rep, I’ll just set up a conference call. I will be more than happy to participate in a conference call. **Moser:** I’m just saying. In the future – it’s in the past now, but in the future, I sure would like to be updated. **Calhoun:** So, Sande Kay did not know? **Moser:** She didn’t know who the national winner was, no. She was not told. She knew who the other ones were. She gave me those, but she did not know who the national winner was. That’s what I was trying to get. **Colilla:** Why don’t we give that job to somebody else, so we have no problem at all getting those people recognized? **Kuta:** I had to actively seek out the materials in time for our awards booklet deadline, and also I had to get the awards FedEx’ed to me at my hotel about 12 hours before the show, so that was a little sticky. **Hannon:** Alright. So, we’re obviously going to have to talk to Cathy about being responsive or being replaced. Is there anything else on the Youth Program?
MENTOR/NEWBEE PROGRAM.

Committee Co-Chairs: Jean Dugger & Teresa Keiger

Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

Mentor Program

Jean Dugger has spoken with Breed Council chairs Carla Bizzell and Melanie Morgan regarding enlisting the Breed Council members taking on more of a role in the Mentor Program. As a reminder, all new breeders should be referred to the Mentor website (www.cfamentor.org) for complete program information.

NewBee Program

The CFA NewBee Program continues to be active, with members joining the program at a rate of a few per month.

Current Happenings of Committee:

Inquiries from the CFA 866 number are being processed in a timely manner by the committee members working there. Most inquiries are about how to find a specific breed or finding a cat show. There have recently been no questions regarding registrations.

Future Projections for Committee:

The Mentor/NewBee Committee will continue working hard to attract and retain new breeders and exhibitors. The NewBee Program is planning on a website/resources update over the summer months.

What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:

We will present an update on the activities of the Mentor and NewBee Programs.

Respectfully Submitted,
Jean Dugger and Teresa Keiger, Co-Chairs

Hannon: Mentor and NewBee Program. You got anything? Dugger: Just what Teresa wrote in the report. Teresa and I are still trying to actively involve new people in our area. We’re still trying to make a liaison between the Mentor Program and the breed councils, to help people be able to find mentors. I still have got the database that I’m working on. We’re just trying to kind of coordinate that and when we get new people in, we talk to them and try to help them. We send the information out to the other regional directors. I have sent it out to some of you all when I get a new application in. If it’s not my region, I send it out to whoever it belongs to. That’s about it.

Hannon: Since I’m looking at you, I’m also thinking Publications Committee. Larry Johnson will be taking pictures Saturday night during the cocktail party of the board members, so
I mention this so you will seek him out and get a fresh picture taken. Jean, if you would be so good as to remind people during the cocktail party, “hey, you need to get your picture taken.”  

**Dugger:** I will. I will definitely do that.  
**Hannon:** And get the word to anybody new that’s elected to the board as well that’s not sitting here now. We want new pictures, and he is going to have the same background he has had in the past for us. The same for the judges – he is taking pictures of the judges, so they have fresh pictures, as well.  
**Dugger:** Should we mention that Shelly is working on sending out the forms like we used to do with the Yearbooks to get discounts possibly for early submission and stuff? She is working on some ideas like that, to try to help us kind of shore up our Yearbook and maybe give people an opportunity to maybe put their regional winner in there – bigger than a grand but less than – we talked about several ideas like that that we might could help the Yearbook and help with sales. That’s our hope.  
**Hannon:** OK. Thank you, Jean.
(29) **ANIMAL WELFARE UMBRELLA – BREED RESCUE; BREEDERS’ ASSISTANCE; FOOD PANTRY.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee Chair:</th>
<th>Linda Berg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| List of Committee Members: | Charlene Campbell Breed Rescue Chair  
Nancy Hitzeman Food Pantry Chair |

This program continues to grow each year behind the scenes. We rarely call animal control they call us to ask for help with large seizures. They will also call when a breeder in their area needs help and they know we will help breeders to downsize so it is a win situation for both of us.

We continue to grow with other reputable National rescues they use us and we use them. We have a group now called Pilots and Paws and they will fly 300 mile segments across the country to get the cats to groups that specializes in older or special breeds. This service is completely donated to us and the cats!

I cannot say enough for all the individuals who work in each region to help when we need help and to keep it quiet so no one knows we have even helped someone. I also want to thank all the individuals who donate when we come to ask for help with the larger rescues. We could not do any of this if we didn’t have the money to fund it.

We continue quietly behind the scenes to help those that need our help!

**Linda Berg and Charlene Campbell**

**Hannon:** Next on the agenda is Animal Welfare. I see Linda Berg is here but I don’t know if she’s in the room at the moment. She is probably over in the delegate room. If anybody has any comments or questions about that, see Linda since she is here at the Annual this year.
Brief Summation of Immediate Past Committee Activities:

My objective in 2015 - 2016 has been focused on involving fanciers, interested in outreach and education of new breeders, pet owners and visitors to cat shows, veterinarians and shelters. I plan to meet with committee members at the CFA annual to discuss refining the Committee goals and to determine how we can best reach these 4 groups. Because of my progressing neurological and mobility problems, due to Parkinson’s disease, I want to continue to find others interested in carrying on these activities.

Shelter Outreach and education - Lisa-Maria Padilla and Tracy Petty worked with me on October 12 -13, 2016 at the Prince William County Animal Services shelter in Virginia to present staff training on handling of difficult and fearful cats so they are less stressed and will have a better chance for adoption. We also presented, in the evening, talks on the basic nature of cats, shelter cat grooming, colors and patterns and how to “train” cats for shelter volunteers and the public. This is a good model for future shelter programs.

Cat show education and newcomer outreach - Carolyn Osier and I planned the program for the January 23-24, 2016 San Diego Cat Fanciers education ring. We incorporated 13 fanciers as speakers, handlers and grooming demonstrators. Our topics were directed toward introducing newcomers to the world of cats, CFA and cat showing. The schedule of talks is on the SDCF website: http://www.sandiegocat.org/

Pet Expos – The “Amazing Pet Expos” company put on a large and successful animal event in Del Mar, California on February 13-14, 2016. On Sunday afternoon I gave the only talk about cats as this expo is dominated by dogs. Two Cornish Rex cats from our booth were entered in the pet costume contest. One was dressed as a dog and the other as a Ninja Warrior. Our booth was popular because we had “pet-me” cats used to the crowds and noise. We had a poster of pedigreed breeds and a video showing photos of the annual San Diego Cat Show. Both drew lots of attention and questions. We signed up over 250 people who want to be kept informed about cat shows and other feline activities in the County. They plan to be back again in Del Mar next February and have expos all over the U.S. http://www.amazingpetexpos.com/

Veterinarian outreach – I have been personally actively working with Esther Mechler and others in the “Feline Fix by Five” Project, including coordination of a select focus group of veterinarians who met on January 15, 2016, in the Orlando, FL area in conjunction with the North American Veterinary Community (NAVC) conference. Following this meeting we, as a group, have worked extensively on wording a consensus statement, which has recently been finally approved by everyone. This will now be used as the foundation for promoting spay/neuter
of pet cats by 5 months, before they reach puberty. The goal is to target and reduce the huge numbers of kittens that flood the shelters in almost every jurisdiction throughout the U.S. each spring and summer. Many are relinquished by pet owners who did not realize how early kittens can come in heat and produce unwanted/unplanned litters. Others are feral cat litters that are either too young or else too fractious for placement in homes. These make up a large percentage of the total shelter cat euthanasia data, while the mother cat goes on to produce her next litter. Kittens in shelters are attractive to potential adopters, meaning many nice older cats are overlooked.

**Current Happenings of Committee:**

I am refining the Committee outline and scope to go over with Committee members. See the attached.

Outreach and Education will promote the ways in which cats, and the preserving of pedigreed cat breeds, enrich every community. Our activities will augment or complement other established programs in CFA such as Public Relations/Marketing, Legislation/Public Affairs, Mentoring, Ambassador Program, Breed Awareness, Educational Products, Publications and the Winn Feline Foundation.

**The four audiences** we are continuing to target:

- **The public/cat owners/potential cat owners** – Educational programs for large public audiences with cats present; Pet Expo programs, professional DVDs and graphic materials. Develop and seek funding for pet owner surveys in communities to establish basic information on current pet owner attitudes and cat ownership trends; cat population dynamics including feral cat issues.

- **Veterinary community** – Provide resource information beyond the health study focus of the Winn Feline Foundation. Student and practitioner education on pet population issues; the value of breeding cats; breed recognition and personality characteristics, multi-cat environment, feline color/patterns, optimum age for Spay/neuter, feline contraception and other topics.

- **Shelter community** – Shelter staff and volunteer training; participation in Veterinary school shelter medicine programs. Expand on topics already being presented, such as the handling of cats in the shelter environment. Management of cats in shelters, communal housing considerations; methods for cat color/pattern identification; consultation and product development.

- **New cat fanciers and breeders** – Attract and orient newcomers concerning the value of pedigreed cat preservation and breed origins; getting started with foundation cats, developing a bloodline, cattery design/management, husbandry, partnerships, working within legislative constraints. Promoting the social aspects of the cat fancy culture.
**Future Projections for Committee:**

Begin to develop a speakers’ network and identify their topic interests. Develop pilot presentations for various venues, including podcasts/webinars.

Gradually establish a library of resources that would be easily accessible to the public. Interaction with the Technology/Website Committee to be initiated.

Graphic materials, training DVDs, handouts relevant to the identified target audiences should be developed when funding is available.

Assist in interesting sponsors for this program by preparing a materials packet.

**What Will be Presented at the Next Meeting:**

Updates

Respectfully Submitted,
Joan Miller, Chair

**Hannon:** Outreach and Education, Lisa. **Kuta:** It’s all in the report.
Outline for the CFA Outreach and Education Program:

**Expanded education** - Webinars to help new breeders, a Facebook event presenting pedigreed cats to dog breeders/owners. Presentations at Pet Expos and cat shows; in veterinary clinics.

**First steps:**

1. Evaluate the effectiveness of knowledge-dissemination techniques for the various selected audiences we want to reach. For instance,
   - The media (TV, print, educational DVD production) needs experts to interview about domestic cats. Be capable of answering questions from Cat Writer’s Association.
   - Visual aids for targeted audiences; handout materials for use with live talks, Public Service Announcements with content and subjects that CFA can uniquely offer.
   - Establish the core committee and a network of trained high quality speakers who would travel to meetings, pet fairs or other venues on invitation. At least one member of the committee should be experienced in adult education and communication.
   - Retain cat fanciers/exhibitors who, after breeding cats for many years tend to fade away unless they have entered the judging or clerking programs. We now have mentoring and other opportunities and I hope this will be another area of interest for some.

**Shelter Staff training -**

1. Prince William County, Virginia, Animal Shelter can be used as a model.
2. Lee County Animal Services, Florida (possible)

**Fix Felines by Five project –**

1. Develop marketing strategies for veterinarians, associations and cat owners.
2. Attend the November AAFP (Association of Feline Practitioners) conference - help in the booth; gather names of veterinarians who will sign the consensus statement.
3. Work with Task Force to obtain support from veterinary associations, from corporate entities (VCA, VetCor, Banfield)
4. Start writing articles for the public, social media posting, etc.
5. Help with surveys or research projects the Task Force determines necessary.
OTHER COMMITTEES.

Hannon: Other committees. Does anybody have any other committee stuff they want to bring up? It’s your last chance.

Hannon: If there’s no further business, let me just say thank you again to Ed and to Barb, and to any of you that may not be returning to us on Sunday morning. Again, thanks to the Central Office and to the folks in the region that have helped pull this together. I wish you a lot of fun this weekend. See you tonight at hospitality.